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# $\mathbb{C b e}$ Gospel according to Gaint goattbew 

AND PART OF THE FIRST CHAPTER OF

# Cbe ©ospel according to ©aint goark <br> TRANSLATED INTO ENGLISH FROM 

 THE ORIGINAL GREEK."We are so far off from condemning any of their labours that travelled before us in this kind, either in this land or beyond sea, either in K. Henries time, or K. Edwards (if there were any translation or correction of a translation in his time, ) or Qu: Elizabeths of ever renowned memory, that we acknowledge them to have been raised up of God, for the building and furnishing of his Church, and that they deserve to be had of us and of posterity in everlasting remembrance."

Preface to the Reader by King James's Translators of the Bible.
" Plurimum hic quoque juvat interpretum numerositas."
Divi Augustini De Doctrina Christiand, Lib. II. Cap. xiv.


## PREFACE.

IT has been excellently well remarked by the learned and careful translators of our authorized version of the Holy Bible, when speaking of the translation of the Seventy Interpreters, that " the *Grecians being desirous of learning, were not wont to suffer books of worth to lie moulding in kings' libraries, but had many of their servants, ready scribes, to copy them out, and so were they dispersed and made common." In like manner, I am unwilling that so valuable and curious a relic, as a genuine translation of St . Matthew's Gospel, by the first of the Regius Professors of Greek in the University of Cambridge, should lie unnoticed and almost unknown on the shelves of the valuable MSS. library in which it is deposited. Having been myself the "ready scribe," and copied it out for my own private use, I now venture to put it in a form, that it may, likewise, be "dispersed" abroad, and " made common."

[^0]I have, moreover, collected from various volumes in the same library, the whole of Cheke's original letters, being seven in number. These I have carefully transcribed, and given in an appendix, arranged according to their respective dates. The letters, Nos. II. VII. have been printed, somewhat inaccurately, by Strype in his Life of Cheke: but Nos. I. III. IV. VI. have been only partially referred to by him, and No. V. is altogether unnoticed.

In the introductory account, which I have thought it necessary to prefix, I have sought to be as brief as possible, confining myself to such topics as were likely to throw light on the work of the learned translator, and such, in fact, as the nature of the translation itself seemed absolutely to require. My principal object in it has been to prepare or smooth the way for the reader, over that which perhaps may be considered as somewhat rugged ground, by pointing out its several peculiarities and inequalities. Having so far acted the part of a pioneer, I proceed, without further delay, to exhibit this pious, although unfinished, attempt of an eminent scholar and professor of Greek, " to *let in the light more fully upon the men of his own generation, by opening the win-

[^1]dow; to break the shell, that they might eat the kernel ; to put aside the curtain, that they might look into the most Holy Place; to remove the cover of the well, that they might come by the water, even as Jacob $\ddagger$ rolled away the stone from the mouth of the well, by which means the flocks of Laban were watered."

JAMES GOODWIN.

Corp. Chr. Coll. Cambridge, Feb. 26, 1843.
$\ddagger$ See Gen. xxix. 10 .


## INTRODUCTION.

THE learned and accomplished person to whose excellent scholarship and pious labour we are indebted for the following translation of St. Matthew's Gospel, is one, whose name will ever be famous in the literary annals of our country. Born* at a remarkable epoch, when, even among men of the highest grade, both in Church $\dagger$ and State, as well as in our academic $\ddagger$ seats of learning, the Greek language was not only little cultivated and understood, but the study of it obstinately

[^2]opposed as being heretical* and profane; distinguished notwithstanding in his early youth by reason of his love and knowledge of that almost unknown and forbidden tongue, he subsequently became so great a proficient in it, that, having been appointed by KingHenry the Eighth the first Regius Professor of Greek at Cambridge, he contributed largely in effecting that complete restoration of ancient learning, whose first fruits were immediately developed in the kindred restoration of the ancient religion of the Anglican Church. "His presence and society," says Strype, $\dagger$ " inspired the University with a love of learning. And the youth everywhere addicted themselves to the reading and studying of the best authors for pure Roman style, and Grecian eloquence; such as Cicero and Demosthenes, laying aside their old barbarous writers and schoolmen, with their nice and unprofitable questions. The benefit whereof was, that as good learning increased there, so also did true religion and the knowledge of the Gospel ; Popery being sheltered with nothing so much as barbarism and ignorance." It is not, however, intended in this place, to give a detailed account of the life and fortunes of this eminent scholar; our

[^3]present subject of consideration being, rather one of his learned labours. For the former, the reader must necessarily be referred to the valuable biography by Strype, who relates his history at full length, and completely establishes his high reputation as a Grecian in the age in which he lived. But the present pious produce of his classical abilities and great learning has never yet been fully discussed or adequately noticed. Dismissing therefore at once the learned translator, as Strype does,* with the character Leland gave of him,

## Checus Cecropii gloria prima gregis,

it will be necessary only to say a few words concerning the translation itself; the reason why Cheke attempted a work of such vast importance and universal benefit as it then must have been; the manner in which he partly executed it; the English style and orthography of the translator. All these will be found very interesting subjects of inquiry : whether we consider Cheke's translation of St. Matthew's Gospel merely as a curious literary relic, illustrating the scholarship of the times, and the then condition of the English language : or whether we consider it as one of the many righteous steps which were taken by divers learned and religious men, towards giving to the people of this country, in language understood by them, the book of salvation and life; thus paving

[^4]and preparing the way for that excellent, faithful, and authorized version of the Bible, which under the direction of God's wise providence, was made at a time when the language of the original texts came to be more fully and perfectly understood, and our English language likewise, previously crippled by the constant use of another tongue, had acquired sufficient copiousness and power of expression.

The original manuscript is written in a fair, round, and bold hand, and is extremely clear and legible: for Cheke, it appears, was desirous of improving the existing style of English penmanship,* as well as of correcting the orthography and pronunciation of the English, together with those of the Greek and Latin languages. Indeed it may be said to be particularly distinct, and exhibits no little degree of skill in writing. It does not, however, bear any date upon it, nor has it the writer's signature affixed to it. The former of these must be left to the hazard of probable conjecture ; and, that it is Cheke's own handwriting, is evident to all who compare it for one moment with his proper signature, and other specimens of hand-

[^5]writing, of which there are many* to be seen in Archbishop Parker's collection of MSS. now belonging to Corpus Christi College, Cambridge. With respect to the date of the translation, it was probably made by Cheke about the year 1550 ; when he was more particularly directing his thoughts to the state of religion both at home and abroad, and among other employments translated, at Cranmer's request, the Communion Book into Latin for the use of Peter Martyr. It is probable that, about this time, the idea may have been suggested to his mind, of applying his sound knowledge of Greek to the retranslating the books of the New Testament from the original text ; and that the reason why he left his well intentioned work in so incomplete a state, was the multiplicity of various other business and state matters, in which he was beginning to be involved.

[^6]This translation has been briefly noticed and referred to both by Strype in his Life of Cheke, and by Lewis in his History of the English Translations of the Bible. The former of these gives a very short but inaccurate specimen of it, as he says, " for the reader's diversion." The latter states that the ten last verses only of the last chapter of St. Matthew's Gospel are wanting, and that it is divided into about forty-nine sections. But such is not exactly the case. There is wanting, besides, a whole leaf, containing the four last verses of chapter xvi. the whole of chapter xvii. and the seven first verses of chapter xviii. This leaf, there is reason to believe, was lost before the MS. came into Archbishop Parker's possession : for the figures in red, which denote the pages of the MSS. volume No. CIV. and were written probably either by the Archbishop himself, or under his direction, proceed continuously and without interruption; whereas the MS. as paged by Cheke himself with black ink, evidently shews the loss of a whole leaf. Thus page 27 of Cheke's MS. is page 171 of the MSS. volume; and page 31 of the former is page 173 of the latter. The same thing may be said with respect to the loss of the latter portion of chapter xxviii. And again, although it is divided into chapters, the original MS. does not appear to have been divided regularly into sections. Cheke probably intended to have divided his translation in such a manner; but such divisions do not begin until we come to chapter xxii.
where the first of them is numbered in the margin -35 , and the numbers are from this point regularly continued as far as 48-chapter xxvii. It does not, therefore, seem that Lewis could have examined more than the beginning and ending of the MS. leaving the great body of it altogether unexplored.

The next thing which demands our particular attention is the reason why Cheke undertook so laborious a task as the retranslating the books of the New Testament, and wherefore he thought such an undertaking necessary.

When we compare our language, as it now is, with the same language as it was three hundred years ago, we cannot but be struck with the vast number of words which have now, by long settlement and usage, become naturalized among us ; in fact, form a part of our every-day speech; but which were at that time altogether unknown in our English vocabulary. Such words, derived from various sources, have very much increased the efficiency and copiousness of our language, as well as added to its elegance; some of them by supplying positive deficiencies in it, and some of them by superseding other words of more rude and barbarous sound. The introduction of these words was begun in the days of Cheke. But Cheke considered the English language to be sufficiently copious without them. In fact, he thought them intruders, and that the English language was degraded by being mixed up with other words
and phrases, for which we were indebted to other countries.* He consequently disliked the English translations of the Bible, which had at that time been made, and were in common use; because, although revised from time to time, and improved, they were yet in some degree open to the charge of containing many words of foreign root; $\dagger$ and thus, speaking a language but partially understood by the lower orders of the people, would fail of profiting them so largely as could be wished and expected. Besides, as though it were to increase this dislike on the part of Cheke, his ancient an-

[^7]tagonist in the celebrated controversy* concerning the right pronunciation of Greek, Bishop Gardiner, in order to suppress the use of the then authorized English translation of the Bible, or at least in order to destroy its authority, had, about the same time, $\dagger$ endeavoured to introduce into it a still greater number of untranslated Latin words; pretending that they neither could nor ought to be translated into the English tongue, and that, if so translated, they would fail of their full force and meaning.

[^8]It is not improbable, therefore, that Cheke may have still more disliked the use of any other besides genuine English words, in any translation of the Bible, which had been or might be made, in consequence of this attempted plot, on the part of Gardiner and his Popish compeers, to render the existing translations of the Bible comparatively useless to the great mass of the English people; and also that he afterwards purposed to translate the whole of the New Testament, and did actually translate the whole of St. Matthew's Gospel, as well as make an entrance upon that of St. Mark, in order to shew the practicability of doing so, while strictly adhering to the use of genuine English words. The translation itself will shew the faithfulness and ability with which he executed the small portion extant of his proposed work; and although we cannot now but deem it to be in some parts fanciful, as well as the words employed to be rugged and harsh to our ears, it is nevertheless, upon the whole, remarkable for much simplicity, neatness, and even elegance of expression. It is not necessary in this place to point out such parts to the reader; for the careful perusal of the translation, and comparison of it with the Greek, as well as with our authorized English version, will readily point them out to his eye: and not only so, but they will likewise cause him no little surprise at the numerous passages in which Cheke's translation and our common version do nearly coincide, word for word.

Having now said enough concerning the trans-
lation itself, the reason why Cheke attempted it, and the manner in which he executed his work, it will be necessary, in the last place, to say a few words respecting his English style and orthography, and to add a table of the various characters and abbreviations employed by him, in order to render his translation somewhat more easy and agreeable.
I. The particular object of Cheke was to avoid all words which, being formed either from the Greek or from the Latin, might be unintelligible to those who had no knowledge of any other besides the English tongue. In order to effect this, he was obliged to fabricate certain other words, which now perhaps appear very strange and uncouth, but whose English derivation we immediately recognize. The following are a few selected specimens of them, arranged side by side with corresponding words and phrases from the versions of Wiclif, Tyndale, and the authorized version of 1611. See The English Hexapla.

| Chere. | K2. Wiclip. 1380 | Tyndale. 1534. | Authorized version. 1611. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outpeopling, ch. i. 17.... transmygracioun.. captivite ...... carying away. |  |  |  |
| wiseards, |  |  |  |
| moond, |  |  |  |
| tollers, ch. v.46.... pupplicans |  |  |  |
| groundwrought, ch. vii. $25 . .$. foundid .......... grounded...... founded. |  |  |  |
| hunderder, ch. viii. 5.... centurien |  |  |  |
| frosent, |  |  |  |
| biwordes, |  |  |  |
| orders, |  |  |  |
| freschman, ch. xxiii. 15.... |  |  |  |

There are sundry other similar words to these.

[^9]But although it appears to have been Cheke's general rule to avoid all words of Greek and Latin root, we shall nevertheless find a few exceptions to it : for instance, acrids, chapter iii ; margarites, chapter vii; parables, chapter xiii 34 ; debitee of $\dot{y}$ fourth part of $\dot{y}$ Contree, chapter xiv; where Wiclif employs the words hony-soukis, margaritis, parablis,titrarke; Tyndale writing locustes, pearles, similitudes, tetrarcha; and our authorized version, locusts, pearles, parables, tetrarch. To use such rough and uncouth words, and to be over-careful in avoiding all such as may be traced to a foreign source, would doubtless, in the present age, when so many of the latter have been adopted, and are in fact naturalized among us, having been embodied in our English language, most justly expose a person to the charge of literary affectation and pedantic conceit. Cheke cannot, however, be considered as justly open to such a charge. The earlier translations of the Bible, although most wonderful productions for the time in which they were made, were not so clear and easy to be understood by the great mass of the English people as we, now familiarized with certain well understood words contained in them, imagine them to have been. They necessarily required much time and labour, a more perfect knowledge of the original languages on the part of the translators, and frequent as well as careful revision with respect both to the construction of particular passages and the employment of English words, ere they could
be brought to a perfect state of completeness and correctness. And besides, such was the popular language, that it was not until after the lapse of some years that the necessary use of many words in the translation of the Bible, which words are now perfectly understood by all, could convey the appropriate and true meaning to the minds of the vulgar; to whom not merely the Latin, but all kind of adopted Latin phrase, was, as it were, an unknown tongue. There was, consequently, much more reason than we are apt to suppose for Cheke's objection to the employment of Latin words and phrases in any translation of the Bible intended for the use of the common people of his time. But to raise objections to such expressions in the present times; to be dissatisfied with such words as are found in our authorized English version,* either for a similar reason, or because some few of them have partly become obsolete, and offend our too delicate ears; still more, to depart from its recognized phraseology by manufacturing new religious words of our own, when our authorized version supplies us with so many and proper expressions,

[^10]intelligible to most understandings; and familiar to all ears; all this, in truth, betrays so much of positive affectation, that it ought to be eschewed by every one, particularly by every faithful clergyman of the established Catholic Church in England, whose duty and solemn obligation it is to teach and preach the doctrines of the Bible to the people in the simple language of the authorized version, which is now so well and generally understood by all, although occasionally requiring some little explanation.
II. The following rules will explain and illustrate the orthography of Cheke, especially in the present translation, from which the examples have been selected. At any rate his practice appears to have been conformable to such rules.

1. In all cases where the letter a requires to be sounded long, Cheke appears to have used the double vowel aa, omitting the final e; thus, taak, prepaar, haat, gaat, maad, waar, spaak, for take, prepare, hate, gate, made, ware, spake.
2. So likewise with respect to e long, or where in the Greek the letter $\eta$ would be found; thus, Ameen, propheet, Herood, or Heerood, theerbi, \&c. for Amen, Gr. 'A $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\prime}$, prophet, Gr. $\pi \rho о ф \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$, Herod, Gr. 'Hр'́ठŋŋs, thereby, \&c.
3. The same rule seems to have obtained with him in the case of all the other long vowels; thus, thijn, mijn, swijn, lijken, fijr, for thine, mine, swine, liken, fire ;-afoor, hool, moor, stoon, Jooseeph, for
afore, whole, more, stone, Joseph, Gr. 'I $\omega \sigma \grave{\eta} \phi ;$ rebuuk for rebuke, \&c. \&c.
4. Again, with respect to the diphthongs ea, ei, oa, ue, ui, \&c. these he likewise expressed by means of a double vowel; thus, speek, treed, preech, heel, for speak, tread, preach, heal; theer for their; boot, coot, smooking, coostes, for boat, coat, smoaking, coasts.
ue sounded like ee, geestes for guests.
ui sounded like ij, bijlt for built.
ui sounded like uu, fruut for fruit.
5. The e final he utterly abolished; thus, giv, curs, belev, \&c. for give, curse, believe, \&c.
6. Unnecessary letters in the middle of words he generally omitted; thus, dout for doubt, det for debt, \&c. Also in such words as fault, where the letter in the middle of the word is sounded by us,* he nevertheless usually omitted it, writing faut.
7. Instead of the letter $y$ he most commonly substituted $i$, employing the former for another purpose ; thus, iooked, mighti, pai, iou, for yoked, mighty, pay, you.
8. And, lastly, he occasionally altered the termination of words ; thus, honestee, extremitee, sufferabil, for honesty, extremity, sufferable.

It is necessary, however, to add that, although these appear to have been his general rules, he has yet in certain cases departed from them.

[^11]III. Abbreviations and contractions used by Cheke.
$\mathrm{y}, \dot{\mathrm{y}}$ or $\dot{y}$, at the beginning of a word, is throughout the whole translation equivalent to th, thus : yem, yem, yem, for them.
ý standing by itself-thi, or thy.
$\dot{y}$, in the middle of a word-th, thus: oyer, broyer, fayer, moýer, gaýer, \&c. for other, brother, father, mother, gather, \&c.
$y, \dot{y}$, or $\dot{y}$, at the end of a word-th, or the, thus: cloý, booy, mouyंes, \&c. for clothe, both, mouths, \&c.
$\dot{\mathrm{y}}$-the, or thee.
y $^{\text {en -then. }}$
y $^{\text {er foor, or }}{ }^{\text {eer }}$ foor-therefore.
yi-thei, or they.
y -that.
ys-this.
${ }^{\text {ens }}$-thence.
yr, or yor -your. .
ẏou, or you-thou.
g-dg, as iuge, iudge, or judge.
ṕ-pri, as puili, ṕncipal, ponce, hedṕestes, for privily, principal, prince, head-priests.
p-per, as pform, perform.
$\stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}}$-pro, as p pheet, prophet.
L-Lord.
$T \mathcal{T}$-th, as $\mathbb{T}^{\text {en }}$, then $; \mathbb{T}^{\text {ei }}$, they.
ę-æ, as pręsident, præsident.
Besides the above mentioned abbreviations and contractions, Cheke sometimes employed a Greek letter, where in other cases he is found to use a double vowel, thus, Synag $\omega \mathrm{gs}$, J $\omega$ nas, J $\omega$ atham, instead of Synagoogs, Joonas, Jooatham.

In one particular case he seems also to have used the Greek letter $\chi$, instead of ch. Thus in chapter viii. ver.

14, where Peter's wife's mother is spoken of, as being " sick of a fever," she is said to be " sick of $\mathfrak{y}$ a a es," i. e. aches, or fever pains.

But one word more remains to be spoken, and that is concerning the marginal notes. These, although few in number, are curious, as being both critical and explanatory of the text. In the case of the former, of course we must not expect the criticism to be very profound, or at all comparable with that which has been applied to the Greek Testament in modern times; seeing that the study of Greek was at that time a novelty in England, and the language itself then scarcely emerged from the cloud of darkness, in which it had, together with every other species of learning, been enveloped for so long a period. Such, however, as they are, Cheke's critical annotations will not be altogether unacceptable and without interest, even to the critical scholar of the present times. And again, the remaining annotations, which are of a less critical kind, will necessarily be full of interest to every reader, as serving in some measure to illustrate the doctrinal opinions of one who instructed the young prince Edward * not only in

[^12]the rudiments of polite learning, $\dagger$ but likewise in those purified principles of religion and piety which, united with a natural sweetness of disposition, shone, as historians tell us, so conspicuously in his youthful character.

In conclusion, what is the result arising from our examination of the earlier versions of the Bible into our mother tongue? What is the result of our reading any other versions of more recent or modern date? The feeling with which we must rise from the careful perusal of them is no other than that of increased admiration at the faithfulness, accuracy, and beauty of the authorized version of the Holy Scriptures, which it is our happiness to possess. True it is that, since the time when it was made, the field of classical learning has been widely extended; the facilities of acquiring it greatly increased; and scholars have arisen from time to time, who, building on the foundations which others before them have laid, have consequently risen to a greater eminence on the steep

[^13]hill of knowledge. True likewise it is that such men have here and there noted in our authorized version a few trifling errors, and suggested a few unimportant improvements, if such indeed they may be called. But the sum of their most critical investigations has been this, that it is altogether free from any important error ; for general accuracy and faithfulness, unrivalled. Perhaps we are not sufficiently sensible of this until we bring it into comparison with other versions, or parts of versions, made before and since; for instance, with the one now before us: but having done so, it is impossible for us not to see, feel, and acknowledge its real value as the standing and trustworthy test-book of religion in the Church of England. Then can we not but feel convinced that the Church of England has religiously done her duty, and proved herself a faithful " witness and keeper of Holy Writ,"* by thus enabling her members to read and hear read in their own native tongue the wonderful works and will of God. Then can we not but fervently rejoice that the State stands boldly forward to support religion, by allowing no other version to be used in our places of public worship; and guards effectually against the introduction of errors, by confining the privilege of printing it to the ancient and learned Universities of the land. Then can we not but silently congratulate

[^14]ourselves that our lot is not cast in an age, when the reading of Holy Scripture was necessarily confined to the learned few; or when attempts only were made to teach the Word of God to the people in a language understood by rich and poor alike; but that now, in the authorized version of the Old and New Testaments, we have all received from our fathers an unmixed fountain of living waters, which it is our duty to suffer to flow on, undefiled and pure, to our children.
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## THE GOSPEL OF S. MATTHEW.

THIS is y book of Jesu Christes stock who cam of dauid, and also of Abraham. Abraham begot Isaak, and Isaak begot Jacob, Jacob begot Judas and his brethern. Judas begot phares and Zara of thamar. phares begot Esrom.

7 Esrom begot Aram. Aram begot Aminadab. Aminadab begot Naasson. Naasson begot Salmon. Salmon begat Booz of Rachab. Booz begot Obed of Ruth. Obed begot Jessaí.
14 Jessaí begot Dauid ye king.
Dauid $\dot{y}$ king begot Salomon of her $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ was Ourias Wife.

Salomon begot Roboam.
Roboam begot Abía.
Abia begot Asa.
Asa begot Josaphat.
Josaphat begot Joram.
Joram begot Ozías.
Ozias begot J $\omega$ atham.
Joatham begot Achaz.
Achaz begot Ezechíe.
Ezechi begot Manasses.
Manasses begot Amon.
Amon begot Josías.
Josías begot Jechoní and his brethern in ẙ outpeopling
of $\dot{y}$ contree to Babylon, and after this outpeoplíng to Babylon,

Jeconias begot Salathíel.
Salathiel begot Zorobabel.
Zorobabel begot Abiud.
Abiud begot Eliachim.
Eliachim begot Azor.
Azor begot Sadoc.
Sadoc begot Achím.
Achim begot Eliud.
Eliud begot Eleazar.
Eleazar begot $\mu$ atthan.
Matthan begot Jacob.
Jacob begot Joseph Maries housbond of whom Jesus was borne who was called Chríste. Therfoor from Dauid vnto Abraham theer weer feorteen degrees, and from Dauid vnto $\dot{\text { y }}$ outpepling to Babylon furteen degrees, and from $\dot{y}$ outpeopling to Babylon vnto Christe xiiij degrees.
And Jesus Chrístes birth was after this sort.
After his mother Marí was ensured* to Joseph, before thej weer coopled together, it was pceiued sche was with child, and it was in dede bi y holi ghoost. But Joseph her husbond being a iust man, and loth to vse extremitee toward her, entended puili to divorce himself from her. And being in this mind lo th'angel of y $L$. appered to him bi dream and saied Joseph thow ofspring of Dd fear not to take Marí to thi wife. For $\frac{\mathfrak{y}}{}$ sche is goth withal, is gotten bi the holi ghoost. And sche $\dagger$ bring forth a sõn, and thow schalt cal his name Jesus. For he schal save his people from theer siñes. And al this was, $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{y}$ y word which ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{L}$. spook bi his P pheet might

[^15]be fulfilled, lo á virgin schal be with chíld, and be deliverd of a sõn, and men schal* him bi name JT̃anouel which is to saí, god is with vs. And Joseph when he rose from slepe did as ý Angel of ý L. coñanded him, and took her to his wife, and lai not with her while $\dagger$ sche had brought forth her first begotten sõn, and called his naam Jesus.

Cap. 2. When Jesus was boorn in beethleem á cítí of 'isoaias Jurí, in kíng heroods daís, lo then y wísards cam from th'est parties to Jerusalem, and asked wheer the king of Jewes was $\frac{\dot{t}}{}$ was new boorn. For we saw his sterr in th'eest and we cam to worschip hím. When K. Herod herd this, he was trobled and all Jerusalẽ with him and he gatherd togither al y hedpriests and scribes of y y $\gamma \alpha \mu \mu a \pi$ ũıs people and asked of them wheer Christ schold be born. And thei answerd in Bethleem of Juda, for so it is wrítin bi ${ }^{\boldsymbol{e}}$ propheet, And thow Bethleem of Juda thow art no wais ý lest among ẙ Princes of Juda, For out of y schal cõme á ruler $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ schal feed Jsrt mi people. Then Herood callíng $\stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{y}}$ wisards puili, did narrouli serche of them the time of $\dot{y}$ sterrs appering. And sending them to Beethleem said vato them, go yo waí, and seke out diligentlí this yong child, and when ye have found him schew me theerof, $\mathbf{y}$ J mai cõme and worschip hím. Thej when thej had hard $\dot{y}$ kinges mind went theer wais. And lo ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ ster which $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ saw in $\mathrm{e}^{\mathfrak{y}}$ est, did leed them vntil it cam and stood on fi place wheer the child was. And when thei saw y star so, yid reioised gretlí, and entred into ý house, and saw ý child with marí his moýer, and fel down and worschipped him, and opend yeer treasures, and offerd him giftes gold frankensens and myrrh, and $\lambda_{i} \beta a v o \nu$

[^16]thej being warned bi á dreem, $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{y}^{\text {er }}$ schold not retorn bi herood, went hoom bi an other waí into ýeer contree.

And after thej weer goon lo $\mathfrak{y}$ angel of $\mathfrak{e}$ L. did appear to Joseph in á dream and said. rise and take ý child and his Moother, and flie into Ægypt, and theer abijd vntil J schew yow further. For heerood wil seek this child to destroi him. And he roose, and took y child bi night and his moother to, and departed into Ægupt, and theer he was while Heroods death, $\stackrel{t}{\mathrm{y}} \dot{\mathrm{t}}$ word of $\stackrel{e}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{L}$. which was spooken bí y p̊pheet, might be fulfilled. Thees weer his wordes, J have called mi sõne out of Ægupt.
Then Herood seíng ${ }^{t}$ he was plaied withal bi $\dot{f}$ wiseards, was verí angrí, and sent, and slew al the childern in beethleem, and in al y borders theerof, from two yeares downward, according to y time which he had busili enquired of afore of $\mathfrak{y}$ wíseardes. The wordes then of Jeremí y pophet was fulfilled. His woords be thees. A voice theer was herd in Rama, morning and wepíng and much wailing. Rachel wept for her childern, and wold not be comforted, for thej weer goon.

After Heeroods deeth, lo th'angel of $\mathfrak{y}$ L. appeered bi dreem to Jooseeph and said Rijs and taak $\mathfrak{y}$ child and his Moother, and go into ${ }^{\dot{f}}$ land of Jsrt. For $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ sought ý Childes life be dead. And he roos and took y Child and his mother and went again into Jsrt. And bicaus he herd $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ Archelaus reigned in Juda for Heerood his father, he was afraid to go thither. And being told bí dreem, went into ý coostes of galilee, And theer went and dwelt in á Cítee called Nazareth y y ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ ppheets worde might be fulfilled, ${ }^{\mathfrak{t}}$ he schal be called a Nazaraí.

Chapt. 3.) About thoos dais cam in Joañ baptist and preeched in ỳ wildernes of Juda, and said, Repent ye for ye kingdoom of heaven is nigh. For this is he which was spooken of bi $\stackrel{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{p}$ pheet when he said, The voice of ý Críer in $\mathfrak{y}$ wildernesse, prespaar ý waí of ẙ L., maak
his paaths streight. And Joan himself waar á garment of Camels heers, and á lether girdel about his loines. And his meat was acrids and wild honí. TTen cam forth dixpiofs to him Jerusalẽ and al Juda, and al ý borders about Jordan, and thej weer baptized bi him in Jordane, and confessed theer siñes. And when he saw maní of $\dot{y}$ pharisees and Sadducees cõming to his baptism he said to then.* O ye ofspring of adders, who hath counceld $\gamma^{\varepsilon \nu \nu i \mu \mu a r a}$ to yow, to flie from $\mathfrak{y}$ displeasur to cõme. Bring forth frute ${ }^{\text {er }}$ foor worthi repentans. And seem not to sai in yorselfs. We have Abraham to our fayer. For J sai vnto yow, $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{}$ god is abil to raise vp Abraham, childern of thees stoons. And now y ax is laid at ỳ trees roote. Eueri tree yeerfoor $\dot{t}$ bringeth not good frute, is cut down, and thrown in ý fier. J suerli doo baptize yow with water to repentance, but he $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{}$ conmeth after me, is stronger yen J am, whoos schoos J am not fít to carí, he wil baptize yow with $\mathfrak{y}$ holí ghoost and fier, whoos fãn is in his hand and he wil clense his floor, and gather his corne into his garner, and as for y chaf he wil burn it with an vnquenchable fire.

Then cãm Jesus from Galílee to Jordan vnto Joañ to be baptized of him. And Joañ wold not let him saieng. ${ }^{\prime}$ J had ned to be baptized of yow, and cõme yow to me. And Jesus answeerd him and saíd vnto him. let sich thinges go now. For thus it becõmeth vs to fulfil al ríghtuousnes. Then Joan suffred him to tri his mind, and after Jesus was baptised, he cã $\dagger$ out of $\mathfrak{y}$ water bi and bi, and lo $\frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{y}}$ hevens weer opend to him, and he saw ý spríte of god cõmíng down like á dow and lighting apon him, and lo yr cã a voice from heaven saieng. This is mi beloved sõne, with whoom $\mathbf{J}$ am wel pleased.

[^17]ỳ 4. Chapt.) y ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Jesus was caried áwaí in to á wildernes bi y if spríte, to be tempted of y devil. And after he had fasted xl. daies and xl. nightes, he was hongrí. And $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ tempter cãm and said vnto him. Jf yow be ỳ sõn of god, cormand ${ }^{\frac{t}{t}}{ }^{\text {ess }}$ stoones be made breed. But he answeerd on this wise. Jt is writín. Man schal not live in oonli breed, but in everi word $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ cõmeth from goddes mouth.

Then y devel carieth him into ý holí cítee and setteth him on $\dot{y}$ pinnacle of $\dot{y}$ temple, and saieth vnto him. Jf yow be ${ }^{\dot{e}}$ sõn of god throw thiself douneward. For it is writin, He hath charged his angels with yow, and $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$
 a stoon. Jesus said vnto him Thow schalt not tempt $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ L. thi god.

The devel again carieth him into á veri hie hil, and scheweth him al y kingdooms of $\mathfrak{y}$ world, and al theer glorí, and saieth vnto him, J wil give y al thees, if thow wilt fal down and worschip me. Jesus yn saieth vnto him. Cõme after me Satan. For it is wrítin thow schalt bow thiself down to ẙ L. ý god and him onelí schalt ýow worschip. Then let y devel him go, and lo angels cãm vnto him and waited on him.

When Jesus herd $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ Joañ was put in prison, he departed in to galílee, and leving Nazareth cãm and dwelt in Capernaum bi ye sees side, in ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ Coosts of zaboulon and Nepthalim, $\frac{\dot{t}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{f}$ words which $\mathfrak{y}$ popheet Jsaí did speek might be fulfilled. Thow land of zaboulon and land of Nepthalím, bi $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ sees sijd beiond Jordan, galilee of $\mathfrak{y}$ heethen. The peopil which sat in darknes hath seen a great light and yeer hath risin light vnto yem $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ sit in $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ contree and schadow of deth.

After ${ }^{\frac{t}{l}}$ Jesus began to preche and saí. Repent yow. For y kingdoom of heaven is at hand. But Jesus walking bi ỳ sees sijd in galílee, saw twoo brethern Simon which was called Peter, and Andrew his broother, casting á drag into $\mathfrak{y}$ see. For ${ }^{\text {y }}$ weer fischers. And he said
vnto $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$. Cõme after me and J wil maak yow menfischers. Thei bi and bi left theer nets and folowed him.

And going a litil furder on, saw oýer two broyern, James Zebedai's sõn, and Joañ his broýer in a boot with Zebedaí ýeer faather mending ýeer nets and he called yem. Thej bi and bi leving è boot and ýeer fayer folowed him,

And Jesus went round about hoole galílee, teching in ýeer Synagoogs, and preeching $\mathfrak{y}$ gospel of ýe kingdoom, and heel- synagoogs is word ing everi siknes and feblenes which was among ẙ people. for woord yeer $\quad$ malania

And ý nois of him went thorough
out hoole Surrí. And al y weer il at ease and holden with divers siknesses and torments, and weer other sprited, or moond, or palseid, thej brought vnto him and he heeled ýem. And yer folowed him a greet nomber from galilee, $\mathfrak{y}$ y tencítee, Jerusalem, Juda, and places beiond Jordan.

## ỳ 5 . Chapter.

And he seing ye great resort went vp into y hil. And when he was set his díscipils cam vnto him, and he opend his mouth and taught them on this wise.

Happí be y moorners, for $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{ei}}$ schal be conforted.
Happí be ỳ meek, for yí schal enherit ý earth.
Happí be è hungrí and thurstí of rightuousnes for $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ schal be filled.

Happí be ý pitiful, for y schal be pitied.
Happí be ý cleen in hart for yí schal see god.
Happí be y peesmakers for yi schal be called godds childern.

Happí be ẙ persequuted for rightuousnes saak，for $\mathfrak{y}$ kingdoom of heaven is theers．
Happí be yow，when yi rebuke yow，and persequut yow，and speek al evel and lie against yow for mi cause． be glad and reiois for yo reward in heaven is great． For so persequated thej ý pipheets afoor your tijm．

Yow be $\mathfrak{y}$ salt of $\mathfrak{y}$ earth，if $\mathfrak{y}$ salt be vnsaverie wheer－ with schal thinges be salted．Jt is good for none other thing，but to be throown awai，and to be trooden down bi men．

Yow be $\mathfrak{y}$ y light of y world．A cítee can not be hiden $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$ is set aloft on an hil，nor men burn not á líght，and put it vnder á buschel but in a candelstick，and it giveth light to al ${ }^{t}$ be in ${ }^{e}$ house．let yor light soo schijn befoor men $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ y $^{\text {i }}$ mai see your good workes，and give glorí to your father which is in heaven．

Think not $\dot{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{~ J}$ cam to breek $\mathfrak{~ y}$ law or $\mathfrak{e}$ propheets．J cam not to breek but to fulfil．trulí J saí vnto yow，til heaven and erth goo awaí，one iot，nor one titíl schal not go awaí from y law，til al be doon．Whosoever then breeketh oon of $\mathfrak{y}$ lest of $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ees }}$ cõmandments，and techeth men $\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{y}}$ saam，he schal be called $\stackrel{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{y}}$ leest in $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ kingdoom of heaven．But whosoever doth and techeth he schal be called greet in y kingdoom of heaven．For J saí vnto yow except your rightuousnes be moor plen－ tiful ${ }^{\text {en }}$ y y Scribes and Pharísees，yow schal not enter into $\mathfrak{y}$ kingdoom of heaven．

Ye have hard it hath be said vnto old men yow schalt not slee．Whosoever sleeth is giltí of iugment．＊who－ soever calleth his broother $\dot{\rho} a \chi$ à is giltí of councel．who－ $\overline{\text { soever calleth him foole is giltí of helfíer．Jf ýeerfoor }}$ yow bringest $\mathbf{y}^{\prime}$ gift to th＇aulter，and theer remembrest $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ $\dot{y}$ broother hath sũthing against $\dot{y}$ ，leave ý gift ger $^{\text {eer }}$ befoor

[^18]th'aulter, and first go and be agreed with ý broýer and ýn cõm and offer ýgift. Fal into favor again with $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{*}$ whijlst yow art yet in yí iornej with him, lest ỳí enmí deliver $\mathfrak{y}$ to y inge, and ye iuge deliuer y to $\mathfrak{y}$ officer, and yow be thrown in prison. J tel ${ }^{\text {e }}$ trulí yow schalt


Ye have herd it hath been said to old men. Thow schalt not cõmit advoutrí. But J sai vnto yow $\mathbf{~}$ t whosoever looketh on a woman to desijr her hath in his hert cõmitted advoutri with her. Jf $\dot{y} i$ right eie hinder $\dot{y}$, pluck it out and cast it from $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$. For it is pitabil for $\dot{\mathbf{y}} \dot{\mathbf{y}}$ oon of ý members perisch and theerbi $y^{\prime}$ hool bodí be not thrown into hel. Jt is said $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ whosoever divorceth his wife let him give her á diuorsment bil. But J sai onto yow whosoever divorceth his wife, except it be for fornicõns cause, doth mak her an adulterer, and whosoever marieth her diuorced cõmitteth adulterí.

Again ye have herd it hath been said to old men thow schalt not forsware, but ýow schalt pform $\mathbf{y}^{\frac{1}{y}}$ yow hast sworn vnto ỳ L. But J sai vnto yow sweer not at al, nother bi heaven, for it is godds seet, nor bi y earth for it is $\dot{y}$ footstool of his feet, nor bi Jerusalem for it is $\dot{y}$ Cítee of $\mathfrak{y}$ greet king nor bi $\dot{y}$ hed for yow canst not maak on heer whijt or black. But let your talk be ye ye naí naí. ỳ y is moor ýen yis. cõmeth of y'evel.

Ye have hard it hath been said an eie for an eie and á toth for á toth. But J saí vnto yow, do not withstond evel. But whosoever giveth ${ }^{\text {y }}$ a blow on ý right cheek, torn y'other to him, and let him $\frac{\dot{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ wold sue $\frac{\dot{f}}{\mathrm{y}}$ at f law
 who wold drive $\overline{\mathrm{y} \text { on a }}$ a mile, go two with him. Giue him $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ asketh $\stackrel{\mathfrak{e}}{\mathrm{y}}$, and withdraw not ýself from him $\dot{\mathrm{y}}^{\frac{t}{\prime}}$ wold borow of $\mathbf{y}$.

Ye have herd it hath been said, yow schalt love $\dot{y}$

[^19]neighbour and haat yn enmíe．But J sai vnto yow love yo ${ }^{{ }^{\text {c }}}$ enmies．Bless $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbf{t}}$ curs yow，do good to yem $\mathfrak{y}$ haat yow，praíse for $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{t}$ wold conquerr yow and psequut yow，$\frac{t}{\mathbf{y}}$ ye mai be $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{y}}$ Childern of your father which is in heaven，bicause he maketh his sõn to rise on good and bad，and sendeth rain on iust and uniust．Jf yeerfoor ye love thoos $\frac{t}{y}$ love yow，what reward have yow，do not

## y 6．Chapter．

Tank heed ye bestow not yo almes afoor men，to be seen of yem．For if ye doo ye have no reward of yor father which is in heeven．When yow givest yin almes yerfoor，blow not a hypocrites be $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ ，who plaí one part，and be far vnlike $\frac{t^{t}}{\mathrm{y}^{\text {i }}}$ plaí．and y ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ yrfoor ${ }^{\text {y }}$ pretend holines outwardli，and masck $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$ selves like Christians and march in deed devillischli，be wel called hypocrites． trompet afoor $\dot{\mathrm{f}}$ ，as hy－ pocrítes do in Syna－ gags and in streets $\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ y $^{\text {ei }}$ maí receíve glorí of men．Trulí J saí vnto yow， $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ have received ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}$ reward．But when ýow givest yín almos let not $\dot{y}$ left hand know what $\dot{y}$ right hand doth，$\dot{y}$ ý almos maí be secreet，and $y$ faýer which seeth it secret wil reward $\mathfrak{y}$ abrood．

And when yow praíest，be not lík y hypocrijts．for $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ love to stond and praí ín Synagags and corners of $\mathfrak{y}$ streets，$\frac{t}{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ mai be seen of men．Trulí J saí vnto yow， $y^{\text {ei }}$ have received ${ }^{\text {fer }}$ reward．But when yow praiest enter in to ý closet and schit ý door and praí to ý faýer secretlí， and $\dot{y}$ faýer $\mathbf{y}$ seeth $\mathbf{y}$ secreetlí， schal reward $\mathfrak{y}$ epenlí．When ye praí rehers not in word on thing
oft. as ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ heethn doo. For $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ thínk $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{i}}$ schal be hard bí ý long talk, be iow yeerfoor not lijk vnto yem. For iour fayer knoweth wheerof ye have need, befoor yow ask him. Prai yow yeerfoor on yis wijs. Our faýer which art in heaven halowed be $y^{\prime}$ name, ý kingdoom cõme, $y^{\prime}$ wil be doon in earth as it is in heven, give vs yis daí our daílí breed. And forgive vs our detts $\boldsymbol{i \pi}$ tóoos as we forgive $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ be our dettors, and lead vs not into tempting, but deliver from $\mathfrak{y}$ evel. For thijn is $\dot{y}$ kingdoom, $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ powr, and glorie for ever and aí. Ameen. For if ye forgíue men theer fauts, your heavenli fayer wil forgive yow, if ye do not forgive men yeer fauts, yo ${ }^{\text {r }}$ faýer wil not forgive yow yowrs.

When ye fast be not lowring lijk hypocrijts. for $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma_{c}$ alter $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ faces $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ maí appeer to men, to be fasters. But dqavitovot. when yow fastest anoint over ý hed, and wasch ýface, $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ yow maist not appere to men to fast, but secretlí to $\dot{y}$ faýer, and y fayer which seeth y secretlí wil reward $\dot{y}$ openlí.

Hord not yourself vp greet hoords on $\mathfrak{y}$ earth, wheer nother moth nor rust can wast yem, and wheer theeves ápaviदec maí dig vnto yem and steel ýem. But hoord yourselves hoords in heaven, wheer noýer moth nor rust can wast yem, and wheer theves can not dig vnto yem nor steel yem. For wheer your treasur is yeer be your harts.

The eie is $\mathfrak{y}$ candel of $\mathfrak{y}$ bodí, if theerfoor ýn eie be $\lambda \dot{v} x{ }^{\prime}$ os cleen al ý hool bodí wil be lightsom, But if yn eie be not wel, thi hool boodí wil be darksõm. Jf ýeerfoor $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ light within $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ be darknes, how much schal $\mathfrak{y}$ derknes itself be.

No man can serve ij
Masters. For oýer he schal hat $\mathfrak{y}$ on and love ẙ oýer or els he schal cleeve to $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ oon, and despise $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ other.
ye can not booth serve god and Mãmon. Th ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ foor $J$


## y' 7. Chapter.

Juge not ${ }^{\text {t }}$ ye be notiuged, for with what iugment ye iuge, ye schal be iuged again, and with what measure ye measure, ye schal be measured again. Whí doost yow see á moot in ý broýers eie, and canst not spí a beam in thijn own. or how can yow sai to ý broýer. Hold stil J wil pluck out a moot of thijn eie.* Thow hypocrite take awaí ý beem first out of thijn eie, and ỳen maist yow see to take awaí á moot out of y broyers eie.

Giue not ${ }^{\text {y }}$ holí to dogges, nor throw not your mar- $\mu$ apyapitas garites befoor swijn, lest y treed $\mathrm{y}^{\text {em }}$ down with $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eir }} \overline{\text { feet }}$, and torn back on yow, and al to teer yow.

Ask and it schal be given yow, seek and ye schal find, knock and ye schal have it opend vnto yow. For everí man $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathbf{t}}$ asketh receiueth, and he $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$ seketh findeth, and he schal have it opend vnto him $\dot{y}^{\dot{t}}$ dooth knock. What man is yeer among yow, who wil give his sõne á stoon, if he ask him breed, or wil give him á serpent if he ask "opiv him fisch. And if yow being evel men do know to give yo ${ }^{\text {r }}$ childern good giftes, how much moor wil yo fayer in heaven, give good things to $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{em}} \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathrm{y}}$ ask him. Al things ýeerfoor ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ ye wold men schold do to yow, do yow vnto $\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{y}}$. This is $\stackrel{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{y}}$ law and y propheets.

Enter in bi a narrow gaat, For ỳ gaat is wijd and $\dot{y}$ wai brood, $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ leadeth to destruction, and maní goeth in theerbí. And $\mathfrak{y}$ gaat is narrow, and $\mathfrak{y}$ waí streight $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ ledeth to life, and few $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ be $\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ find it.

Taak heed of fals $\stackrel{\circ}{ }$ pheets which cõm to yow in scheeps garments, and inwardli y' be ravening wolfes. Bi yer fruut schal ye know $\mathfrak{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$. Do men gaẏer of thoorns áka ${ }^{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{\theta}$

[^20]$\tau \rho \nless \beta \lambda \omega \nu \quad$ graaps, or figges of briers. So everí good tree bringeth
y 8 . Chapter.
And when he cãm from ${ }^{\text {e }}$ hil ${ }^{\text {ger }}$ folowd him a greet companj of men, and lo á leper stood, and boud himself to him and said L. if yow wilt yow maist clens me, And Jesus stretched forth his hand, and touched him and said. J wil. be thow clensed. And bí and bí his lepernes was clensed. And Jesus said vnto him, look yow tel no man. But go ý wais schew yself to ỳ priest. And offer
$\frac{\mathrm{t}}{}$ gift which Moses cõmanded to be given $\dot{\mathrm{t}}^{\text {eid }}$ might beer witness yeerof.

As Jesus cam into Capernaum, yeer cam an hunderder vnto him and sued vnto him on this sort. Sir mi servant $\pi$ ais lieth sick in mi house of y palsej, grevousli tormented. And Jesus said vnto him. I wil cõme and heel him. And y hunderder answerd him with yes wordes. Sir J am not á fit man whoos house ye schold enter. Saí ye onlí y word and mi servant schal be heeled. For I am a man vnder $\dot{y}$ power of ojer, and have soldiers vnderneth me, and J sai to ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ soldier go and he goeth, and to an other cõm and he cõmeth, and to mi servant do $y^{s}$ and he doth it. Jesus heering $y^{8}$ marvelled and said to $\hat{y}^{\mathrm{m}} \dot{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{y}}$ folowed him. Trulí $\mathbf{J}$ sai vnto yow, J have not found so greet faith no not in Jsrt. But J sai vnto yow $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{}$ mani schal cõm from ý Est, and $\mathfrak{y}$ West, and schal be set with Abraham Jsaak and Jacob in ý kingdoom of heaven, but $\dot{y}$ childern of $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ kingdoom schal be thrown in to outward darknes, yeer schal be wepíng and gnasching of teth. And Jesus said to ${ }^{\ell}$ hunderder, go $y$ wais and as yow belevedst, so be it vnto $\frac{f}{y}$. And his servant was heeled even in ý saam howr.

And Jesus cam in to Peters hous, and saw his moother in law laid down and sick of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ axess, and he touched $\pi$ uperos her bi ${ }^{\circ}$ hand and ${ }^{\circ}$ axes left her, and sche roos and served them.

And late in $\mathfrak{y}$ evening $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ brought him mani $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ was develled, and with his word he cast out ý sprits, and healed al $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathbf{t}}$ weer il at ease, $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ Jsaie $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ popheets wordes which he spaak might be fulfilled. He hath taken our weaknes on him, and hath born our sickness.

And Jesus seing much resort about him cõmanded yem to go to ye fur side of $\mathfrak{y}$ water. And on of $\mathfrak{y}$ Scribes cam and said vnto him. Master J wil folow ý whiyersoever yow goost. and Jesus said vnto him, Foxes hath
dẽns, and $\dot{y}$ birds of y'aier hath nests, but $\mathfrak{y}$ sõn of man hath not wheer he mai lai his hed.

And an oyer of his disciples said vnto him. Sir suffer me first to depart, and burí mi fayer. And Jesus said vnto him folow me and let ý deed burí yeer deed.

And after he enterd into á boot his díscipils folowed him, and lo yeer was á greet
his scholers, which lerne of hím, and be taught ${ }^{\text {eer }}{ }^{\circ}$ pession, and ẏoos we call discipils. stoorm on y f see, in so much $\frac{1}{y}$ y boot was coverd with $\frac{\text { y }}{}$ waves. He slept. And his discipils cãme and raised him, and said. L. save vs we perísch. And he said vnto yem, ye smalfaithd whi be ye aferd. $\dot{y}$ en he roos and rebuked $\dot{y}$ windes and $\dot{y}$ see, and yeer was á great calm. But $\dot{\dot{y}}$ men yeer marveled and saied. What maner of man is $\mathrm{y}^{18} \mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ winds and see obej hím.

And after he was corme on $\mathfrak{y}$ other side into $\dot{y}$ gergeseens contree, ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ mett hím ij develds, cõming forth from $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ graves, verí fiers men, so $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{}$ no man cold pas $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{}$ waí, and lo yi cried and said, what haav we to do with ỳ Jesus yow sõn of god. Cãmest y yow hither afoor hand to torment vs. And yeer was a good wai from $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{em}}$ an herd of mani swijn feedíng. And y devels desird him saieng. Jf yow cast vs forth suffer vs to go into ${ }^{\text {ee }}$ heard of swijn. And he bad $\mathrm{y}^{\text {em }}$ goo. And $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ went forth, and went into $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ herd of swijn. And lo $\dot{\mathrm{f}}$ hool heerd of swijn set on y $^{\text {eer }}$ waí bí an hedlong place in to $\mathfrak{y}$ see, and died in $\mathfrak{y}$ waters. And ý swijnherds fled and cãme into citee, and told $\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{y}^{\text {é }}$ hool matter, and what taking $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}}$ develleds weer in. And loo ý hool citee cam forth and met Jesus, and after ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ had seen him $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ desired him ${ }^{\dot{t}}$ he wold depart out of yoors coosts.

## ý 9. Chapter.

And he went in to á boot, and passed over, and cãm $\pi \lambda^{2} o i o v$ into his own cítee, and lo y' brought him á palsied, bedreed, and Jesus seing yeer faith said to $\dot{y}$ palsied, be of good cheer sõn ý sines ar forgiven ye ${ }^{\text {ef }}$. And lo certein of ${ }^{\circ}$ Scribes said within $\dot{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$ selves. This man blasphemith. And Jesus seing theer minds said. Whi do yow think evel in yo ${ }^{\text {r }}$ hartes. whiyer is it easier to saí ý sins be forgiven $\dot{y}$, or to saí rijs and walk. But $\mathbf{y}$
$\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \varepsilon i \nu$, is to speek il and odious wordes, not fit to be cõmuned bi sich a man as presumeth to talk what he list, of malice, stubburnes, affection or ignorans. ye mai know $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbf{y}}$ y sõn of mãn hath power to forgijv sins on y earth. T/en said he to ${ }^{\text {y }}$ palsied. Rise take $y$ bed and go to y house. And he roos and went to his hous. And when ý resort saw this yeí marveild, and gave glori to god, who
iva $\delta \varepsilon$. A figure of vehemencie wheer $\mathfrak{y}$ half sentence is left out to be vnderstond, thei cal y figure in greek
As he wold have put to, see what J wil doo. had given sich power to men.

And as he passed bí, he saw á man sitting at ỳ tolbooth whoos naam was Matthew, and he saith vnto him folow me, and he roos and folowd him. And it cam to pass, as he was set in ẙ hous, lo maní tollers and rèшัvat siners sat doun also with Jesus and with his discipils. The pharisais seing yis said to his discipils. Whi doth yo ${ }^{r} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$. eet with tollers and siners. And Jesus heering ýis said vnto yem, ye strong have no need of á phisition, but yoos $\dot{y}$ be il at ease. But go ye and lern what $\mathrm{y}^{\text {is }}$
$\theta v \sigma i ́ a$. is such beests as god appointed in y old law to be brought to e emple, slain and offerd to him, for diuers causes, and especialli which $\mathrm{y}^{\text {el }}$ leest considerd, to have Christes bloud sched and death in memorí, and yer bi to lern to worschip Christ, as a redemer, and to kepe his cõmandments, as dutí and true servíce, and not as deserts. wheerbí it appeareth how necessar! it is to vse neighbourli workes of charítee, rather $\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{n}}$ to appoint $\mathbf{y}$ greet part of our pifession, in sacramental ynges, ordeind for certein godlí purposes and not for dailí exercíses. this word for $\mathbf{y}$ true torn of $\mathbf{y}$ greek mai be called
 be called an holigaf.*
meens. J wil have mercie and not sacrifice. For J cam not to cal iust men but sinners to repentans. Then cam Joans disciples to him saieng, whí do we and $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ pharisais fast much, but ý discipils fast not. And Jesus said vnto ýem. Can ẙ wedding childern moorn so long as ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ bridegroom is with ẏem. ý dais schal cõm when $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ bridegroom schal be taaken from yem, and yen schal ýei fast. No man doth lai on a patch of an vnfulled ragg on an old garment, for it taketh awai $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ hoolnes of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ garment, and it is wors when it is cut. Nor ýei put not new wijn in old bottels, for if $\mathrm{y}^{\text {fi }}$ doo $\dot{y}$ bottels breek, and ý wijn rüneth out and ý bottels be marred. but yei put new wijn in new bottels, and so booth be saved. As he was yus talkíng, lo á certein ruler cam and bowed down to him saieng. Mi doughter is latelí deed. Cõ̃e and lai ý hand apon her and let her lijv. And Jesus roos and folowed him and so did his discipils. And lo á certein woman which had twelf year togiyer ỳe bloodí flux, cãm behind him and touched ye hẽm

[^21]of his garment. For sche said to herself Jf J touch onelí his cote J schal be safe. Jesus torned and saw her, and said, Be of good cheer doughter $y$ faith hath saved $\mathfrak{y}$. And $\mathfrak{y}$ woman was safe from $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ tijm forward.

And Jesus when he cam in to y rulers hous, and saw yer ${ }^{\text {ef }}$ minstrels and $\mathfrak{y}$ compani about ${ }^{\text {er }}$ much trobled he aì $\eta$ ras said vnto $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$. go forth, For $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{s}}$ maid is not dead but on sleep, and $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ laught at him. And when $\mathfrak{y}$ throng was put out he cam in and took her bí $\mathfrak{y}$ hand, and $\mathfrak{y}$ maid roos. And y faam heerof went thorough ý hool contree.

And as Jesus passed from ${ }^{\text {ens }}$ theer folowed him two blind men crieng and calling. pítí vs you Son of Dđ. when he cam into ẙ house ý blind cãm vnto him and Jesus said to yem. Belev ye ${ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{J}$ am abil to do yis. T/ei said vnto him, ye Sir. He touched yen yeer eies and said, Be it vnto yow according to your faith, and yer eies
 man know it. And yei went forth, and spreed his naam abrood thorough $\frac{t}{y}$ hool contree.

As yi weer going awaí yi brought him á domb, and á develled man, and after $\mathfrak{y}$ devel was cast out, ẙ dõm spake, and ${ }^{\text {y }}$ peopil marveld and said. This was never seen in Jsrt befoor. ẙ Pharísais said. He casteth out bi ý chief devel. And Jesus went about al ý citees and villages teching in yer Synagoogs and preching ý gospel кш́ная of $\dot{f}$ kingdoom, and heeling al siknes and al $\dot{y}$ weeknes among $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ peopil. And seing a greet companí yer had
 who hath no scheepherd. Then saith he to his discipils. $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ hervest is great, and $\mathfrak{y}$ woorkmen few. Prai $\mathrm{y}^{\text {gerfoor }}$ $\dot{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{L}$. of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ hervest, $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ he mai thrust forth his workmen into $\mathbf{y}$ hervest.

## y 10. Chapter.

And when he had called his twelf discipils he gave $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$ authoritee again vncleen sprites to throw yem out, and to heel everi siknes and febelnes. $\mathfrak{y}$ xij Apostols naams weer yees. $\dot{y}$ first Simon which was called Peter, and andrew his broyer. Jaams ý son of Zebedaí and Joañ his broýer, Philip and Bartholomew, Thoomas and Matthew ý toller, Jaams Alphais sõn. and lebbaí who was called Thaddaí, Simon Chananijt and Joudas Jscarioot $\mathbf{y}$ betraid him. Thees xij Jesus sent from him and gave $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{em}}$ thus in charge. Go
bicause ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ weer apostols he sent $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$ abrood from him to do $\frac{\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{y}}}{} \mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ weercalled vnto, for an Apostol if ye wold have $\mathbf{y}^{\circ}$ trutorn of ${ }^{\circ}$ naam is as much to sal as á frosent. $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ is on sent from aní to do his message and his charge. for even as y yervant is to ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$. and $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ son to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ faýer, so is $\mathfrak{y}$ frosendèr, and $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ frosent. As Christ saieth. Theer is no servant above $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$. nor y y Apostol above him $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ sendeth him. not abrood among $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ heýen, nor cõm not in ani of $\mathfrak{y}$ Samaritans citees but goo rayer to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ lost schepe of Jsrts hous. And in yo ${ }^{\text {r }}$ goíng about prech vnto yem yus. $T^{e}$ heavenlikingdoom is at hand, heel ý feble, clens $\mathfrak{y}$ lepers, raíse $\mathfrak{y}$ dead, cast out devels, freelí ye have receiued freeli give $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ saamagaín. Noýer posses yow gold, nor sílver, nor brass about yow, nor scrip to iornej with, nor ij coots, nor schoos, nor walking staavs. For ỳ workman is worýi his food. But whatsoever cítí yow enter into serch whiýer $y^{\text {eer }}$ be aní wory man in it and remain with hím til ye go out of ỳ saam. When ye enter ínto aní hous, salut it and if it be worý, yoor good speed schal rest ýeeron, if not, yo ${ }^{r}$ good speed schal retorn to yow again, and whosoever receiueth yow not, nor heereth yo wordes, go out
of ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ hous or cítí, and schaak of evn y dust of your feet. J saí trulí vnto yow, Jt schal be moor sufferabil in ý dai of íugment to f land of So dom and gomor, $\mathrm{y}^{\text {en }}$ to y cití.
lo J send yow as scheep among ý middest of wolves. Be taak not so much awaí of $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ers }}$ with yow as is lest and vilest and cõmunest, but be out of $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ dangers, even give yem y ${ }^{\text {er }}$ dust again which vnsought for and vndesired, cõmunlílighteth on yow. yerfoor wijs as serpents and plain as doovs. Taak öфers
 houses, and in $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ synagoogs $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ wil scourge yow. and ye schal be brought to rulers and kinges for mi caus, *and so schal ye witnes me to yem and to ye theyen.

And when ye be brought befoor ýem be not thoughtful how or what ye speek. For it schal be geven yow even in $\mathfrak{y}$ saam hour what ye schal sai. For yow speek not yen, but $y^{r}$ fayers sprit is $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ which speeketh in
$\sigma v \nu \delta \delta \rho o \nu$ is ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ councel hous, for in councel and debating of maters men wer wont to sitt, as ẙ servantsaid pretelíin ý poet, $\ddagger$ J am moor wijs a great deal when I sit. and yeerfoor Juges cõmunlí sitteth in giving y ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ sentences, and yeer sitting places be ýeer cauncel houses.

* eis raptúpov. A greet comfort to $\dot{y}$ faithful, $\dot{y}$ when $\dot{y}^{i}$ be trobled and vexed of $\dot{y}$ world, and yeerbí feer $\dot{t}^{\dot{y}}$ gods

[^22]word schal not go forward but be slaunderd, it cõmeth to pas far contrarí to ýeer looking to, and it is told $\dot{y}$ moor and $\dot{y}$ boldlier, and yerfoor often tijms psuadeth $\mathfrak{y}$ governers as it did in á maner king Agrippa. an other comfort $\mathbf{y}$ $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ bi $\mathrm{y}^{\text {s }}$ be not ponisched but occupied to schew yer doctrijn $\dot{\text { y }}$ constantlier, and yeerfoor pleas god, when ye world thinketh such miserable and forsaken men be despised of god.
 al men besid ${ }^{\text {em }}$ selves sũmtíme grecians, but cõmunlí heýen. Euen as ỳ ægyptían and $\mathfrak{y}^{\circ}$ grecian called everí contree in despite beside yeer own barbarous. ý romans called all oẏer externos. ý germans and our old Saxons called $\mathfrak{y}$ lijk $\rightarrow$ welsch men. We now cal yem strangers and outborns, and outlandisch.
olkıákвs
$\kappa n ́ \rho v \xi$ is á cõmun criar in iugements, whoos voices lightlí be greet of yemselves and bi exercise maad greater, and lijk wijs
yow. On broýer schal betraí an oýer to deeth, and é faýer lijkwijs $\mathfrak{y}$ child. And y y childern schal stirr again $\mathbf{y}^{\text {er }}$ faýers and be causers of yeer deeth. And for mí naams saak schal ye be hated of al men. But he $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ wil abide vnto y'end schal be saaf. When ýei pursue iou in ýis citi fli vnto an oyer. Trulí J sai vnto íou, yow schal not have doon yo ${ }^{r}$ circuit of $\dot{y}$ citees of Jsrt befoor y $^{\text {t }}$ ye son of man cõm. T/eer is no discipil aboov his techer nor servant aboov his $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$. Jt is sufficient for y discipil to be as his techer was, and ye servant as his $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ was. Jf y yei cal ye good man of ye hous beelzeboul, hou much moor wil y ${ }^{\text {eit }}$ cal y meíní so. Fear yem not yerfoor. For yer is nothing coverd, which schal not be dísclosed, nor no hiden thing $\mathbf{y}$ schal not be known. Sai iou in $\dot{y}$ light, $\mathfrak{y}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{J}$ tel iou in y y dark, and $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ is told iou in iour ear, speek it aloud in houses. Fear not ẏem $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ kil $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ bodí and be not abil to kil y soul.

Fear him rayer which is abil to destroie in hel booy iour bodí and iour soul. Be not two sparows sold for á farying, and noon of $\overline{\text { yem }}$ schal fal to ${ }^{\text {y }}$ ground,
in war ẙ heralds be called $\kappa n \dot{\eta} \rho v$ kES, whoos dutí is, as ẙ oýer mans also boldlí and loud to saí y which yi be bidden. vnto $\dot{\text { y }}$ which y'euangelist heer look- à $\sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ eth vnto when he vseth y' word кпри́そатє. without íour faýer. And al ye heers of íour heds be nombred, fear not yeerfoor. for ie be better yen mani sparows. Everí on yerfoor ${ }^{\frac{t}{x}}$ wil acknoulege me befoor men, J wil acknoulege him befoor mi fayer which is in hearn. and whoso ever denieth me befoor men, J wil also denie him befoor mi faýer which is in heavn. Think not $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{J}$ cõm to set $\dot{y}$ earth at peace but at warr. J cãm not to bring peas but
 $\overline{\text { doughter against }} \mathfrak{y}$ moẏer, and $\mathfrak{y}$ doughter in law, against her moyer in law, and a mans oun houshold be enmies to him. He $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{}$ loveth his fayer or his moyer aboor me is not worýl me. And he $\dot{y}^{\frac{t}{2}}$ loveth his sõn or his doughter above me is not worý me. And he $\dot{y}^{\dot{y}}$ taketh not his cross and folowth me is not worý me. He $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ gaineth his $\varepsilon \dot{v} \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$ lijf schal loos it, and he $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ looseth his lijf for mi caus schal gain it. He y receiveth iou receiveth me, and he $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ receiveth me receiueth him $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ sent me. He $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathrm{t}}$ receiveth a propheet for $\dot{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{p}$ pheets saak schal receiv $\frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{f}}$ reward for a p pheet. $\dot{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{t}}$ he is a ppht. He $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{} \mathbf{y}$ receiveth á iust man for ý iust mans saak schal receiv $\mathfrak{j}$ reward for a iust man. And whosoever giueth on of yees litil ons a cup of water oonlí for y discipils saak, Truli J sai vnto yow, schal not lose his reward.
$\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{t}}$ he is a iust man.
$\psi$ uxpòv in greek and frigida in latin, signifie water, bicause it hath in drinkíng an excellencie of coldnes.

## ý 11. Chapter.

And it cãm to pass when Jesus had ended his charge to his twelf disciples, he went from yens to tech and preech in yer citees. Joañ being in prison and heering Christs doings sent ij of his disciples with yis word vnto him. Art yow he $\frac{1}{\mathrm{y}}$ is to cõm, or look we for an oyer. And Jesus answerd on yis soort to ẏem. Go íour wais to Joañ and tel him what ye heer and see. y blind seeth, and ye laam walketh, ye lepers be clensed, ẙ deef heereth, $\dot{y}$ deed be raised, and $\dot{y}$ beggars be gospeld.
$\sigma \kappa a \nu \delta a \lambda i ́ \sigma \theta \eta$

$\mu a \lambda a k o i ̃ s$
$\tilde{a}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{0}$
$\beta \iota \dot{\jmath} \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ßıaбтаi $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$
$\gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon d \nu$ And happí is he which is not offended with me.

After yei weer goon Jesus began to saí to ye compainí yeer of Joañ. what went ye to see in ${ }^{\mathfrak{y}}$ wildernes. A windschaken reed. But what went ye indeed to see. A man appareld in fijn cloying. But yeí ${ }^{\mathfrak{t}}$ go in fijn apparel be in kinges houses. But what went iow to see, a ${ }^{\circ}$ pheet. ye surlí J tel yow and moor yen a ppht. For yis is he of whoom it is writin. Lo $J$ send mi messenger afoor $\dot{y}$, which schal prępaar yii wais befoor $\mathbf{y}$ cõmíng. Trulí J sai unto yow, among y yem $\dot{y}$ be born of women, yeer is noon rísin greeter yen Joan Baptist. Howbeit he y is lesser* in y kingdoom of heevn is greeter yen he. From Joan baptists dais vnto $\mathrm{y}^{\text {is }}$ tijm $\dagger$ is straíghtlí extremlí orderd, and extreem men ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ catch it. $\overline{F o r ~ a l ~ y ~} \mathrm{y}$ popheets and y law did forsaí vnto Joañs tijm. And if ye wil so taak
$\pi \rho о ф \tilde{\eta} \tau a l$. be ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ whoom god enspired to declaar goddes díspleasur against sinful and disobedient men, and ý iust ponischmẽt of sĩn, and overthrow of sinners, and to comfort th'af- $\overline{\text { dern }} \dot{\mathbf{y}}$ sit in $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ high

[^23]streets and speek to ỳeerfelous and saí, We have blooun our pipes to yow, and ie have not danced, we haav moornd vnto yow, and ie haav not wailed. For Joañ cãm noỳer eating nor drinking, and yei sai he hath á devel. And ye sõn of man cam eating and drinking. lo ${ }^{\text {je }}$ is is eater and yis wijndrinker, this tollers and sinners freend. And wisdoom is cleen rid from her own childern. ẏen began Jesus to rebuuk yoos cities, in y y which yeer had been doon mani mightí things, $\mathbf{y}$ $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ repented not. Wo be to f Corazin wo be vnto $\mathfrak{y}$ Beethsaida, for if so be it yoos mightí thinges, which hath be doon among you had been doon in tyrus and Sidon, yei wold have repent in sackcloth and asches a good while. But J

[^24]sai vnto you it schal be moor sufferable in ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ dai of iugment for tyrus and Sidoon yen for iou. And yow Capernaum which hast been set vp aloft vnto heavn schalt be brought lou vnto hel. For if yoos mightí things which have been doon in $\dot{y}$, had been doon in Sodom, $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ wold have remaind to yis dai. But J sai vnto yow it schal be moor sufferabil to $\dot{y}$ land of Sodom in $\dot{y}$ dai of iugmẽt ẏen to ẏe.
At $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}}$ tijm Jesus answeerd and said. J must needs ó fayer acknoulege thanks vnto yंe ól. of heavn and erth, which hast hiden y ${ }^{\text {ees }}$ thinges from wijs and wíttí men, and hast disclosed $\mathfrak{y}$ saam to baabs, ie * and ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ fayer for sich was thi good pleasur heerin. Al thinges be deliverd me of mi fayer. And no man knoueth ý sõn but ỳ fayer, nor noon knoweth ỳ fayer but ý sõn, and he to whoom ý sõn wil discloos it. Cõm to me al $\mathfrak{y}$ labor and be burdeind and $\mathbf{J}$ wil eas iou. Taak mi iook on iou and learn of me for $\mathbf{J}$ am mild and of a lowli hart. And ie schal find quietnes for yourselves. For mi iook is pifitabil, and mi burden light.

## y 12. Chapter.

At $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ tijm Jesus went on $\mathfrak{y}$ Sabboth daí, $\dagger$ thorough $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ Corn. And his discíples weer hungrí, and began to pluck è ears and eat,
$+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ which we cal now Saterdaí, was among ý Jues called y Sabboth dai, as ye wold sai and $\dot{y}$ pharisais seing $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$, said vnto him. lo $\mathfrak{y}$ $y$ disciples do $y$ which

[^25]is not lauful to be doon on $\mathfrak{y}$ Sabbot dai. He said vnto yem have ye not herd what Da did, how he and yoos weer with him weer hongri, how he enterd y hous of god, and eat y schew bread, which was not lauful for him nor his ẏeer to eat but ${ }^{\text {y }}$ priests onlí. Haav ye not red in ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{y}$ law $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ ỳ priests in y tempil do break y law, and yet be vnblaamd. But J sail vnto íou y y yer is heer greater $\dot{y}$ en $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ temple. But if ie knew what it meaneth, J wil mercí and not sacrifice, ie wold not condẽn $\dot{y}$ fautles. for $\dot{y}$ sõn of man is lord of $\dot{y}$ Sabbot.

And as he passed from yens he enterd into yeer Sy nagoog. And lo yeer was á man with a dri hand, and $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ a asked him thees words. whiyer it was lauful to heal on y Sabbot daí, $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{e}^{i}$ might accuse him. But he said vnto yem. which of iou is yeer y hath á scheep, and it fel on a Sabbot into ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{p}$ pit, $\frac{1}{\mathrm{y}}$ wold not katch him and taak him vp, and how mich better is á man ỳn a scheep. Jt is lauful yerfoor on y $\mathbf{y}$ Sabbot dai to do wel. y yen saith he to ỳe man hold out ýi hand, and he held it out. And it was restoord again to be hool as ye oyer. But y pharisais went out and took councel against him, $\mathbf{y}^{\frac{1}{j}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{1}}$ míght destroí him. Jesus knouíng so much went from yem and a great compani of men folowd him, and he heald yem al and charged yem yei schold not bewrai him. $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$ y word spooken bi Jsaí might be fulfilled.


ย่ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ צัтає

Lo mi servant whoom I haav set forward, mi wel beloved with whoom $\mathbf{J}$ am wel pleased. J wil put mi sprijt on him, and he schal give íugment among $\dot{y}$ heẏen. He wil neẏer strive nor crí, nor no man schal heer his voíce in ý streats. He wil not break á broused reed, and he wil not quench $\mathfrak{y}$ smooking week,* vntil he maak right iugment have ye victori, and y ye heýn schal hoop in his naam. yen was yeer brought to him a develd, blind and doom, and he healed him in so much $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ y f bind and doom could speek and see. And $\dot{y}$ resort of pepil weer amazed yeerwith and said. Js not yis ỳ son of dauid. And $\dot{y}$ Pharísais heering yis said. yis man casteth not out devels but bí Beelzeboul pnce of devils. But Jesus seing y yeer thoughts said vnto y yem, Euerí kingdoom diuided within itself, is wasted, and everí citee and house diuided within itself, schal not stond. Jf Satan throw out Satan, he is diuided against himself. How $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{g}}$ schal his kingdoom stond. And if J -icast out devels bi beelzeboul, wheerbí do yo ${ }^{\circ}$ childern throw ỳem out. Thej schal ỳerfoor be iour iuges. But if J cast out devels bí ẙ sprit of god, ỳen is gods kingdoom cõme to iou. For how can on enter into á strong mans hous, and taak awaí his houshold stuff, except he first bind $\dot{y}$ strong man and after spoil his house. He $\dot{y}$ is not with me is against me, and he $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ gayerth not with me scatereth. J sai y yeerfoor vnto iou y everi faut and il speech schal be forgiven men, but y'il speech

[^26]against y holi ghoost schal not be forgiven men. And whosoever speketh ani word again ý sõn of man it schal be forgiven him, but whosoever speeketh again $\dot{y}$ holi ghoost it schal not be forgiven him noyer in ýs world nor in ý world to cõm. Oyer maak y tree good and his fruit good, or maak ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ tree evel and his fruit evel, for ${ }^{\mathfrak{y}}$ tree is known bi his fruit. ie ofspring of vipers how ex $\delta^{\delta \nu} \bar{\omega} \nu$ can iou being evel speek good thinges. For according to ý fulnes of $^{\mathfrak{e}}$ hart $\mathfrak{y}$ tong speketh. A good man doth bring forth good thinges of ỳ good stoorhous of his hart. and an il man bríngeth forth il thinges of y'il stoorhouse of his hart. But $J$ sai vnto yow $\frac{t}{y}$ men schal give an accompt in $\mathfrak{y}$ dai of iugment for everí idel word yeí speek. For bi $y$ wordes yow schalt be quitt, and bi $\dot{y}$ words ẏou schalt be condẽned. Then certein of $\mathfrak{y}$ Scrijbs and Pharísais vsed ýs talk vnto him. Mr̃ we wold se sõm tooken from iou. He answerd yus vnto ẏem. ýs evel and advouterous ofspríng seekith á tooken, but ẏeer schal no tooken but Jonas $\frac{\dot{E}}{\text { y }}$ pphets tooken be given to it. For even as Jonas was iij dais and iij nightes in $\mathfrak{y}$ whaals belí, so schal ẙ sõn of man lí in y earthes hart iij dais and iij nights. ye men of Níneve schal rijs at ỳ iugment with yis ofspring and schal condẽn it, for yei repented at Jonas preching and lo yeer is greeter yen Joonas heer. $\dot{y}$ queen of $\dot{\mathrm{g}}$ south schal rijs at $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ iugment with yis ofspring and schal condẽn it. For sche cam from $\dot{y}$ earths end to heer Solomoons wijsdoom, and lo yeer is greater heer yen Salomon was. When ye vncleen sprit goeth out of a man he passeth bí drí places, and seeketh rest and findeth noon. yen saith it J wil retorn to mi hous from whens $J$ cam. he cõmeth and findeth it vndwelt in, swept, and trïmd. TJen goeth he $\sigma$ xo入áłovta and taaketh to him seven devels moor wors yंen himself, and $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ go in togiyer and dwel y yeer, and ye latter taking of ys man is wors yen $\dot{y}$ first. Euen so schal it be with yis kind.

Whil he was ỳus speeking to $\mathfrak{y}$ compaini ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ was ỳer, a $\bar{\delta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \phi 0 i \quad$ lo his moyer and his kinsmen stood without and sought to speek with him. And a certein man yeer toold him, yi moyer and yi kinsmen stand heer without and seek to speek with $\frac{\dot{f}}{\mathrm{y}}$. He said yen to him $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ told him $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$. Who is mi mooyer and who be mi kinsmen. And he put out his hand toward his discipils and said. ló heer mi moỳer and mi kinsmen, for who soever doth mi fayers wil who is in heaven he is mi kinsman and mi kinswoman and mi moẏer.

## y 13. Chapter.

On ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ daí Jesus cõming from y hous, sat bi ý see sijd, and much compaini was gayerd togiyer, in so much $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ he went into á boot and set him doun yeer. and al ý hool companí stood on y bank. And he spaak vnto ỳm much in biwordes and said. On a tijm ỳ souer went forth to soow, and whil he was in soowing sũm fel bi $\dot{y}$ wais sijd, and $\dot{y}$ birds cam and devourd it. and sõm fel in stooni places, wheer it had not much earth, and it cam vp bi and bi, bicaus it had no depth in th'earth, and when $\dot{y}$ sõn was risen it was burnt vp, and bicause it had no root it dried vp. Oyer fel in ${ }^{\circ}$ brambels and $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ brambels roos vp and chooked it. Oyer fel in $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ good ground, and ielded fruit, sũm an hunderd, sum threescoor, sum thurtí. He y hath ears to heer let him heer. And his discipils cãm and said vnto him, whi doost you speek yus to yem bi biwords. He answerd thus and said vnto $\dot{y}$ yem. Jt is given to iou to know y misteries of $\mathfrak{y}$ kingdoom of heaven, but to
á mysterí is a secret and an hiden thing, which ought not to be schewed abrood, and so it is called in greak, bi trutorn, for stopping of his mouth, $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ yem it is not given. For whosoever hath it schal be given vnto him, and he schal have plentí, but whosoever
yoos thinges, befoor ý speeking hath not, ie even $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ wheerof a man ought to stop his mouth, and specialli in $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ heaẏen godds relígion as yings vnfit to be told abrood, be called mysteries.
which he hath schal be taken from him. And for this cause $J$ speek to ým bi biwords $\dot{y}^{\dot{1}} \mathrm{y}^{1}$ seing see not, and heering heer not nor
vnderstond not. And Esaí ẙ popheets saieng is fulfilled, ye schal heer with your ears and vnderstond not, see ye schal but peeiue it ye schal not. For $\mathfrak{y}$ hert of yis peopil is thickend, and yei have hevili herd with yer eares, and ${ }^{\text {et }}$ have winked hard with y yeer own eies, leest $\mathrm{y}^{\text {i }}$ schold see with yeer eies and heer with ye r ears, and vnderstond with yeer hart, and retorn, and $J$ schold
 ears $\dot{y}^{\dot{t}} \mathrm{e}^{\text {ei }}$ heer. Truli J sai vnto yow $\mathrm{y}^{\dot{t}}$ mani popheets and iust men have desijrd to see $\frac{t}{y}$ yow see, and have not seen it, and have desired to heer $\frac{t}{y}$ yow heer and have not herd it. Heer iou jeerfoor $\dot{y}$ biword of $\dot{y}$ sower. $\dot{y}$ wicked cõmeth and plucketh out $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ which is sown in $\dot{y}_{\dot{e}}$ hert of every oon $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$ heereth $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ word of $\dot{f}$ kingdoom and vnderstondeth it not. And yis is he which is soown by ${ }^{\dot{f}}$ wais sijd. He $\dot{y}$ is sown in ${ }^{\dot{f}}$ stooni ground, is he who heereth $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ word, and receiueth it gladli bi and bi, and hath noo root in himself, and yerfoor is but a forwhile. And if yer cõm ani troble or psecution for $\dot{y}$ word, bi and bi he falleth awai. But he $\frac{\dot{t}}{}$ is sown among $\dot{y}$ thistels is he
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who heareth ${ }^{\circ}$ word of $\sigma \kappa a \nu \delta a \lambda i \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and oiko $\delta o-$ god, and $\dot{y}$ caar of ys $\mu \varepsilon i \sigma \theta a l$ be contraries as in $\dot{y}$ world, and $\dot{f}$ deceit of riches chooketh ý word, and is vnfruitful. But *Greek. and as $\mathfrak{y}$ on is to go forward and encrease, so is $\dot{y}$ oẏer to go backward and decaí. he $\frac{t}{y}$ is sown on a good

[^27]ground is he $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ heereth $\dot{\mathrm{f}}$ word and vnderstondeth，who bringeth forth and ieldeth frute，sõm an hundred，sũm threescoor sũm thirtie．

He put to besijd an noyंer biword saieng，y kingdoom of heven is lijk á man $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{}$ soweth good seed in his feld， and whilest $\mathfrak{y}$ g̀ men weer asleep his enmie cãm and sowed darnel among $\dot{y}$ middest of his corn and went his wais， and when $\dot{y}$ blaad cãm vp，and $\dot{f}$ corn eared out，$\dot{y}^{\text {n }} \dot{y}$ darnel appeared also．yn cãm ý housholders servants to him and said．Sir did not yow soow good seed in yo ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ground，from whens ${ }^{\text {en }}$ hath it this darnel．He told
 and weed it out．Nai quoth he leest in weeding $\dot{y}$ darnel，ye pluck vp also y corn，let booy grow togither vntil hervest，and in hervest tijm J wil speek to $\mathfrak{y}$ hervest men，gayer first $\mathfrak{y}$ dernel and bind it in $\mathfrak{y}^{\mathfrak{f}}$ bundels， $\mathrm{y}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ it might be burnt，and bring ỳ corn in to mí garner．

He put forth an oẏer biword to ẏem saieng．$\dot{Y}$ king－ doom of heaven is lijk to á mustard seed，which á man taaketh and soweth in his ground，and it being less y yen al oyer seeds，when it is cõme to his grouth，is oon of $\dot{y}$ biggest herbes，and is maad á tree，in so much $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{t}}$ y birds of ẏaier cõmeth and maketh yeer nests in $\mathfrak{y}$ y branches ẏeerof．

An oyer biword also he vtterd to y yem．y kingdoom of heven is lijk vnto leven which á woman taketh and
 трía

そしそdula hideth in three buschels of meal while $\mathfrak{y}$ hool weer levend．

Al ${ }^{\text {ees }}$ thinges Jesus spaak to ${ }^{\text {y }}$ resort in parables and without biwordes he spaak nothing vnto $\dot{y}$ em， $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{y}} \dot{\mathrm{y}}$ word might be fulfilled which ẙ popheet spaak．J wil open mi mouth in biwordes．J wil breek forth with thinges hiden sins ý world was groundwrought．Yंen Jesus left ý compani and cãm into an hous，and his discipils cãm and said vnto him．Tel ws what $\mathfrak{y}$ biword of $\dot{f}$ darnel

## S. MATTHEW.

of $\dot{y}$ feld meaneth. He $\frac{t}{y}$ soweth good seed saith he is $\dot{y}$ sõn of man. $\dot{y}$ ground is $\dot{y}$ world, $\dot{y}$ good seed is $\dot{y}$ childern of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ kingdoom. $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ darnel is $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ childern of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ wicked. y'enmie $\dot{y}$ soowd yis was $\dot{y}$ devel, $\dot{f}$ hervest is y'end of $\dot{y}$ world, $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ hervest men be y'angels. Even $y^{\text {eer foor }}$ as $\mathfrak{y}$ darnel is gayerd and burnt in $\dot{y}$ fijr, so schal it be at $\mathfrak{y}$ worlds end. $\mathfrak{y}$ sõn of man schal send his angels and schal gayer al hindrances out of his king- oxávóa入a doom, and al $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{}$ worketh vnlaufulnes, and schal cast $\dot{y} \mathrm{em}$ into y chimnej of fijr. yeer schal be wailing and gnasching of teeth. $\dot{y} e n ~ s c h a l ~ \dot{y} e ~ i u s t ~ s c h i j n ~ l i j k ~ \dot{y}$ sõn in $\mathfrak{y}$ fayers kingdoom. let him heer $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ hath ears to heer.

Again $\dot{y}$ kingdoom of heaven is lijk to an hoord of moni hiden in y feld, which when a man findeth out he hideth again, and for joie goeth and selleth al $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ he hath and bieth $\mathbf{y}$ feld.

Again $\dot{f}$ kingdoom of heaven is lijk to á marchant man who seeketh good margarites, and when he hath maprapiras found on pretious margarit, he gooth and selleth al $\dot{y}$ he hath and bieth it.

Again ý kingdoom of heaven is lijk a net cást into ẙ $\sigma a \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \bar{y}$ see, and gayering of al kindes togiyer, which when it is ful ${ }^{\text {eit }}$ bring it vp to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{e}}$ bank, and $\hat{\mathrm{y}}^{i}$ sit doun and chuse $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ good into $\dot{y} e e r$ vessels, and $\dot{y}$ bad ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ cast forth. So schal $\sigma a \pi \rho d$ it be at y worldes end. y'angels schal cõm forth and appoint out $y^{\prime}$ evel from $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ middest of the iust and $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ schal throw $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$ in to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ Chimnej of tijr, yeer schal be wailing and gnasching of teeth.

Yen saith Jesus vnto ẏem. vnderstood iou al yis. Je sir said ẏeí. Therfoor said Christ. Everi lerned man yoapдartùs which is wel taught thinges concerning $\mathfrak{y}$ kingdoom of heaven, is lijk á good husbond, which bringeth forth of his stoor hous boẏ good and bad.

And when Jesus had ended all y ${ }^{\text {es }}$ biwordes he went $\pi a \rho a \beta 0 \lambda d s$
from y yens, and cam into his own contree, and taught ỳm in yeer Synagoog, in so much ${ }^{\frac{t}{y}}{ }^{\text {fil }}$ weer as- yeer places wheer y yei met and tooned and said, from gayerd togiyer to heer goods whens hath he al yis word, to vs ani kind of wor-
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${ }_{\nu \nu}^{\nu} \tau \tilde{\psi} \mu^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ wisedoom and power. schip. Js not yis man a Carpentors sôn, is not his Mojer called Marí, and his kinsmen James and Joses, Simon and Joudas, and his kinswemen be $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{ei}}$ not al among vs. from whens yंen hath he gotten al yis. And $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ weer al offended with him. Theer is not a popheet said Jesus vnto y yem, vnesteemed but in his own contree, and in his own stock. And he did not mani great things yeer for yeer vnbeleef.

## Yंe 14. Chapter.

At $\mathfrak{y}$ tijm Heerood $\dot{\mathfrak{y}}$ debítee of $\mathfrak{e}$ fourth part of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ Contree herd a greet faam of Jesus, and said vnto his servants, Yis is Joan y faptist, he is raised from ý dead, and ${ }^{\text {yer }}$ foor $\dot{y}$ is mightines worketh moor in him. For Heerood after he had taaken Joañ he bound him in prison, bicause of Heerodias, philip his own broyers wijf. For Joan said vnto him, Jt is not lauful for ye to haav her, and being willing to kil him was aferd of y people, bicaus $\mathrm{y}^{\text {i }}$ held him for a p pheet. For when Heerood birthdai was kept, Heerodias doughter daunsed openlí afoor yem, and pleased so much Heerood $\mathbf{y}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ he graunted her with an ooth, to give her whatsoever sche asked. Sche being set on bi her moẏer asked Joañ baptistes hed in a disch. And $\mathbf{y} \mathbf{k}$. was greved theerwith. yet bicaus of his oothes and his geestes he cormanded it schold be given her, and sent and beheeded Joañ in ẙ prison, and his hed was brought in a disch, and given to $\dot{y}$ iong maid, and sche brought it to her moyer. Then cam his discipils and took vp ẏ bodi, and buried it, and cãm and

бvขavaxєє $\mu$ \&́ข8s
told Jesus. Jesus heering y' went from yens in a boot himself aloon, into á wildernes. ỳ pepil heering ỳ cãm* кar' iòiav folowed him out of $\dot{y}$ citees on foot. Jesus cõming forth and seing great resort yeer piteed yem and healed yeer
$\therefore$ diseased. And when it was som thing laat, his discipils cam vnto him and said, This is á wild place, and ý tijm is wel goon, let yis resort go now, y ${ }^{\frac{t}{~ d i t}}$ maí go into villages and bi yemselves sõm meat. yei have no need said Christ to yem to go awaí. Giue yow yem sũm meat. We have noÿng heer said yei, but five looves and ij fisches. Bringe yem hiyer to me saith he. And he cõmanded ẙ Companí to be set down on ẙ grass, and $\mathrm{y}^{\text {en }}$ he took $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}} 5$ looves and ij fisches, and looking vp to heaven did blesse and breek and gav $\mathfrak{y}$ Looves to his discipils, and $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ to y resort $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$. And al did eat and weer filled. and $\mathfrak{y}$ rẽnant of $\mathfrak{e}$ broken meat was xij bascketts- кoфivou ful. The eater $\dagger$ weer in nomber $v$ thousand beside women, and chíldern. And straightwaí Jesus compelled his discipils to go into a boot and to carí him over to y ${ }^{\circ} \pi \lambda \overline{0} \imath \nu$ furýer side, whiles he had avoided y throng y ${ }^{\text {efr }}$. And óx入es after he had avoided $\dot{y}$ resort he went aloon to an hil to praí. And when it was laat he was aloon ýeer. And $\mathfrak{y}$ boot was now in $\dot{y}$ middest of $\mathfrak{y}$ see, tossed with $\mathfrak{y}$ waves, For $\dot{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ had a contrarí wind. And about $\dot{y}$ iiij watch of $\phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ night, Jesus cam from $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ place wheer he was to $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{em}}$ walking on yé see. And his discipils seing him walking
 ỳi cried out for fear. Jesus bi and bi spaak to y yem and said. Be of good cheer. Jt is J.
$\ddagger \mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ which appeared to ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ eies to be süthing and is nothing in deed, is called a phantasm,

[^28]+ eaters
$\ddagger \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a \sigma \mu a$ is an apparition, a phantom, a spirit clad in unreal human
 1. 407, where фavтá $\sigma \mu a \tau a$ is used for $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \varepsilon i ̃ ̧ ~ ф \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota a \iota . ~ W i c l i f ~ i n ~ t r a n s-~$ lating this verse uses the word "fantum."
and bicaus ý cõmun sort of men thinketh $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{t}}$ to be nothing which hath no bodí, whatsoever foorm or lijknes appeareth to $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$ of sprites or soules or other such imagined and vnbodied sights, $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ suppose $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{em}}$ to be phantasmes.
fear not. Peter answerd vnto him. Sir saith he, Jf it be you bid me cõm on ẙ water vnto $\dot{y}$. And he said cõm on. And Peter cam doun out of $\mathbf{y}$ boot and walked on $\mathfrak{y}$ waters to cõm to Jesus. And seing ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ wind strong, was aferd, and when he began to sink he cried out. L. saith he save me. Jesus bi and bi stretched forth his hand, and took hold of him, and said vnto him. you smalfaithed whi hast you doughted, and when y $^{1}$ weer ones enterd into ${ }^{\text {y }}$ boot é $^{\text {y }}$ wind ceased. Thei $\dot{y}$ weer in $\dot{y}$ boot cam and bowed down wnto him and said. Suerlí ẏou art ý sõn of god. And when yit had passed over $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ cam into e land of genneezareth, and
 round about, and brought to him al $\dot{y}$ weer il at eas, and desired him ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ y $^{\mathrm{i}}$ might onelí touch $\mathfrak{y}$ hem of his garment, and whosoever touched him weer maad hool.


## y 15. Chapter.

$\dot{Y}_{\mathrm{En}}$ cam yeer from Jerusalem vnto Jesus Scribes and Pharísais saing. whi do y discipils break y orders of $\mathfrak{y}$ elders. For $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ wasch not y yeer handes when $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ eat meat. And Jesus answerd. Whi saieth he do yow break goddes cõmandment, for yo orders saak. For god hath cõmanded and said. Honor ý faẏer and ý moẏer. And he $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ speeketh il on his fayer or his moẏer let him die. But iou sai whosoever saieth to his fayer and his moẏer, whatsoever is given bi

* Whatsoever sacrifice or offring was maad, and whatsoever gift was given to $\mathfrak{y}$ temple, wheerof y Scribes and phari-
me,* you schalt taak $\overline{\text { pitite }}$ bi it, he schal not need beside to honor his faẏerand his moẏer.
and so have abased $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ cõmandment of god for your orders saak. Esai did forsaí veri wel of fow. ys pepil nigheth me with ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ mouth and with $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ lippes honoreth me but $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ hart is far from me, and techeth $\dot{y}$ cõmandment of men for ${ }^{\text {er }}$ techinges. And he called $\dot{y}$ pepil vnto him. Herken ie saith he and vnderstond. not $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ which entreth into $\mathfrak{y}$ mouth defileth ${ }^{\dot{y}}$ man, but $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ which goeth out of $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}$ mouth defileth $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{y}}$ man. $\mathrm{y}^{\text {n }}$ cam his discipils and said vnto him. ẏow knowest $\mathfrak{y}$ y $\mathfrak{y}$ pharísais weer offended, when yit $^{\mathrm{i}}$ herd iou saí thus. He answerd. Euerí plant saieth he, $\dot{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{y}}$ mi heavenli fayer hath not planted, schal be rooted out.
 lead y blind, booth schal fal into $\mathfrak{y}$ pit. Peter spake $\dot{\mathbf{y}}^{\text {n }}$. Declaar vnto vs J praí yow this biword. * Be $\pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ iou also yet without vnderstonding. Do ie not iet perceiv $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ everi thing which entereth into y mouth, goeth into $\dot{y}$ stomaak, $\dagger$ and is cast into
 eth forth of $\dot{y}$ mougth cõmeth $\gamma$ áorng is $\mathfrak{y}$ belí. forth of ý hart, and ý defile á man.
For out of ẙ hart cõmeth il devises, murder, advoutrí, hoordoom, theft, fals witnes, il wordes. thees do defile á $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a t$ man, but to eat with vnwasched handes defileth not a man. And after Jesus departed from ẏens he wentinto ý coostes of tyrus and Sidoon. And lo á wooman of Cananee which

[^29]cam out of yoos coostes cried out vnto him．Have piti on me Sir saieth sche you dauids ofspring mi doughter is veri evel develled．He answerd her nothing．And his disciples cam and entreated him saieng，Rid her awai for sche crieth after vs．He answerd．J am not sent saieth he but to $\mathfrak{y}$ lost scheep of Jsrits house．Sche cãm bowed and kneeled doun to him and said，Sir help me．He answerd．Jt is not good saieth he to take $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ food for $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ childern，and throw it to $\mathrm{y}^{\circ}$ whelpes．Sche said．yes lord． For $\dot{y}^{\text {y }}$ whelpes eat of $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ scrappes $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ fal from yeer $\mathbf{M}^{\text {rs }}$ ． table．Jesus answerd yen．$\dot{y}$ faith is great ó woman saieth he，be it even as you wilt．And from $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ dai for－ wardes was her doughter safe．

And Jesus when he went from yens cam to $\dot{y}$ see of galilee，and went vp into an hil and sat yeer，and much resort cam vnto him，and had with yem laam blind doom maimed and mani oẏer and ỳei laid yem befoor Jesus
 when yit saw ý dõm speek，ý maimed hool，y laam walk－ ing， $\mathfrak{y}$ blind seing，and $\mathfrak{y}$ gave gloori to god．Jesus called his disciples and said $J$ pítí inwardlí ys companí，for y ${ }^{\text {i }}$ have remained heer with me iij daies now，and yei haav nothing to eat，and J wil not let yem go fasting awai lest ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ faint in theer waí．His discipils said yen vnto him．How schold we haav so much meat in y wildernes $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ we might feed sich á nombre．童en said Jesus vnto ỳm how mani looves have íou．seven and á few fisches． and he cõmãded ẙ Companí to sit doun on ý ground． And he took $\dot{y}$ seven looves and fisches，and gaav thankes and braak and gaav y $^{\mathrm{m}}$ to his discipils，and his discipils to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ resort y yeer．and yei eat and weer filled everí oon，and ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ took $\mathfrak{y}$ rẽnant of $\mathfrak{y}$ brooken scrappes seven baskettsful．$\dot{y}$ eaters wear in nomber iiij thou－ sand men besid women and childern．And he yen avoided ẙ companí yens，and he went into a boot and cãm into $\mathfrak{y}$ coostes of Magdala．

## y 16. Chapter.

And ý Pharisais and Sadducees cam and tried him, and $\pi$ tıáłovrıs required him to schew $\dot{y}^{m}$ a tooken from heaven. He answered y yem. When it is laat saith he ie saí fair weẏer for $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ skie looketh red. And in $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ morning, this daí schal we have á tempest for the skie looketh with a darkisch red. Ye hypocrites ye can ínge $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$, face of $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ skie, but $\mathfrak{y}$ tookens of tijms ye can not. Yis il and advouterous stock seeketh a tooken, and iet schal yeer be no tooken given vnto it but Joonas ${ }^{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{p}$ pheets tooken. And he left them and went his wais. And his disciples when $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ weer com to y furder sijd, had forgotten to taak over breed with yem. See and taak heed from $\dot{y}$ pharisais and Sadducais leven. and ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ reasoned of $\dot{\dot{e}}$ matter among $\mathbf{y}^{\text {em }}$ selves, saieng ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ it was bicause we have brought no breed with vs. Jesus knowing yis said vnto yem ye smaalfaíthed, whi do iou reason among iourselves for not taking of breed with iou. do ie not iet vnderstond nor remember $\mathbf{y} \mathbf{v}$ loves of $\mathfrak{e}$ five thousand, nor $\mathfrak{y}$ seaven looves of $\mathfrak{y}$ iiij thousand, and how mani baskets yeerof iou caried awai. how vnderstond ie not $\mathbf{y}$ J spaak not yees wordes of breed, taak heed of $\dot{y}$ pharisais and Saddoucais levin. ${ }^{\text {en }}$ yei perceiued ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ he spaak not to yem to taak heed of $\dot{\dot{f}}$ levin of $\dot{f}$ breed, but of $\dot{\mathrm{e}}$ pharisais and Saddoucais teching.

When Jesus cãm into ẙ partes of Caisareía philippes contree. Jesus asked his discipils. Whom saith he do men sai y $^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathrm{J}$ ý sõn of man am. $\mathrm{Y}^{\text {ei }}$ answerd sum sai Joan baptist. Sũm Elíe, Sũm Jeremí, or on of $\mathfrak{y}$ p p pheets. Whoom do iou sai saith he ${ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathrm{J}$ am. Simon peter answered you art saith he Christ ý son of $\mathfrak{y}$ liuing god. Jesus answerd. Happí art you saith he Simon ẏou $J \omega$ nas sõn. for flesch* * y ehief of man is his reason, wheerbi and bloud he vnderstondeth al $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ naturalli can be
peeiued of ani worldli creature, but iet it is not so pfect, being infected with our forfayers deadli fal, $\frac{f}{y}$ it can ani y ying attain vnto y knowlege of yi heavenli mysterí of Christes ransoming mankind bi his death from ỳ fayers hevi displeasur. yis reason is called heer flesch and blood, calling $\dot{y}$ ṕncipal part bi $\stackrel{e}{y}$ name of $\dot{y}$ hoole, which Saint Poul ${ }^{1}$ to ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ Corinthes called f soulisch man, which can not pceiue thinges belonging to god, and although it appeareth to $y$ y readers of Aristotel, plato, tullí, and Seneca, $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ no thing concerning maners and outward behavor, inward and natural honestee, was hiden from yem, iet it appeareth y ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ could not vnderstond how y word was maad flesch, and ẏeerfoor neẏer perceiued how men might be maad good and reconciled to god, nor how $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ might continew in his favor, and attain to everlastingnes, and happines wheerunto his chosen be forpointed.
$\dagger \Pi \varepsilon \tau \rho o s$ and $\pi \in \tau \rho a$ be in greek booth a stoon. Christ praised peter but he builded on petra, and if he had been disposed to build on y man, which was $\pi \in \tau \rho o s$, and not on $\dot{y}$ confession, which was $\pi \varepsilon \tau \rho a$, he wold have said $!\pi \iota \iota \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \varphi \tau \omega \pi \in \tau \rho \varphi$,
 wold have sufferd him wel inough to have
hath not disclosed ${ }^{\text {is }}$ vnto ye, but mi faẏer which is in heaven. And J sai vnto ${ }^{\text {f }}$ y ỳou art $\dagger$ Peter and apon yis rock wil J build mi church. $\ddagger$ And ýgaats of hel schal not preuaile against it, and $J$ wil give vnto ${ }^{\text {y }}$ $\stackrel{\stackrel{e}{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathrm{y}}$ keis of e kingdoom of heaven, and whatsoever ẏou bindest on earth schal be bound in heaven, and whatsoever ỳou loosest on earth schal be

[^30]loosed on in heaven. Hecharged ỳen his disciples $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{1}}$ schold tel no bodit he was Jesus Christ. After ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ Jesus begãn to declaar to his disciples $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{}$ he must go to Jerusalem, and suffer much at y elders hed pestes and scribes handes, and be slain, and raised again $\dot{\text { y }}$ third dai. And Peter took him y ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ with, and
writin. As in $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ begiñing of Orestes of Euripides ${ }^{8}$ it maí appear, and els whear cõmunlí to á marker hearof. Folow ẏeerfoor heer Chrysostõmes ${ }^{3}$ noot, who
 $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \underline{a}$.
$\ddagger{ }^{\prime} E_{\kappa \kappa} \lambda_{\eta \sigma i}$. be thoos whoom god hath called out from $\dot{y}$ residue of his creatures to p ${ }^{\circ}$ fesse his naam and his true worschip, and be outwardli knowen bi heering his wordes and receiving his sacramentes, inwardlí bi goddes purpose toward ym $^{\text {m }}$ and yeer true faith towarde god. and bi $\dot{y}$ trutorry of $\dot{\text { y }}$ word mai be named $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ outcalled. For yis word church into $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ which we torn ecclĩa, is $\mathfrak{y}$ hous wheer $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ outcalled do meet, and heer goddes word, and vse cõmun praier and thankesgeving to god. For it cõmeth of $\mathfrak{y}$ greek кvpaakòv, which word served in y prmitiv church for ý cõmons house of praier and sacramentes, as appeareth in Eusebius, which $\dot{y}$ latins called dominicũ. We folowing $\mathbf{y}$ greek calle yis house, as y $\mathbf{y}$ north doth yet moor truli sound it, y kurk, and we moor corruptli and frenchlike, $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ church. ${ }^{4}$

[^31]began to rebuke him saieng. Sir have pítí on yo'self sir, it schal not be so with iou. He torned him and said to Peter. go out of mi sight Satan, you art an
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}$
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{v} \boldsymbol{\nu}$
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ hindrans vnto me, you vnderstondest not what god meaneth but what $\dot{f}$ world. Jesus said ýn to his discipils. Jf ani wil cõm after me, let him dení himself and taak

* yer be ij thinges in a man y yoon contrarí vp his own to $\mathrm{y}^{\prime}$ oyer, $\mathfrak{y}$ flesch and y sprite, for $\mathfrak{y}$ flesch * cross and fighteth again $\mathfrak{y}$ sprite, and $\mathfrak{y}$ spirit again folow me. . y flesch. $\dot{y}$ yprite is of god, simple and agreable to it self, y flesch is manifold and diuers, and oon part at dissension with y other. Affections be bestlí and violent, and lead á man to present thinges and to pleasures, leuing honestee and goodnes á part. Reason draweth from ẏees wild moodes and kepeth á man within y boundes of natural and ciuil honestee, and considereth what is honest. not what is pleasant and for y time, and thinketh pleasuer á chanceable thing to honestee, and doth it for honestees saak, not knowing $\dot{\text { y }}$ root of natures soor, but contented with ye leaves, and seeth not ý inward foulnes of our doinges $\frac{t}{y}$ have offended in Adam, and be not reconciled in Christ. So $\stackrel{t}{y}$ ý fleschli men dissent one from an oẏer, and boẏ dissent from ẙ ghoostli man, $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ ghoostli beareth $\dot{\mathrm{f}}$ weaknes oon of an oyer, ý stronger

[^32]of $\mathfrak{y}$ febler, but ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ varí not. And yis ghoostli man must dení not himself in deed, which is ý ṕncipal part of himself goddes holi sprite, but $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ which $\mathfrak{y}$ cõmune sort of men . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{5}$

ý 17. Chapter.

5 There is here a great hiatus in Cheke's translation, in consequence of the loss of a whole leaf of the original MS. But, for reasons alleged in the Introduction, that leaf does not appear to have fallen into Archbishop Parker's possession, when he so carefully preserved the remainder.
S. MATTHEW.
y 18. Chapter.
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. . . be throwen into everlastíng fijr. And if yijn eie hinder ye taak it out and throu it from y ye. better it is for ye to enter ooneied into lijf, yen bi having ij eies be throwen in to $\dot{y}$ helpit of fijr. Look ie despise not oon $\gamma^{\prime} \varepsilon \nu \nu a$ of y yees litil oons. for $\mathbf{J}$ saí vnto iou $\dot{y}^{\text {y }} \mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ angels in heaven, doo continualli behold mi fayers face which is in heaven. For $\mathfrak{y}$ sõn of man cãm to save $\mathfrak{y}$ loost. What think iou. Jf a man had an hundred schepe and oon of yem straied, wold not he leave nijntí and nijn on ỳ hilles, and go to seek $\mathfrak{y}$ straí, and if yen he found it, $J$ sai truli vnto íou, he reioiseth moor of $\frac{t^{\prime}}{}$ oon, yen of nijntí and nijn $\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}}$ hath not straíed. So is it not iour fayers wil in heaven, $y^{t}$ oon of yees litil ones schold perisch. Jf yi broyer faut against ye, go $\dot{y}$ wais and rebuuk him, between yself and him aloon. Jf he gijv ear vnto $\dot{y}$, y you hast won yi broyer, Jf he giv no ear vnto $\dot{y}$, taak iet oon or ij with $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$, $\mathrm{y}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ bi ij or iij witnesses report everí matter might be ended. Jf he yen give no ear to yem let him be to $\mathfrak{y}$ lijk an heẏen, and a toller. Truli J sai vnto iou whatsoever iou bijnd on erth, schal be bound in heaven, and whatsoever ie lous on earth schal be looused in heaven. J sai again unto iou $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ if ij on $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ earth agree about ani thing which yei schal ask, it schal
be had for yem of mi fayer which is in heaven. For wheersoever ij or iij be gayerd togiyer in mi naam, J am yeer in $\dot{y}$ middest of y yem. Yen cam Peter unto him, Sir said he hou often tijms schal mi broẏer faut against me, and I schal forgiv him. Seven tijms. J sai not vnto ye seven tijmes said Jesus, but seventee and seven tijms. $\dot{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{k}$. of h . yeerfoor is lijk vnto á man which is a king which wold cõm to accompt with his servants. And when he began to taak it, yer was a detter brought vnto him of $x$ thousand talants. And wheeras he had nothing to paí, $\overline{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{L} . *$ bad he his wijf hijs childern and al $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ he

$\mu v \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

[^33]had schoold be sold, and his dettes y yeerwith paied. $T{ }^{\circ}$ servant fel doun and boud himself to him and said.
$\mu a к \rho o \theta \dot{v} \mu \eta \sigma o \nu$ Sir bear with me and $J$ wil pai iou al. ẙ L. pitijng ýis servant inwardli let him go and forgaav him his det. yis servant going forth found oon of his felow servantes $\delta \eta \nu a \dot{p} a \quad$ which ought him an hunderd grootes. he took, and wrong him bi ye neck saieng, Paí me y ỳou ouest me. $\mu a x \rho o \theta i ́ \mu \eta \sigma o \nu$ yis servant fel doun and desired him, bear with me saieth he, and $J$ wil pai ye al. He wold not but went and cast him in prison ontil he had paied his det. His felow servantes seing yis deed, weer veri soor greeved, and cam and declaared al ẙ hool doinges to yeer $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$. Yंen called his L. him and said vnto him. y you wicked servant $J$ forgav $\dot{y} e$ al $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ det, bicaus y you desiredst me. scholdest not y you have pitied $\mathbf{y}$ felow servant, as J pitied $\dot{y}$, and his $L$. being angri deliverd him to tormentors vntil he had paid his det vnto him. So wil mi hevenli fayer do with iou, if ech of iou even from iour hartes do not forgive his broyer his* fautes.

## * $\mathbf{y}$ greak fauteth heer in $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}}$ nom-

 ber. for his broẏer yeer fauts can be no reason.
## ỳ 19. Chapter.

And when Jesus had ended yes saienges, he went from Galílee, and went into ý coostes of Judai beiond Jordan, and yeer folowed him á great resort and he healed yem ỳeer. And ý Pharisais cam to trí him, and asked him whiyer it is lauful for á man to diuorce him from his wife for everi cause. He answerd ỳm ỳus. Haav ie not red $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{f}$ maker at $\dot{f}$ begiñing maad yem man and woman, And said, For ýs cause schal á man forsaak his fayer and his moyer and schal cleav vnto his wijf, and yi two schal be oon bodí. y' be yeerfoor no moor ij but oon bodí.
$\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ yंeerfoor which god hath ioined togither let not man sonder. ẏei sai vnto him whi yen did Moses cormand him to give á bil of divorce, and to loos himself from her. He saieth vnto ẏem bicaus moosees, did suffer iou to loos iourselves from yo ${ }^{5}$ wiifes for iour harthardnes. But it hath not been so from $\mathfrak{y}$ beginning. But $\mathbf{J}$ sai vnto iou. whosoever loouseth himself from his wijf except it be for whooredoome, and marieth an oyer, he adultereth, and whosoever marieth y looused awaí, advoutereth. His disciples said vnto him. Jf y housbondes case stand yus with y wife, it p fiteth not to marí. He said al men can not hold this point, But y ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ to whoom it is given. yeer be Eunouches, which weer boorn so from yeer moyers woomb. and yeer be Eunouches, which weer gelded of men, and yंeer be Eunouches which gelded $\dot{\text { y }}$ mselves for $\dot{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{k}$. of heaven. He ${ }^{\frac{t}{y}}$ can hold yis let him hold it. $\dot{\text { y }}$ en weer y $\mathbf{y}$ eer childern brought vnto him to lai his handes on y yem, and to praí. His dis-
en $^{\text {i }}$ weer wont in old tijm to cõmitt $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{y}}$ keping of y yeer bedchambers to men, and bicause ${ }^{\text {ei }}{ }^{\text {i }}$ wold be out of feer of yem, yei cõmunli, in $\mathfrak{y}$ est contrees gelded ỳm, and so weer out of dout of yeer doinges. wheeropon it cometh to passe y $^{\mathbf{t}}$ y gelded men be called in greek Eunuches, $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ trutorn wheerof is a chamber keper, or a bed
 in ý Actes was ỳ queens chamberlain called.
 тยี่กด cipils rebuked ẏem. But Jesus said vnto ẏem let y yees childern aloon, and forbid yem not to cõm to me. For such oons is $\mathfrak{y} k$. of heaven. And when he had laid his handes on yem, he departed ỳens. And lo yeer cam on and said vnto him. Good $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{r}}$ what good schal $\mathbf{J}$ doo ${ }^{\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}} \mathbf{J}$ mai have everlasting lijf. He said vnto him whi callest you me good. Noon but oonli god is good. Jf you wilt enter into y life keep $\mathfrak{y}$ cõmandments. which saieth he. Jesus
answerd * you schalt not kil, you schalt not adoulter, you schalt not steal,

* y jou shalt not kil, for kil not and so forth. Jt is cõmune to ẙ hebrues to vse y tijm to cõme instead of á cõmandment. As in ý Genesis, $\dagger$ Thi desire schal be vnderneth ý power, and you schalt rule it, for, look y y y desijr, be vnderneth ý power, and look $\dot{y}$ you rule it. And again, Thí desijr schal be to $\dot{y}$ husbond, for, look $\mathbf{y}$ ýdesire be as ý housbond wil have it. you schalt beer no fals witnes, honor ý fayer and yi moẏer, and love ý neighbour as ýself. ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ y yong man saieth vnto him. J have kept al y yes thinges from mi iougth. What want J els. Jf you wilt be perfect saieth Jesus vnto him, go and sel $\mathbf{y}$ you hast and gijv it to y poor, and you schalt have treasure in heaven, and cõm and folow me. And when $\mathfrak{y}$ iong man hard ỳis point he went awaí sad. For he had much possessions. yen said Jesus to his discipils. Truli J sai vnto iou $\dot{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}$ y rich schal hardli enter into $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ kingdoom of heaven. And $J$ saí to iou again $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ it is easier for a cable to
Although ${ }^{\mathbf{y}}$ Suidas seem to saí кámidos to be for á cable roop, and кá $\mu \eta \lambda o s$ for y $\begin{gathered}\text { beest, }\end{gathered}$ íet theophylactus on y place, and Cęlius lib: 4. cap. 18 taak кá $\mu \eta \lambda$ дos to be booy $\mathfrak{y}$ beest and y é cable, as moost reason agreeabli serveth heer. passe thorough á redels eíe, ỳen for á rich man to enter in to $\begin{gathered}\text { e king- }\end{gathered}$ doom of heaven. When his disciples herd ýs ỳei weer much astoonied and said. who can yen be saved. Jesus looked on y yem and said. $\dot{\mathrm{Y}}$ is is mpossibil to men, but to god al thinges be possibil. yen answered Peter and said vnto him, lo we haav forsaaken al yinges and folowed $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$. What schal we have ẏen. Jesus said vnto ẏem, Je $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ hav folowed me in $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$

[^34]gain birth when $\mathfrak{y}$ yõn of man schal sit in $\mathfrak{e}$ throon of his glorí, iou schal also sit on xij throones and iuge $\dot{y}$ xij tribes of Jsrt. And everí on $\frac{t}{t}$ forsaketh his houses, or broẏern or sisters, or faẏer or moẏer or wijf or childern, or groundes for mi names saak, he schal receiue an hundredfold and enherite everlasting lijf. Mani of y first schal be last, and mani of $\mathfrak{y}$ last first.

## y 20. Chapter.

For ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ kingdoom of heaven is lijk unto an housholder, which went forth in $\mathfrak{y}$ daunig of $\mathfrak{y}$ daí to hijr workmen for his vijneyard, and he agreed with y workmen for á groot a daí and sent yem into his vijniard, and *about onvapis $^{\prime}$ three of $\mathfrak{y}$ clock, he saw oẏers standing idel in $\mathbf{y}$ cõmun place and saieth vnto ým. go iou also into mi vijniard, and whatsoever is good reason J wil give iou. And ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ went. He went forth agaịn about y sixth and ix hour, and did likewise, and about $\frac{\dot{e}}{\mathrm{y}}$ xi hour he went forth, and found oyंer standing idil ye r , and saieth vnto

* y rekening of y houres be diuers. Everí man maketh y daí and y night xxiiij houres. but y foures be diuers. Sũm diuide y hoole tijme yef rof into xxiiij equal partes, and call ${ }^{\text {é }}$ xxiiij ${ }^{\text {th }}$ part an hour, sum diuide ý daí from $\dot{y}$ rising of $\dot{\mathfrak{y}}$ sõne vnto his going doune into xij houres and lijkwijse diaatov y night, and maak ý longest daí in somer but xij houres, and y schortest dai in soomer $\dagger$ xij houres too, and so according to y length and schortnes of $\mathfrak{y}$ dais, $\mathfrak{j}$ houres also be lengthened and schortened. And after ys vnȩqualnes of houres did $\dot{y}$ Jues reken y ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ tijme. Wherfore y ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ counted oon of ẙ clock alwai at ý sõn rising, and six of $y$ clock at noon, and xij of ẙ clock at the sõnes going doune,
and iij of $\mathfrak{y}$ clock y mid tijm betwene ý sõn rising and noon, and ix of y clock y mid tijm between noon and y sõn going doun. So ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ twijs in ${ }^{\text {y }}$ year, in March, when y Sõn entreth into ý rãm, and in September, when ý Sõn entreth into y waites, y ${ }^{\text {y }}$ houres and ours be aloon, $\ddagger$ at other tijmes in ${ }^{e}$ Somer, yi be longer, and in winter $\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ be schorter.

When á man is not disposed to taak paines himself in $\mathfrak{y}$ overseing of his
$i \pi \approx \tau \rho \dot{\pi} \boldsymbol{\psi} \quad$ houshold matters, ${ }^{\text {en }}$ he to whoom vnder vs we cõmit y charge heerof,
 vicaríus. And so vseth Aristotel him in $\mathfrak{y}$ first of $\mathfrak{y}$ Politices and $\mathfrak{y} 4$. Chapter.

ỳm, whi stond y $\mathbf{y}$ w heer idil al ${ }^{\text {y }}$ hool daí, bicause no bodí hath hired vs saí theí vnto him. Goiou y y n saith he into mi vineiard, and what soeverschal be reasonable J wil iou $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$. At night y oowner of y vijniard saieth to his depute. Cal in e woorkmen, and give them wages, and begĩn at $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ last and so go on vnto ${ }_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ first. So $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$




$\delta \eta \nu a ́ \rho ı o s$



 me for a groot. Taak yijn own and go ý waies. J wil give yis last man as $J$ give $\mathfrak{y}$. Js it not lauful for me in mijn own matters to

An evel eie is an envious eíe, bicause it thinketh thinges
do what $\mathbf{J}$ wil. Js ỳin eie evel bicause J am

[^35]good. So schal ẙ last be first, and y first last, for mani be called and few chosen.' And as Jesus was cõming vp to Jerusalem, He took his xij discipils aside bi y yemselves in $\dot{y}$ wai and said vnto
which it seeth to good for $\dot{y}$ persons $\mathbf{y}$ have yem, and iugeth rightlí of nothing but maketh y thinges better in opinion ỳn yei be in deed, and $\mathfrak{y}$ men wors. wheervnto ${ }^{\circ}$ poete * did pretelí maak yis. Fertilior seges alieno semper in $\mathrm{ag}^{\circ}$. yem. lo we go vp to Jerusalem, and $\mathfrak{y}$ sõn of man schal be deliverd to $\mathfrak{y}$ hedpestes and scribes and + schal cõdem him to die, and deliver him to ${ }^{e}$ bethen, to laugh at him, to scorge him, and to crucifie him, and y third daí yet schal he rise again. Ẏen cãm zebedais moẏer and her childern vnto him, and sche bowed down herself, and asked á thing of him. What wilt yow said he vnto her. Cõmand saieth sche, $\mathbf{y}$ ỳees mi ij sõnes mai sit th'oon of ý right hand and th'other of $\dot{y}$ left hand in $y^{\prime}$ kingdoom. y y answered Jesus ye know not saith he what ie ask. Can
 wasching $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathrm{J}$ schal be wasched withal. We can sai ${ }^{\text {eit }}$ to him. ye schal yen drink mi cup saith he, and be wasched with $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ wasching wheerwith $\mathbf{J}$ am wasched awaí, but as for sitting on mi right hand and mi left hand, it is not in mi power to give but vnto yem to whoom it is prępared for of mi father. And $\dot{y} \mathbf{x}$. heering $\dot{y}$, and $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{f}}$ weer greved with ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ ij broyern. And Jesus called ẏem vnto him and said. Je know y $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathfrak{f}}$ pnces of $\mathfrak{y}$ heẏen do overmaster $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$, and $\mathfrak{y}$ greet men do overrule ẏem. Jt schal not be so amongest iow, but whosoever wil be great amongest iow let him be iour waiter on, and whosoever wil be chief among iow let ... ẙ policie of cõmun welthes and

[^36]of $\mathfrak{e}$ scripture be cleen divers, y oon mans order, ẙ oẏer gods. who yen pręferreth policie to scripture, and mans order to gods word, he yinketh mans wisdoom better ẏen gods, and so beleveth not in god.

ẙ cõmun sort of men, and as on wold sai y meíní be called in greek $\pi$ ód $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{l}$, and yeerfoor Christ noting himself not to cõm to di onlí for ý noble and welthi but also for $\mathfrak{y}$ lowest and basest sort to, saith he cam to raunsom maní, $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{y}}$ is $\mathbf{y}$ cõmun people.
him be iour servant. even as ${ }^{\text {é }}$ sõn of man cãm not to be waited on, but to wait on oẏer, and to give his soule for $\mathfrak{y}$ raunsõming of $\dot{y}$ people. And when $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}}$ went from Jericho yंeer folowed him a great prese, and lo ij blind men which sat by ẙ wai, heering ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ Jesus passe bi, Cried out and said, Pitie vs Sir, you sõn of dauid, and $\mathfrak{y}$ prees rebuked yem y ${ }^{\text {tii }}$ might hold yeer peas, but yei cried so much y moor and said, Pitie vs Sir yow sõn of Dauid. Jesus ẏen stood and called vnto yem, what wold ie saith he J schold do vnto iou. Sir sai yei to him $\dot{y}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ our eies mai be opend. And Jesus inwardli pitieng ỳem touched yeer eies, and yeer eies saw bi and bi, and *folowed him.

## y 21. Chapter.

And when yei cam nigh to Jerusalem, and enterd into Bethphage beside ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ hil of olives, $\mathrm{y}^{\text {en }}$ Jesus sent ij discipils and said vnto yem, go into ỳ village over against iou, and ye schal bi and bi find a sche as bound and a colt with her, loose her and bring her vnto me. And if ani man sai ought vnto iou answer, $\frac{1}{y}$ ý $L$. hath need of $\dot{y} e m$. And he sent yem awai bi and bi. Al yis was doon $\dot{t}^{\mathbf{y}} \dot{\mathrm{y}}$ word might be fulfilled which ${ }_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{e}}$ pophete spaak.

[^37]Sai vnto $\begin{aligned} & \text { ý daughter of Sion saith he, lo ý king cõmeth }\end{aligned}$ mild vnto y riding on an as, and a foole of an iooked as. And his discipils went forth and did as Jesus cõmanded $\dot{y}$ yem, and brought $\dot{y}$ ass and $\dot{y}$ foole with yem, and laied $\dot{y}$ yeer cloothes apon yंem and set him on her. And a veri great prease of men, did strow $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ garmentes in $\mathfrak{y}^{\mathfrak{e}}$ wai, and oyer cut bowes from ${ }^{\circ}$ trees, and ${ }^{\circ}$ presse $y^{t}$ went afoor and folowed críed, Hosãna sai $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ to dauides ofspring, Blessed is he $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ cõmeth in $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ naam of $\mathfrak{y} \mathrm{L}$. Hosãna in ý highest. And when he was cõm to Jerusalẽ, y e hoole cítí was on a stirre, and asked who is yis, $\mathfrak{y}$ people answered ýs is Jesus $\dot{y}$ pipheet, who cometh from Nazareth a citi of

Hosãna is as much as save vs we praí ý, and at certein tijmes in ý year a cõmun word vnto yem, but specialli at $\begin{aligned} & \text { y feest of }\end{aligned}$ ỳ tentes pitching. galilee. And Jesus went into f temple of god, and cast out al $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}}$ bought and sold in $\mathfrak{y}$ temple, and overthrew $\mathfrak{y}$ monichangers stalles, $\kappa 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \beta \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ and $\mathfrak{y}$ dovesellers seates, and said vnto yem, Jt is writin, mi house schal be called an house of praier, and iou have maad it a dẽn of theves, and $\mathfrak{y}$ blind and lame cam into y temple vnto him, and he healed yem. The hed priestes and $\dot{y}$ scribes sawe $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ marvails which he wrought, and childern crieng in ${ }^{\text {y }}$ temple and saiengOsãna to dauides ofspríng. $\mathrm{y}^{\text {it }}$ weer miscontented and said vnto him, heer iow what yees men saí. Jesus answerd y yem, Je. did ie never read $\mathbf{y}$ bi y youth of babes and souklinges thow establischest $\mathbf{y}$
ý temple workes among y Jues stood al in offringes, and theerfoor were ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ monichangers dovesellers and oýer mar-: chandes to sel sich thinges as yei cõmunli usid to offer, and so bi outward giftes, costli to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ giver and ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{fitable}$ to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ priestes, ẏeí measured religion toward god. But Christ in this deed schewed what true worschip in $\mathfrak{y}$ temple god re-
quired, first á mind lift vp vnto him, cleen for worldlines, leaning to his goodnes, and theerfoor th'appointed house for his outcalled people was called an house of praier, next charitable and godlí deades to y help and relief of our neighbour, as helping ý poor, comforting $\mathbf{y}$ discoraged, favoring y poor widowes right, and defending y fayerles from wrong, agreíng enemies togither, and sich lijk, which be $\mathfrak{y}$ chief and pncipal workes ỳ god requireth one of vs to do to an oyer. Wheer ${ }^{\text {ess }}$ want, al giftes of moni vestmentes and oyer offringes be hypocritic afoor god, who first looketh for y mind and her goodnes, next for y bodí and his clẽnes, thirdlí for an honest order of outward goods.
prais. And he left yem and went out of $\mathfrak{y}$ citee into bethania and yंeer remained. And earlí in ${ }^{\text {y }}$ morning as he retorned to $\dot{\text { y }}$ citee he waxed hungrí, and seing á fig tree bi ý waí cãm to it, and found nothing theeron but leves oonlí, and saieth vnto her. No frute heerafter at no time cõme of $\mathfrak{y}$. And $\mathfrak{y}$ fig tree was seered bí and bí, and his disciples seing ${ }^{\frac{t}{t}}$ marveiled, and said. How did ${ }^{\text {is }}$ fig tree so soon seer awai. Jesus answerd ẏem, truli saieth he J sai vnto iou, if ye hav faith and dout not, ye schal not onlí do ys point of
$\ddot{\boldsymbol{a}} \rho \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau$

入ó $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ov y figgtree, but also if ie saí to this hil, be y you removed and thrown in to y see, it schal be doon, and whatsoever ie ask in praier, and beleve ý same, ie schal obtein it.

And when he was cõme into y temple, $\mathfrak{y}$ hed pests and $\mathfrak{y}$ elders of y people cam to him as he was teching, and said vnto him, bí what power doest thow yis, and who gaav ẏe yis power. Jesus answered yem, J wil ask iou saith he on thing, which if ie tel me, J wil also tel iou bi what power $\bar{J}$ do ${ }^{\text {y }}$ es thinges. what think iou. Joañs wasching from whens was it. from heaven, or from men. And yeí considerd ẙ matter with ýmselvs and said, Jf we sai from heaven he wil sai vnto vs, whi ẏen
beleved ie him not. Jf we sai from men we fear ys presse of people. For everí man held Joañ for a p̊pheet. And ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ answerd Jesus, we know not sai ${ }^{\text {el }}$. Nor J, saith he vnto ẏem, wil tel iou bi what power J do yes thinges. $\lambda_{i ́ \gamma} \omega$ what think iou. A man had ij sõnes, and cãm to ý first, and said vnto him. Sõn go you yis dai and work in mi vijniard. he answerd, $J$ wil not said he. he repented him afterwardes and went. and he cam to his second sõn, and said lijkwijse. He answerd. Je sir saith he, and went not. whiyer of y yees do $\ddagger$ did his faẏers wil. ${ }^{\text {y }}$ first said ${ }^{\text {eid }}$. Jesus said vnto y yem, truli $\mathbf{J}$ sai vnto iou $\mathrm{y}^{\mathfrak{y}}$ tollers and harlottes goeth befoor iou into ${ }^{f}$ kingdoom of heaven. For Joañ cam vnto iou in ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}} \mathrm{y}$ waí of rightuousnes, and ie $\dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\partial} \dot{\phi} \dot{\varphi}$ beleved him not, but tollers and harlottes beleved him, and iou seing yis, did not iet repent iourselves $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ ie might beleve him. Heer also an oẏer biword. yer was á certein man an housholder, which maad a vijniard, and set an hege about it, and fastened him yeer a wijn presse, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\rho} \rho \underline{\xi} \epsilon \nu$ and bilt a tower, and he hired housbondmen to keep it, ż̇iooro and iorneid forth himself. and when ${ }^{\circ}$ frute tijm cam on he sent his servantes to $\dot{y}$ housbondmen, to receiv his frutes, and sũm of yem y fleed, sum y slew, and sum y $^{\text {ei }}$ stooned. He sent again oẏer servantes moor in nomber ỳen $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ first weer, and
 wijs. He sent after- specialli of ${ }^{\dot{e}}$ hed and ${ }^{\circ}$ neck. wardes his sõn to y yem, and said, yei wil be in sõm aw of mi sõn, but y housbondmen seing his sõn said $\mathfrak{y}$ en to ${ }^{\dot{g}}$ oýer, ÿis is ỳ heier, ifit weer in ý greek ${ }^{\ell} \delta \delta \eta \rho a \nu$, ẏen it had* to beet and scourge $\dot{y}^{\mathrm{m}}$. wheerfoor thoos iij wordes $\boldsymbol{t \nu \tau \rho a \pi \dot { \eta } \sigma o v - ~}$ betoken ẙ diuersitees ofdeathes which yei cruellí did put his servantes vnto. cum let vs slee him, and kepe vnto vs his enheritanse. And y yei took him and
cast him out of y vijniard and slew him. when y owner of $\mathfrak{y}$ vijníard schal retorn, what wil he do to y yees housbondmen. He wil destroie sai thei yes noughtí men noughtilí, and wil hijr oyer housbondmen to kepe his vijniard, which wil give him his frutes when ye time serveth. Did ie never reed in é scripture saith Jesus $^{2}$ vnto yem ý stoon which ý builders refused, is maad now
yáros
$\tau \varepsilon \theta v \mu \varepsilon ́ v a$ y hed corner stoon. ys stoon cometh from $\mathfrak{y}^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$. and semeth marvelous to ${ }^{\prime}$ vs. J sai yeerfoor vito iou y ${ }^{\frac{t}{y}}$ y kingdoom of god schal be taken from iou, and given to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ heyen $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ bringeth forth $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ frutes theerof. And he $\dot{\mathrm{t}}$ falleth on is stoon schal be broosed, and on whoom $\dot{y}$ stoon falleth it wildrive him lijk dust awai. Jn fãning y do not oonlí avoid And when y hed pestes and pharisais had herd his biwordes, ẏei knew he spaak of yem, and sought to catch him but $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ feared ${ }^{\text {y }}$ presse of people, for ${ }_{\text {ei }}$ took him for á poopheet.

## y 22. Chapter.

And Jesus spaak vnto ẏem in biwordes again after ýs soort. ý $\mathbf{k}$. of heaven is lijk vnto á man who being á king maad á maríage feest for his sõn, and sent his servantes to bid $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathrm{em}} \dot{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathbf{t}}$ weer biddin to $\mathfrak{y}$ maríage feest, and y $^{\text {ei }}$ wold not cõm. He sent again oyer servantes saieng, tel yem $\frac{\mathfrak{y}}{}$ be bidden lo J have prępared mi diner, mi beves and mi fed waar* be killed, and al thinges redí, cõm to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ mariage feest, and $\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{ei}}$ not regarding this went

[^38]ỳeer wais, súm to his own ground, sũm to his mercat, oyer took his servantes and did yem despíte and slew ẏen. TJe king, when he hard yis, was verí angri and sent out his armie and slew yoos murderers, and burnt
 is redí, but yoos ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ weer bidden be not worý to cõm, go ye $\dot{y}$ eerfoor into $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ crossinges of hie waies, and whosoever ie find yeer cal ẏem to $\dot{y}^{\dot{y}}$ mariage feest. and ${ }^{\text {oos }}$ servantes went forth into y hie waies, and gaẏerd togiyer as mani as ẏei could find good and bad, and y mariage feest was filled with geestes. But when $\dot{y}$ è king cam in to see his geestes he saw a man y yeer who had not on his mariage raiment. felow saith he to him, hou cãm ie in hiyer and have not iour maríage garment. And ỳ man was dõm ỳen said $\mathfrak{y}$ king to his waíters, bind him hand and foot and throw him into $\mathfrak{y}$ vttermoost darknes, yeer schal be weping and gnasching ẙ cõmparatiue oftentimes for $\mathbf{~ y}$ superlatiue, as in Matthew $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ lesser in ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ kingdoom of heaven for $y$ leest, and charitee is greter, for gretest in Saint Poule,* and heer vtter darknes for $\dot{y}^{\dot{y}}$ vttermoost. of teth, for maní be bidden butfew choosen. ỳen went $\mathfrak{y}$ pharísees and took counsel hou yi might in talk snaar him, and sent yeer discipils and heroods servants togiyer to him and said, Maister we know ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ y you art tru, and techest trulí goddes wai, and jou carest for no man, nor regardest not oni men person. Tel vs y yeerfoor what thinkest you, is it lauful to pai yearlí tribute to Caisar or no. But Jesus knowing yeer wickednes, whi do ie trí me ie hypocrites saith he, schew me y tribut coín, and thei brought him a groot, and he asketh y yem whoos image it was, and whoos onwriting. yei answeer him Caisars, give iou yerfoor, saith he yen to yem $\frac{\mathrm{y}}{}_{\frac{t}{y}}$ is Cai-

[^39]sars to Caisar, and $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}}$ is goddes to god. Thej when yei had herd yis, marveiled and left him, and went yeer waí. At $\frac{t}{x}$ tijm cam ${ }^{\text {y }}$ Saddoucais vnto him, who saí
àขá $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma เ \nu$
$\sigma \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$
$\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha \nu \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\theta}$ ỳeer is no gainrising, and ẏei asked him, Maister said y yeí, Moses bad if á man die and have no childern, his broyer schold marí his wife, and rais vp childern to his broyer. jeeer weer among vs vij breyern, and $\dot{y}$ first after he was maried died and had no childern and left his wife to his broyer, so lijkwijs did ỳ second and ẙ third whil yi cam to ý seventh, and last of al ỳ wijf dijd. which of $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ef }} \mathrm{s}$ seven schal y wife be, for everí oon had her. Jesus answerd, Je wander saith he and know not $\dot{f}$ scriptures nor ẙ power of god. For in ẙ vprísing noẏer schal men mari nor women be maried, but even as goddes angels be in heaven. But as concerning y'uprising of $\mathfrak{y}$ dead, haav ie not red what god said vnto iou. J am said he y god of Abraham, and $\dot{y}$ god of Jsaac, and $\mathfrak{y}$ god of Jacob. God is not $\dot{y}$ god of $\dot{y}$ deed but of $\mathfrak{y}$ liuing. And $\mathfrak{y}$ pres of peopil heering yys weer astooníed at his lerning. When y Pharisais herd saí, ty he had stopped y Saddoucais mouyes, y ${ }^{\text {i }}$ gayerd $\dot{y}$ yemselves togiyer, and oon of y yem being a lawer did ask him, and tried him. .Mr. said he which is ${ }^{\bullet}$ great cõmandment in $\mathfrak{y}$ lawe. Jesus answerd him. you schalt love ý L. ý god with al ý hart, with al ýsoule, and with al ý mind. ýis y first and $\dot{y}$ greet cõmandment. $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ second is lijk vnto yis. you schalt love yi neighbor as yiself. ỳ hool law and al y ${ }_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{p} p h e e t s ~ h a n g ~ o n ~ y}$ yees ij cõmandmentes. And when $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ Pharisais weer gaẏerd togiỳer Jesus asked ẏem, what think iou saith he of Christ. whoos sõn is he. Dauids saí yí. Hou ẏen saith he to yem doth Dauid bi ỳ spirit cal him Lord. $\dot{y}$ L. saith he said to mi L. sit on mi right hand vntil J have maad yijn enmies á footstool vnder ý feet. Jf ẏen dauid calleth him L. hou is he his sõn, and no man could answeer him oon woord, nor durst after $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}}$ dai ani moor ask him ani thing.

## y 23. Chapter.

Then spaak Jesus to $\mathfrak{y}$ pres of peopíl and to his discipils, and said. ý Scribes and Pharísais did sit in Moses chair. keep and do al $\dot{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ bid ie keep, but do not according to yeer workes. For y ${ }^{\text {if }}$ speek, and do not. For yei bijnd vp heui burdens and hardlí bearabil, and lai yem on mens scholders, but ${ }^{\text {fi }}$ wil not with y yeer finger remoov yem. And yei do al yeer workes, $\dot{y}^{\frac{1}{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{ej}}$ might be seen of men. and yei maak yem brood gardes,* and large weltes of yeer garmentes, and loov $\dot{y}$ highest places in feestes, and ye chief seets in yeer meeting places, and to have curtesí doon to ým, in ẙ cõmun places, and to be called rabbí. But be not iou called Rabbí. for iou haav oon leader Christ, and aliou be broyern. Cal ie no man on ${ }^{\mathfrak{f}}$ earth fayer, for iou haav oon fayer which is in heaven. Be ie not called leaders, for ie haav oon leader Christ. And he ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ is gretest among iou let him be iour minister, and whosoever setteth

Al yeer religion standeth in outward doing, and schew of religion, void of an inward cleenes and true worschip. yi speek holilí, y ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ maak straight orders, ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ have ý masck of good woorkes, ${ }^{\text {eer }}$ gardes and weltes have saiengs of scripture embroyerd in, ei have y honor and estimacõn of vertue and holines given to yem, places of honor, naames of honor, but wheer is $\mathbf{y}^{\text {eer }}$ hart, wheer is $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ first cõmandmẽt kept.

[^40]" Give him a livery
More guarded than his fellows."
vp himself schal be abased and whosoever abaseth himself schal be set vpper.

Al y is meaneth not to taak awaí oyer dutí indeed, or speeth of honor ${ }^{\frac{t}{y}}$ we ow bí nature to our
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кріка parentes, and bi polící to our superiours, which both god confirmeth, and charges vs to honorẏem, but in true worschip and religion, we give no such superioritee of näl* and polite names for religion itself, wheerin god wold have vs cleave vnto him, and honor oyंer vnder him and for him. And yeerfoor who in ýs case doth not raẏer obej god ẏen man, and forsaketh not his fayer and his moyer and his childern for his cause is not worÿi him.

Wobe vntoiou scribes and pharisais, hipocrites, for ie schit $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ kingdoom of heaven from men. for ie noỳer enter in iourself, nor ie suffer not ỳoos $\mathbf{y}$ wold cõm in to enter. wo be vnto iou scribes and pharisaishipocrites, for ie devour widowes houses, and for an outward pretens maaklong praiers. ie schal yerfoor receive plentifuller ponischment. Wo be to iou scribes and pharisais hypocrites for ie go about both bi see and land to maak oon
$\pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda u \tau o v \quad$ freschman, and $\dot{y}$ being doon ie maak him twijs as much an helimp as iourselves.

Wo be to iou blind leaders who saí, whosoever swereth bí y temple it is nothing, but whosoever sweareth bi y óфعi入дя gold of y temple he is bound to perform it, vel, $\dagger$ he fauteth. Je foolisch and blind men. whiyer is ye gold or ${ }^{\dot{e}}$ temple $\frac{\dot{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ haloweth $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ gold moor, and whosoever swereth by y'alter it is nothing, but whosoever sweereth bi y gift on it, he is bound to pform it. Je folisch and blind men whiyer is ${ }^{\dot{f}}$ gift greter or th'alter $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$ haloweth $\dot{y}$ gift. He yerfor $\frac{t}{y}$ swereth bi $\dot{y}$ 'alter swereth bi it and bi al $\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}}$ is on it, and he $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ swereth bi $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{f}}$ temple swereth bi

[^41]it, and bi him $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ dwelleth it, and he $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ sweereth bí heaven sweereth bi y y seet of god, and bi him $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}}$ sitteth y yeeron.

Wo be vnto iou scribes and pharísais hypocrites, for ie tith mint díl and comín and leve vndoon right pití, and faith, y waightier points of $\mathfrak{y}$ law. thees thinges it was iour duti to do, and iet not to overslip ý oẏer. Je blijnd leaders which do strain awaí ỳ gnat,* but swalow do y Cameel.

Wo be vnto iou scribes and Pharisais hypocrites, for ie clense $\mathfrak{y}$ outward part of $\mathfrak{y}$ cup and $\dot{y}$ disch but $\dot{y}$ insijd is ful of robrí and vnstaidnes. you blind pharisai akpacia clens first y'insijd of $\dot{y}$ cup and ý disch, $\mathrm{y}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ yeer outside also mai be cleen.

Wo be to iou Scribes and pharisais hypocrites, for ie be lijk graves $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$ be plasterd over, which seem beutiful outwardli, but within $y^{\text {ei }}$ be ful of deed boones and al vncleenes, so iou outwardli appear iust to men, but inwardll ie be ful of hypocrisí, and al vnlau-
$\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ who folow yeer lustes in meetes and drinkes, and froth- $V$ ing y bodíe, and think bi corruptnes of minde $\dot{y}$ to be best for ỳm, yoos be called ákó$\lambda a \sigma \tau o t$, and y faut áкодабía; but whoos reason vnderstondeth what is to be doon, and bi knoulege holdeth what is best, but $\dot{y}$ wildnes of his affections carieth awai his reason and knoulege, who schold have staid rayer and brideld y'affections, and so foloweth his lust of eating drinking and
$a ̈ \nu \eta o v$ к $\rho i \sigma \iota \nu$

## S. MATTHEW.

frothing, ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ be called in greek fulnes. Wo be to iou
 which we mai cal rightlí vnstaid, and vnstaidnes. scribes and pharisais hypocrites for ie bild ýgraves of $\mathfrak{y}$ propheets, and dresse vp y tombes of $\mathfrak{y}$ iust and saí, if we had been in our fayeres dais, we wold not have been cõmuners with yem of ye popheets blud, and so iou witness of iourselves, $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Je}$ be $\mathrm{y}^{\text {eer }}$ childern $\dot{y}$ slew ${ }^{\text {y }}$ p p pheets. and iet look ie fulfil iour fayers measur. ie serpentes and ofspringes of adders, how can ie flie from helles ponischment. lo yeerfoor J send vnto iou propheets and wise men and lerned men, and sum of yem ie schal kil, and crucifie, and süm of yem ie schal scourge in iour meeting plaaces, and ie schal psequat yem from citee to cítee $\dot{y}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ al $\mathfrak{y}$ iust blud which hath been sched on $\mathfrak{y}$ earth sins $\dot{y}$ blud of Abel $\dot{y}$ iust vnto $\mathfrak{y}$ blud of Zachari ỳ sũn of barachi, whoom ie slew between $\dot{y}$ temple and $\dot{y}$ alter, might fal on iou. Truli $J$ sai vnto iou, al y yees thinges schal light on ýs kind. O Jerusaleem Jerusaleem, which hast killed ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{P}$ p pheets and stooned thoos $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{}$ weer sent to thiself, how often wold J have gatherd togither yi childern, even as y bird gaỳreth togiýer her chikens vnder her winges and ie wold not. lo iour house schal be left wild vnto iou. For J sai vnto iou $\frac{t}{y}$ from hensforth ie schal not se me, vntil ie saí blessed is he y ${ }^{t}$ cõmeth in ỳ L. naam.

## y 24. Chapter.

When Jesus was going awaí, and went from y temple, y $^{\text {en }}$ cam his disciples vnto him, to schew him y bijldinges of $\mathfrak{y}$ temple. But Jesus said vnto yem see ie not al this -Truli J sai unto iou yeer schal not be left heer oon stoon apon anoẏer, which schal not be loused awaí. And when he had sit on $\mathfrak{y}$ hil of olives hijs discipils cam vnto
him ápart and said vnto him. Tel vs when scha* yes thinges bee, and what schal be $\mathfrak{y}$ tooken of $\mathfrak{y}$ cõming and of $y$ 'end of $\mathbf{y}$ world. Jesus answerd, Se saith he $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ noon deceiv iou. For mani schal cõm in mi naam and sai J am Christ, and schal deceiv mani. for ie schal heer of warres, and speking of warres. look ie be not áxoás trobled. For al thees must cũm to passe. and iet schal yer not be an end. For oon nation schal rise against an oyer, and kingdoom again kingdoom, and yeer schal be hunger and pestilens, and erthquaakes in divers places. Al yees thinges schal be ye begining of grefes. yen schal y yei deliver iou to be trobled and $\mathrm{y}^{\text {i }}$ schal put iou to death, and ie schal be hated of al nacions for mi naames saak, yen schal mani fal awai, and on schal betrai an oyer, and on schal haat an oyer. And mani fals $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{p}}$ pheets schal rise vp and schal deceiv maní, and bicaus $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbf{y}}$ vnlaufulnes schal much encreas $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$
 end he schal be saved. and yis gospel of y kingdoom schal be preched thorough ý hoole world, and witnesed to al ẙ heẏen. And ẏen schal th'end cõme, but whe $\dagger$ ie se $\dot{y}$ cursednes of desolation, which was spooken of bi daniel ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{p}$ ppheet, standing in an holi place, let him $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ redeth mark it, yen let ẏem in Juri flie vnto y hils, let vosirw not him $\frac{t}{y}$ is in his hous abov go doun to tak ani thing out of his hous, and he $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ is in $\mathbf{y}$ feld let him not retorn back again to fetch his cloothes. wo be to yem $\frac{t}{y}$ be great with child, and yem ${ }^{\frac{t}{x}}$ give suck. But prai ${ }^{\frac{1}{y}}$ iour flight be not in winter nor on y Sabbot daí. For ỳn schal yeer be greet miserí, and sich as hath not been sins ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ begĩning of $\mathfrak{y}$ world, vnto yis dai, nor after schal not be. And except yees dais wer schortend, no man $\sigma \dot{a}_{\rho} \xi$ schold bee saved. but for $\dot{y}$ chosens saak jees $\overline{\text { dais }}$ schal be schortened. yen if a man sai vnto iou, Lo Christ
is heer or heer, beleev him not. For yeer schal rise fals Christes and fals popheets, and yei schal work great tokens and wonders, in so much $\mathbf{y}$, if it weer possibil, ye chosen schold be deceived. lo $J$ have fortold iou yis. Jf ẏeerfoor yei sai vnto iou. lo he is in $\mathfrak{y}$ wildernes go not forth, lo he is in ý closets beleve yem not. For even as $\mathfrak{y}$ lightening goëth from $\mathfrak{y}$ east, and scheweth even unto $\mathfrak{y}$ west, so schal $\mathfrak{y}$ sõn of mans presens be. For wheer soever ${ }^{\circ}$ Carcas is ỳiyer wil $\dot{\text { y }}$ egles be gaẏerd. And bi and bi after this wretched tijm be past, ỳ sõn schal be darkened, and $\dot{y}$ moon schal not give her light, and $\mathfrak{y}$ sterres schal fal from heaven, and $\dot{\text { y }}$ powers of $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ heavens schal be schaken, and yen schal y yon of mans token appear in ye heaven, and yen schal al y schires of ýe earth lament, and schal se y sõn of man coming in $\mathbf{y}$ cloudes of heaven with power and much gloríe. and he schal send forth his angels with á loud sounded trumpet, and ỳei schal gaẏer togiỳer his chosen from ẙ iiij windes, from th'oon end of $\dot{y}$ e heavens to $\dot{y} e$ oyer. And lern yis biword of y e fig tree. When heer branches be oons tender, and her leves bud forth, know ie $\frac{\dot{y}}{}$ somer is neer. So when ie see al y yees thinges know ie $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ it is even at hand.

Truli J sai vnto iou ỳis age schal not pas vntil al ỳees thinges be doon, heaven and earth schal pas awai but mi saieng schal not pass awai. As for $\dot{y}$ dai and $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ hour no man knoweth no not $\dot{\mathrm{f}}$ angels of heaven, but oonli mi fayer. Euen as Noës tijm was, so schal ý sõn of mans cõming be. For even as in $\dot{y}$ tijm afoor y flood men weer eating and drinking, marijng, and bestowing yeer childern, vntil $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ tijm $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ Noë cam into $\mathfrak{y}$ ark, nor y yei knew not whil $\mathfrak{y}$ flud cãm and destroied yem al, even so is $\mathfrak{y}$ son of mans cõming, ỳen schal two be in y è fente, and y'oon taken and y'oyer forsaken. ij schal grijnd in oon mil, and y'oon taken
and y'oyer forsaken. Watch yerfoor for iou know not what tijm iour L. wil cõm. But know yis ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ if ${ }^{\text {e }}$ housholder knew at what watch $\dot{y}$ theef wold cõm, he wold watch and not suffer his hous to be broken vp. Be iou yerfoor redí, for iou can not gess what tijm ${ }^{\dot{j}}$ sõn of man wil cõm. ỳ servant yerfoor who is a faithful and á wise servant, whoom $\dot{y}$. $L$. hath set over his meíní to give yem meat in convenient tijm, and his L. өepaneiac findeth him doing so at his cõming, is happí. trulí J sai unto iou, he wil give him $\dot{y}$ order of al $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ he hath. But if he being a il servant saí to himself, $\mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{M}}$ rúpos tarieth long or he cõm, and so begin to beet his felow servantes and to eat and drink with $\dot{y}$ dronken, $\dot{y}$ servantes $\mathrm{M}^{r}$. wil cõm at á daí vnlooked for, and at an hour vnknown of to him, and wil cut him on sonder, and give him sich part as hypocrites hath. yeer schal be weping and gnasching of teth.

## y 25. Chapter.

$\dot{Y}_{\text {en }}$ schal $\mathfrak{y}$ kingdoom of heaven be lijk vnto ten virgins which took yeer laampes and went to meet yeer bridegroom. And fijve of yem weer fooles and fijv wíse. $\dot{y}$ fooles when ${ }^{\text {ij }}$ took y yeer lampes took noon oile with $\dot{y} \mathrm{y}$, $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ wíse took ofl in yer vessels, when ${ }^{\text {ei }}$ took yeer laampes. And while $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ brijdgroom taried longe, ỳei al slombred and slept. About midnight yeer was á crí, lo ý brijdgroom cõmeth, go forth to meet him. yen roos al yees virgins, and furnisched yeer lampes. y fooles said ỳen to $\dot{y}$ wijse, give vs sũm of iour oíl for our lampes be out. $\dot{y}$ wijs answerd. y yeer is not ỳen sufficient for $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi о т \varepsilon$ vs and for iou. but go rayer to yem $\dot{y}$ sel it, and bi for iourselves. And whil yei went to bí it, cõmeth $\dot{y}$ brijdgroom, and yoos $\dot{y}$ weer redí went in with him to $\dot{y}$ maríage, and $\mathfrak{y}$ door was schit, afterward cam $\dot{y}$ oyer
virgins and said L. Lir ${ }^{\text {sir }}$. open vs ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ door. He answerd, J sai truli to iou saith he $\mathbf{J}$ knou iou not. Watch yerfoor for iou knou not ý dai nor ỳ tijm when ý sõn of man wil cõm. For ${ }^{\text {it it even }}$ lijk as á man, $\dot{y}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ went forth, called his servãts and deliverd yंem his goods, and to y ye oon he gaav fijv talentes, to $\mathbf{y}$ ooyer ij , to $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ o oyer oon, everí oon according to his power, and he went foorth bí and bí. ỳn went he which had received five talantes and occupied yem, and gat ooyer five talantes. and lijkwijse he $\dot{y}$ had ij talantes, gained also oyer ij . but he $\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ received oon, went and digged $\mathbf{y}$ ground, and hid his masters silver $\dot{y}$ yeer. not long tijm after cõmeth ${ }^{\text {ef }} \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{r}}$. of y yees servantes, and entreth into an accompt with yem, and he who received five talantes, cãm to him and brought him ooyer five talantes. Sir saith he ie deliverd me five talantes, lo J hav gained with yem oýer fijv. wel good and faithful servant, saith his $M^{\mathrm{r}}$ vnto him, you haast been faithful in á litil. J wil give ỳe ẏe ordring. of a great deal, go you in yiyer, wheer $y^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ delighteth to be. yen cam he who had received ij talantes. Sir saith he you deliverdst me ij talantes, lo J have gained with ỳm oẏer ij talantes. wel good and faithful servant saith his $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ vnto him, bicause you hast been faithful in a litil, J wil give yee ye order of a great deal; enter in ýyer, wheer $y^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ delighteth to be. But he which had received oon talant cam to him. Sir saith he J knou $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ ỳo art a sower man, ẏou repest wheer you soowedst not, you gayंerest wheer you hast doon no cost, and J fearing this went and hid $\dot{y}$ talent in $\dot{y}$ ground, lo you haast yijn own. His
good ententes not truli directed serveth not áfoor god, nor neglecting and vnusing of his cõmmandmentes and wais he wold have folowed.
$\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{r}}$ answerd him. y you noughtí and slouthful servant, saith he. knowest ẏou y $\mathbf{y}$ J reep wheer J soowed not,
and gaẏer wheer J bestoowed no cost. y you schold yeerfoor haav put out mi moní to ý tablers, and yen at rpanebirat mi retorn J schold have received mijn own with gain. róru taak yeerfoor yis talant from him, and give it him $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{f} \text { hath }}$ $x$ talantes. For everí man $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ hath, ${ }^{*}$ schal haav given him, and he schal have plentí, but from him $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ hath not even ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ he hath schal be taaken from him. And throw this vnprofitable servant into y'uttermoost darknes. yeer schal be weeping and gnasching * á pretí even wordíng in have, which oon wai betookeneth $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ possessing of $\dot{y}$ thing, y'oyer waí y'using of $\frac{\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ is possessed, as $\grave{\pi} \boldsymbol{i} \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ doth to y greekes, and maní oyer wordes, wheerof y'oon significõn is кт $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \iota s$ and y'oỳer $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma$. of teeth. When ẙ sõn of man cõmeth in his glorí and al his holí angels with him, ẏen schal he sit on $\mathfrak{y}$ throon of his glorí, and al y y heẏen schal be gaẏerd afoor him, and he schal pluck out y'oon from y'oyer, as $\dot{y}$ schepherd plucketh out $\dot{y}$ schepe from $\mathfrak{y}$ kiddes, and schal set $\mathfrak{e}^{\mathfrak{y}}$ scheep on his ipi申w right hand, and $\dot{y}$ yiddes on his left. yen schal ye king saí to yem on his right hand. Cõm ie blessed of mi fayer, enherite $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{y}}$ kingdoom prępared for iou sins $\mathfrak{y}$ groundworkes of $\mathfrak{y}$ world weer laied. For J was hongri and ie gave me meat, J was thrustí and ie gaav me drink, J was a stranger and ie harboroud me, J was naked and ie cloyंed me, $\mathbf{J}$ was sick and ie visited me, $\mathbf{J}$ was in prison and ie cam to me. yen schal ye rightuous answeer, Sir schal yei sai when sau we ye hungrí and fed $\dot{y}$ e or thrustí and gave ye drink, when saw we y a stranger and harbord ye, or naked and clooyed ye, when saw we $\dot{y}$ e sick or in prison and cam to $\dot{y} \mathrm{e}$. y ye king schal answeer yem. Truli schal he sai J tel iou, whatsoever iou haav doon to oon of mi leest brethern, ie hav doon it to me. yen schal he sai to ẏm on ye left hand, go from me al ie cursed into everlasting fijr, prȩpared for $\mathfrak{y}$
devel and his angels. For $J$ was hongri and ie gave me no meet, $J$ was thrustí, and ie gav me no drink, $J$ was á stranger and ie harboroud me not, $J$ was naked and ie cloyed me not, J was sick and in prison and ie visited me not. y yen schal y yei answer him Sir, sai ẏei, when saw we ẏe hongrí or thurstí, stranger or naked, sick or in prison, and did not serve ye. He schal ẏen answeer yem. Truli saith he $\mathbf{J}$ tel iou. Jn so much as ie have not doon it to oon of yees litil oons, ie haav not doon it to me. And thoos schal go vnto everlasting ponischmẽt, and ý Just unto ye lijf everlasting.

## y 26. Chapter.

Andit cam to pass when Jesus had ended thees saienges, he said to his discipils, Ye knou $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathbf{y}}$ after ij dai schal Easter be, and $\dot{y}$ sõn of man schal be deliverd to be crossed. ýn weer* y hedpríestes ỳ lerned men and ỳ elders of $\dot{y}$ peopil in to $\dot{y}$ hedpríestes court, who was called Caiapha. and y ${ }^{\text {eit }}$ took councel togiýer $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathbf{t}}$ yei might catch Jesus bi sum craft, and slee him. But yei said it might not be on y y holidai, leest yeer weer sum stirre among y peopil. And when Jesus was in bethaina, in Simon ý lepers hous, yeer cam an woman to him having an alabaster box of veri costli ointment, and poored it on his hed, as he sat at meat. His discipils seing $\mathbf{y}$ weer discontented. To what purpoos, said yeí, is yis waast. For yis ointment might hav been soold for much, and given to ý poor. Jesus knowing yis said to ỳm. whi put ie yís woman to troble, for sche hath wrought á good work on me. ỳ poor schal ie haav alwais with iou but me ie schal not haav. For sche who hath poored yis ointment on mi bodí, hath doon it to burí me. Trulí J sai vnto iou y wheersoever in ẙ hool

[^42]world yis gospel schal be preched, $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ which sche hath doon schal be spooken of, to her praise. Yंen oon of $\dot{\mathbf{y}} \mu \nu \eta \mu \dot{\circ} \sigma v \nu o \nu$ xij, who was called Joudas Jscarioot, cam to $\mathfrak{y}$ hedpriests and said, what wil ie give me, and J wil deliver him to íou. And yei appointed* him 30 silverlinges. ápyüpta And from $\frac{t}{y}$ tijm forward he sought á good occasion to deliver him to $\dot{y}$ ym. $\dot{f}$ first dai of $\dot{y}$ vnlevened cam $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ discipils to Jesus. Wheer wilt ỳou sai yei to him schal we prępaar for ye to eat $\dot{y}$ Easter. Go iou saith he into ý Citee to sich á man, and sai vnto him. Mi tijm, saith $\dot{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$., is at hand, $\mathbf{J}$ and mi discípils wil keep Easter with $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$. And $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ discipils did as Jesus had comanded ỳm, and maad redí his Easter. And when it was laat he set him doun with his discipils, and, as yei weer eating, Truli, saith he, J tel iou $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ oon of iou schal betraí me. And yei being much greved began to saí everí oon to him. is it J Sir. He ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ dippeth his hand in $\dot{y}$ disch with me, schal betrai me. Suerlí ý sõn of man goth his mi veri frend and nigh acquaintans, for yoos moost cõmunli eat and drink with men. wai, as it is writin of
him. But wo be unto $\frac{t}{y}$ man, bi whoom $\mathfrak{y}$ sõn of man is betraid. Jt had been good for $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{}$ man if he had never been boorn. Judas ẏen who betraid him spaak unto him, Js it J Mr mijn, quoth he. ỳen said he. So ẏou ov̀ $\mathrm{l}^{7 \pi a s}$ saist. And as yei weer eating Jesus took breed, and $\overline{\text { after he had said grace } \dagger \text { he braak it and gave it to his }}$ disciples. Taak said he, eat, yis is mi bodi, and when he

[^43]
## S. MATTHEW.

had taken y y cup and given thankes, he gave it yem. Drink ie al said he of yis. For yis is mi blud, which is of ${ }^{e}$ new testament, which is sched for $\mathfrak{y}$ people for forgivenes of siñes. J sai unto iou $J$ wil not drink hensforth of yis fruut of $\dot{y}$ vijn, until $\dot{y}$ dai when $J$ wil drink new with iou in mi faỳers kingdoom. And after ẏei had praised god, yei went forth to olives hil. y yen saith Jesus to yem. Al ie schal fal from me to night. For it is writin, J wil strike f scheepherd, and y scheep of y flock schal be scaterd. but after ${ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{J}$ am risin, $\mathbf{J}$ wil go befoor iou into galilaí. Peter answerd. Jf al men saith he to him wold fal from y y, iet wil J never fal from ỳ. J tel $\stackrel{\text { y }}{ }$ trulí saith Jesus vnto him, $\frac{ \pm}{y}$ yis night, befoor ỳ Cock crow y you schalt dení me thries. Jf J schold die with ýe, saith Peter vnto him, J wil not deni ye, and lijkwijse said al ý discipils. ẏen went Jesus with ẏem into a place called gethsemanee, and saith to his discipils. Sit ie doun heer whil J go and praí ionder, and he took peter with him and Zebedais ij sõnes and began to be greved and ful of paín. ỳen saith Jesus unto yem. J am even lijk to die for sorow, tari heer and watch with me. And when he had goon a litil from y yem he fel on his face, and praid. Mi fayer saith he if it be possibil let ÿis cup go from me, houbeit not as J wil but as you wilt, and he cõmeth to his discipils and found yem sleping. How so can ie not watch oon hour with me, watch and praí $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{t}}$ ie enter not into tríal. $\stackrel{e}{\mathrm{y}}$ spirit is redí, but $\mathfrak{j}$ flesch is week. $\dot{y}$ second tijm again he praíd, Mi faẏer, saith he, if yis cup can not pass from me, $y$ wil be doon, and he retorned and found $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{em}}$ sleping again. For yeer eies weer hevi. and he left ẏem yंeer, and went again and praied $\dot{y}$ third tijm and said the saam thing. yen cõmeth he to his discipils. Sleep now saith he and rest ie, lo ỳe hour is corm, and ye sõn of man is deliverd into $\mathfrak{y}$ siners handes. rise let vs go. lo mi betraier is at hand. And whil he was speeking
thus, lo Judas on of $\dot{y}$ xij cam and with him a great cõpani with swerdes and staaves from yed priests and elders of ỳ peopil. His betraier gaav ỳm á tooken. Whoomsoever, saith he, J schal kiss, he it is, taak him. And bí and bí he cam to Jesus, al hail $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ mijn saith he, and he kissed him. Jesus said vnto him. Felow, wheerfoor art you heer now. ẏen cam yei and laid hand on Jesus and took him. And loo on of yem $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ weer with Jesus put out his hand, dreu out his swerd, strook y hed priestes servant, and cut of his ear. yin said Jesus to him put vp yii swerd wheer he schold be. For everi men* $\dot{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{y}}$ draueth his swerdes schal perisch with swerde. whi thinkest thou, $\stackrel{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{~}} \mathbf{J}$ can not now desir mi faẏer, and he wil aid me with moor yen xij legeons of $\lambda_{\text {evẽuvas }}$ angels. Hou schold yen ye scriptures be fulfild, $\frac{\mathfrak{y}}{\mathbf{y}}$ it must be yus. Jesus at yat tijm said to y pres of peopil yंeer. Je cõm forth with swerdes and staves to taak me as $J$ weer as theef. $J$ sat with iou daili, and tought iou in $\mathfrak{y}$ tempil, and ie took me not. But al yis is $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{p}}$ pheets writinges maí be fulfilled. ỳen forsook him his discipils and fled. And yei took Jesus and brought him
 elders weer gayerd. But Peter foloued him afar of even vnto y hed bischops court. and he enterd in and a $\quad \nu \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ sat among $\dot{y}$ servantes to $\overline{\text { see } \dot{\text { y }}} \mathrm{end}$. ye hed priest ẏen and yelders and ye hool councel, sought fals wítnes again Jesus $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}} \dot{y}$ yei might put him to death, and yei found noon, and although mani fals witnesses cam in yei found nothing. Afterwardes cam ij fals witnesses, yis man sai yei said $\mathbf{J}$ can pluck doun $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ church of god and $\nu a \dot{\nu} \nu$ within iij daies bild it vp. And y hed priest roos and said vnto him. Answerest you nothing. what schal y yees men condẽn $\mathfrak{y}$. but Jesus held his peace. ẏen кarapaprvspaak $\overline{\dot{y}}$ hedpriest. J coniure $\mathfrak{y}$ in ya naam of $\mathfrak{y}$ liuing

[^44]god, $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ you tel vs whiyer y you be Christ $\mathfrak{y}$ sõn of god. Jesus said vnto him y you haast said so. Houbeit J sai vnto iou from hens forth schal iou see ỳ son of man sit on his right hand $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ is pouer itself, and cõm in $\mathfrak{y}$ heavens cloudes. yen rent y hed priest his garmentes, and said y $^{\text {y }}$ he had speek blasphemí. what need we ani moor witnesses. lo nou haav ie herd his blasphemí. What think iou? y yei answerd. He is woryil to di, sai ${ }^{\text {en }}$. ẏen spit yei in his face and buffetted him, oyer smoot on $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ face. fortel vs Christ, 'saí yei, who is he $\dot{y}$ striketh $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$. Peter sat without in ${ }^{\mathfrak{e}}$ Court. And yeer cõmeth an handmaiden vnto him. Euen you, saith sche, wert with Jesus $\dot{y}$ galilaí. He yeer denied it afoor al and said, J knou not what you saiest. And as he was going forth into $\dot{y}$ gaathous, yeer sau him an oyer wench, and sche said to $\dot{y}$ em $\dot{y}^{t}$ weer y yeer. Euen yis man was with Jesus ẙ Nazwraí. And he denied it again with an ooth, $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ he knew not ỳ man. A litil after cam $\mathfrak{y}$ standers bí and said unto Peter. Truli you art oon of yem for $\mathfrak{y}$ speche bewraieth ye. jen began he to curs and swear y ${ }^{t}$ he knew not ỳ man. and bí and bí y Cock creu. And Peter rememberd Jesus wordes $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ he said vnto him, $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ befoor $\mathfrak{y}$ cock croweth y jou schalt thries deni me, and he"went out and wept' bitterlí.

## $y_{i}$ 27. Chapter.

And verí earlí yex next daí, al ye hedpriestes and y y aldermen of $\dot{y}$ people, took councel again Jesus $\dot{y}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{~ y}$ might put him to death, and yei bound him and brought him to Pontius Pilate y pręsident. ỳen Joudas his traitor, seing $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ he was condẽned, did forthink himself, and brought again $\mathfrak{y}^{\mathfrak{e}} \mathrm{xxx}$ silverlinges to $\mathrm{y}^{\boldsymbol{e}}$ hed priestes and ye aldermen, and said, J have sĩned in betraieng y y giltles bloud man. What is $\dot{y}$ to us, said yei, look y you
to it. And hè threw $\mathfrak{y}$ silverlinges in $\mathfrak{y}$ Church and went his wais, and so departed and hong himself. ye hed priestes took vp y silverlinges and said, Jt is not
 price of bloud. yai took councel
togiyer, and bought with yem á ý ṕce of a mans potters ground to burí strangers deeth. in. Wheerfoor $\mathrm{y}^{\mathbf{y}}$ ground is called
ý bloudground to yis daí. ỳen was Jeremi $\mathfrak{y}$ popheets saieng fulfilled. And yei took $\times x x$ silverlinges saith
he ỳe price of ỳ valewed, whoom ỳei bought of $\dot{y}$ chil- $\tau \mu \mu^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta a t$ dern of Jsrt,* and ỳei gave ỳm for á potters ground, as $\mathfrak{y}$ L. appointed me. Jesus stood befoor y pręsident and $\mathfrak{y}$ pręsident asked him. Art you saith he y king of Jues. Thou saiest so, said Jesus unto him. And when he was accused of e hedpríestes and aldermen, he answerd nothing. yen said Pilaat unto him, herest you not hou mani thinges yei charge ye with. And he answerd him to never a word, insomuch $\frac{t^{y}}{y}$ é pręsídent marveiled much at it. About yis feast ỳ pressídent was wont to deliver a prisoner to y $\mathbf{y}$ peopil, whoom ỳei wold. And ỳei had ẏen a notable prisoner called barabbas. And when yei weer gaẏerd togiỳer Pilat said to yem. Whoom wilie $\frac{\mathrm{y}}{} \mathrm{J}$ deliver unto iou, barabbas, or Jesus $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ is called Christ. For he knew $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ yei had brought him in for envie. And as he sat on his $\pi a \rho \delta \delta \omega \kappa \alpha \nu$ iugment seat, his wife sent vnto hím. Haav you nothíng to do saieth sche with $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ Just man. For J haav been much trobled yis daí with him in mi dream. But ỳ övaן hedpriestes and $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ eldermen psuaded $\underset{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{E}}$ people, $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ y $\dot{\text { y }}$ i schold asck barrabas, and put Jesus to deth. y pręsi- àmonéowot dent answered. which of yees ij, saieth he to yem, wil

[^45]ie y ${ }^{\text {t }}$ J deliver íou. Barabbas saí ẏeí. what schal J do with Jesus, saith Pilaat unto yem, which is called Christ. let him be crossed saí yeí al. What hurt hath he doon saith $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ pręsident. But yei cried e moor, let him be crossed sai ỳé. Pilaat seing $\frac{t}{y}$ it was no boot, but raẏer troble cam of it, he took water and wasched his handes befoor ẙ people. J am gíltes saith he of yis iust mans bloud. look iou on it. Al y people answerd. His $\overline{\text { blud saí yei be revenged on us and our childern. yen }}$ he deliverd yem barabbas, and scourged Jesus and deliverd him to be crossed. ذe pręsidentes souldiars ẏen

тара入а́ßovtes траıтш́рıоу
$\dot{\alpha}_{\wedge} \in \alpha \nu \theta \tilde{\omega} \nu$ carieng Jesus into ẏe cõmun hal gaẏerd ye hool band unto him, and vnraieng of him, put on him á scarlet mantíl, and wríthing togither á croun of thistels, yei put it on his hed, and á reed in his hand, and bowing yeer knees befoor him scoorned him, and said, al hail king of ỳe Jues, and spit at him, and took ye reed, and bet him on $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { hed, and when yei had mocked hím, yei }\end{aligned}$ pluckt of his mantil, and put on his clooyes again, and $47^{\circ} \quad$ caried him awaí to be crossed. and as ẏei went yei found á Cureenaieen whoos naam was Símoon, him ỳei compelled to cari ${ }^{\text {e }}$ crosse. And when yei cam to golgotha, y place, which is as much to saí as ye sculplace, yei gav him vineger mixt with gal to drink, and when he had taasted on it, he wold not drink. And after y yei had crossed him y yei cast lottes and diuided his garmentes, $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ y ${ }^{\text {poppheets saieng might be fulfilled. y yei haav diuided }}$ mi garments amongest yem, and yei haav cast lots for mi cloying. And y yei sat and kept him ẏeer. And y yei set his caus in writing over his hed. YIS IS JESVS YE KING OF IVES. yeer weer yen crossed with him
 And yei $\mathbf{y}$ passed bi, did speek il on him, and schaked ẏeer heddes at him. ẏou y ${ }^{t}$ couldest destroi ye tempil sai yei and in iij daies build it again, saav yiself now, if you be goddes sõn cõm doun from ỳ cross. y yed-
priestes lijkwise, ẏe leerned men, and ẏe eldermen scoorned $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \varepsilon$ īs him, He haath saaved oẏer, sai yei, but himself he can not save, if he be king of Jsrt, let him cũ doun from $\mathbf{y}$ cros now, and we wil beleve him. He haath trusted in god, let him nou deliver him if he wil haav him. For J am gods sõn said he. And ye theves $\dot{y}$ weer crossed with him did vpbraid him with $\dot{y}$ e saam. But from $\mathfrak{y}$ sixt hour vnto $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ ix hour, al $\dot{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{e}$ hool earth was derk. And about frõ noon to iij of ý ix hour Jesus cried with a greet ý clock. voice, Elí. Elí. lama sabacthani. $\dot{\mathbf{t}}$ is as much as, mi god, mi god whi haast y $\mathfrak{y}$ ou forsaaken me. And sum of yem $\dot{y}$ stood yeer heering yis said $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{}$ he call for elias. And bí and bí on of yem ran and took a sponge, and filled it with vineger, and put it on a reed, and gave it him to drink. And $\mathfrak{y}$ yesideu saíd. Soft, let vs se whiyer helias cõm to save him or no. And Jesus cried again with a great voice, and ielded vp $\mathfrak{y}$ ghoost, and lo $\mathfrak{y}$ vail of $\mathfrak{y}$ church was torn into ij partes from $\mathfrak{y}$ top dounwardes, and ye erth quaked, and $\mathfrak{y}$ rockes weer toorn asonder, and y y graaves weer opend, and mani deed saintes bodies did rijs again, and cam out of yeer graves after his rising again and cam into $\dot{y}$ holi citee, and did appear to mani. and ye hunderder and his men $\mathbf{y}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ kept Jesus, seing y'earthquake and oyer thinges $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ weer doon, weer much afraid and said, suerli he was goddes sõn. yeer stood mani women afar of to see, which had folowed Jesus from galilai to serve him, among y which was Marí magdaleen, and Marí James and $\mathbf{J} \omega$ ses moẏer, and Zebedais sõnes moẏer.
And when it was laat, yeer cam from Arimathaí á rich man, whoos naam was Joseph, who was also á discipil of Jesus. yis man cam to Pilaat and desired Jesus bodí. Pilaat yen cõmanded $\mathfrak{y}$ ẙ bodí schold be given him. And J $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ seph took $\mathfrak{y}$ bodí and wrapped it in cleen linen, and laid it in a new graav of his oun, which he
had hewed out of e rock, and he rolled a greet stoon to ẙ graaves brink, and went his wais. yeer was Marí Magdaleen and an oyer Mari sitting over against $\dot{\text { e }}$ graave. y morow after, which was ẙ dai folowing ý good fridaí, ỳ hed priestes and $\frac{\text { y pharisais weer gayerd befoor Pilaat, }}{\text { phe }}$ and said Sir, we remember $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{}$ ien deceiver said, whil he was iet alijv. J wil rijs again after iij dais. Cõmand y eerfoor $\mathfrak{y}$ graav to be saafli kept til y iij dai be past, leest his discipils cõm and steal him and tel y peopil $\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}}$ he is risen from $\dot{y}$ dead, and yis last error schal be wors $\dot{y}$ en $\dot{y}$ e first. Pilaat said to yem. ye haav á watch of iour own, go iour waies, keep him safli, ie know how wel inough. And yei went and kept y graav saafli with watchmen, and besides $\frac{\mathfrak{t}}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ set yeer seales on ${ }^{\text {y }}$ stoon.

## y 28. Chapter.

On ý sabbot daí, at night, when y first daieslight of $\mathfrak{e}$ week began to daun, marí magdaleen and an oyer marí cãm to look on ý graue, and loo yeer was a great earthquaak. For y'angel of y L. cam doun from heaven, and cam ÿiyer, and rolled awai $\mathfrak{y}$ stoon from y brinke and sat doun apon it, and his face was lijk lightening, and his cloying whijt lijk snow, and y kepers did schaak for fear, and weer lijk dead men. And y angel spaak to $\mathfrak{y}$ women, fear iou not, saith he. J know $\frac{1}{\mathbf{t}}$ ie seek Jesus who was crossed. He is not heer, he is rísen as he told iou. Cõm and se ý place wheer y $\mathbf{y}$ L. lai, and go quichí and tel his discipils, $\frac{t}{y}$ he is risin from $\dot{y}$ dead, and lo he is goon befoor iou to galilaí, yeer schal iou se him. lo* J have told iou. And

* ${ }^{\text {y }}$ greekes said E! ! ппка, the latins, dixi. ỳei went quiclí awaí from ỳ tomb with fear and great gladnes, to schew it vnto his discipils, and as yei went to schew his discipils it, lo Jesus met ẏem and said al hail. and yei cam unto


## him, and took him bi y feet and bowed doun unto him. ẏen said Jesus unto ỳem fear not, go

* The remainder of verse 10 , and the ten following verses, which conclude Cheke's translation of St. Matthew's Gospel, are wanting in the original MS. Without doubt, for reasons given in the Introduction, the last leaf was lost before the MS. came into Archbishop Parker's possession. At any rate the MS. must have been imperfect in this particular, when, together with other original papers, it was bound up in the volume No. CIV. of the Archbishop's collection of MSS.


## Ý GOSPEL. BI SAINT MARK*

## ye first Chapter.

Tis is $\dot{y}$ begining of $\mathbf{J}$. C. gods sõnes gospel, as it is writin in ý popheets. lo J send mi messenger befoor thi face, who pregpareth $y$ wais befoor $y^{\text {ye }}$. $\mathrm{y}^{\text {is }}$ is y criers voice in $\mathfrak{y}$ wildernes, prespaar ye L. waí, maak straight his pathes. Joañ was wasching in y wildernes, and theer he preched $\mathfrak{y}$ wasclining of repentance, for $\dot{y}$ forgivenes of siñes, and al ẙ contree of Judaí, and ý hierosolymites, cam vnto him, and al weer wasched of him in Jordaan ẙ river, acknowleging yeer siñes. J $\omega$ añ̃ was appareled with Camels heer, and with a lether girdel about his loínes, and he et locustes and wild hony, and he preched thus. Mi stronger cõmeth after me, ỳ latchet of whoos schoo $\mathbf{J}$ am not worthí to bow down and louse. $\mathbf{J}$ have wasched yow with water, but he schal wasch iow with ye holí ghoost. And it happend at y tíme Je- ż $\gamma^{\prime} v \in \tau 0 . \quad$ thoos thinges, which sus cam from Nazareth

[^46]ruleth and ordereth al thinges, and y cause theerof is unseen and vnbnowen vnto vs, we cal hap and chanse, although in deed yeer be nothing doon without his councel, no not $\dot{y}$ falling down of a litil bird or a heer of oons hed, who worketh al thinges in al men.
of galilaí, and was wasched of Joan in Jordaan. And as soon as he cam vp from $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ water, he saw $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ heavens departed,* and $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ ghoost to cõme down lijk á doov on him. And yeer cam á voice from $\dot{\mathrm{y}}$ heavens, $\mathfrak{y}$ ou
art mi wel beloved sõn, with whoom J am wel cõtented. and bí and bí yg ghoost threw him in to ý wildernes, and he was jeer in $\dot{y}$ wildernes foortí daies tempted of $\dot{y}$ devil, and he was among wild beestes, and gods messengers ministerd vnto him.

After y ${ }^{\mathfrak{t}} \mathbf{J} \omega$ añ was put in prison, Jesus cãm into galilee, and preeched $\mathbf{y}$ fandled gos of goddes kingdoom, and said $\dot{y}$ time is now expired, $\dot{y}$ kingdoom of heaven is nigh, re- $\pi \varepsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \tau a \iota$ pent and belev $\dot{\mathfrak{E}}$ gospel. And Jesus walking bi galilees seas side, saw Simon and andrew his broyer casting nettes into y y sea, for y yei weer fischers, and Jesus said ${ }^{2} \mu \phi i \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho a$ to $\dot{\text { y }} \mathrm{m}$, Cõme after me, and J wil maake iow mens físchers. and y' bi and bi left yeer nettes and folowed him, and he went a litil furder from yens, and saw Jaames zebebais sõne, and Joañ his broyer, mending theer nettes in ẙ bote, and he called yem bí and bí, and yei left Zebedaí yeer fayer in ý boot with his hijndes, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ híred servants, and went after him. and y yei cam into Capernaüm, and .

[^47]

## A P P E N DIX.

(10)M MoxMeM Mox
Epistola scripta manu propria serenissimi Regis Edwardi VI. ad Dominan Katherinam Reginame relictam Regis


## APPENDIX.

## LETTER OF KING EDWARD VI. TO QUEEN CATHERINE PARR.

epistola, scripta manu propria serenissimi regis edWardi vi. ad dominam katherinam reginam, relictam regis henrici vili. data 30 mail.

Cũ non procul abs te abessẽ, et quotidie me te uisurum sperarẽ, mihi optimũ uidebatur non omnino ad te literas dare. Literæ enim sunt cuiusdam et memorię et benevolentię longe absentiũ signa. Sed ego, petitione tua tandẽ accensus, non potui non ad te literas mittere. Primũ, ut tibi gratũ faciam, deinde uero ut tuis literis respondeã benevolentia plenis, quas e Sancto Jacobo ad me misisti. In quibus ṕmũ ponis ante oculos tuũ amorẽ erga patrẽ meũ, Nobilissimæ memoriȩ Regẽ; deinde benevolentiã erga me; ac postremo pietatẽ, scientiam, at $q_{3}$ doctrinã in sacris literis. Perge igitur in tuo bono Incepto, et prosequere patrẽ amore diuturno, ac exhibe mihi tãta signa benevolentiæ, quæ semper hactenus in te sensi; et ne desinas amare et legere sacras literas, sed semper in eis legendis pseuera. In primo enim, indicas officium bonę coniugis et subiecte; in secundo, ostendis laudem amicitiȩ tuę; et, in tertio, tuã pietatẽ erga deũ. Quare, cũ ames patrem, non possũ non te vehementer laudare; cũ me ames, non te iterũ diligere; et, cum verbü dei ames, te colã et mirabor ex animo. Quare si quod sit, quo possũ tibi gratum facto uel uerbo facere, libenter prestabo. Vale. Tricessimo Maij.

[^48]
## CHEKES LETTERS.

No. I. To Mr. Parker, Chaplain to Queen Anna Boleyn, in behalf of Bill a poor scholar.
No. II. To Dr. Parker, promising his interest to procure him a pension on account of his loss of the Deanery of Stoke College.
No. III. To Martin Bucer, congratulating him on the recovery of his health.
No. IV. To Dr. Parker, condoling with him on the death of Bucer.
No. V. To Dr. Parker, Dean of Lincoln, at Cambridge.
No. VI. To Cardinal Pole, entreating his favour and protection.
No. VII. To Queen Mary, petitioning for his liberty, dated from the Tower of London.

## I.

EPISTOLA JOANNIS CHEKE AD M. PARKER,

IN QUA EUM ORAT UT D. BILLUM PAUPEREM SCHOLAREM REGINE ANNE BOLEYN COMMENDET.

Audeo equidem, pro veteri tuo erga me fauore, familiariter impetrare, Vir honestissime, vt tantum petitioni meæ honestæ tribuas, quantum cõmodo tuo facere potes. Hoc in caussa est. Accepimus, \& constans apud nos fama est de Nobilissimæ Reginæ magnificentia; quæ, cum immensum quendam modum erga studiosos late patuit, nunc sit adaucta multũ \& amplificata, quod ad compendii nostri ṕmo quoq ${ }_{3}$ año reditum dissoluendum spectat. Jntelleximus autem nuper in se recepisse Reginam hoc vt faceret, \& promisisse, vt, si qui tenui in re $\&$ in egestate positi, quorum præterea morũ ingenuitas \& candor aliquis ingenii præluceret ad virtutis \& trarum studia, libenter se illorum nomine dissoluturam, at ${ }_{3}$ illorum nõie perscripturam, modo illi significatio detur aliqua vel per D. Skippum, vel aliquem ex vobis qui Clarissimæ Reginæ à sacris estis, qualem se gerat \& qualem se ostendat moribus ac eruditione. Jam $\mathrm{v}^{\circ}{ }^{*}$ cum nos habeamus apud nos adolescentulũ literatum \& honestum, qui \& rerü cognitione abundat \& integritate morum, qui venisset in sociorum numerum ad tempus Paschatis, nisi quod ex hoc ære exire non potuit, \& pecuniam istam nequibat habere expeditam. D. Billum, multum à te desidero \& requiro, vt aliqua via ad Re-

[^49]ginã perferatur, esse adolescentulũ graui paupertate oppressum; cui iter ad victum suũ interclusum est, quod colligere certam pecuniam nequeat, quam nũerare ante debeat quam societatem inire posset. Quod si cures pro tua humanitate faciundũ, facies rem valde piam \& sanctam, quod p p moues ad studia \& bonas tras eos, quibus paupertatis malũ ingrauescit. Jd si ante oĩm sanctorũ feceris, dupliciter demereberis nos tibi, \& quod illũ in locum suũ curabis restitui, \& quod alij dabis ansam in eius locum, quem nunc habet, veniendi. Est enim solẽne nobis ad festum sanctorum omnium creare nouos discipulos, qui in vacua eorum loca veniant, quos ante hoc tempus abiisse hoc munere cognouerimus. Ergo hoc facto nos duplicẽ reportabimus cõmoditatem, \& tu vna ex re duplicẽ capies laboris tui fructum. Me aũt in infinitum tibi deuinctum habes. Vale.

Cantabrigiæ. Pridie. Michaelis
Tuus si quid ${ }^{\mathrm{p} t}$ JOÃNES CHEEKUS.

Generoso Viro<br>Mr̃o Parkaro<br>Reginæ à Sacris.

[^50]
## II.

LETTER FROM SIR JOHN CHEKE TO DR. PARKER,

```
PROMISING HIM TO EXERT IIS INTEREST TO PROCURE
    HIM A PENSION FOR HIS LOSS OF THE DEANERY
        OF STOKE COLLEGE.
```

$\mathbf{M}^{\text {a }}$ Doctor. after moost hartie Cõmendacõns. J am as diligẽt in yo behalf as $J$ wold be in mine owne; and labor as sore that ye mai thinke yorself to have founde sõme kinde of frendship at mi hande, as $J$ thinke indede $J$ have received at yo ${ }^{\text {r3 }}$. When the Cõmission is ones cõme out, yow and yo ${ }^{\text {rs }}$ shall be the firste, to whome pencõns shall be apointed, and for yor parte $\mathbf{J}$ truste so ordered, that no pensionarie better.-the time is not now longe, within this sevenight or litle more it is thought ye shall be dispatched. Wherefore ye nede not muche now to accombre yorself with anie vnquietnes of delaie, thinking that rateablie ye shall be dispatched with the best and soonest. fare ye well. the vij of June. from Westmester.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yors }^{\text {r3 }} \text { assured } \\
& \text { JOAÑ CHEKE. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^51]
## III.

## EPISTOLA JOANNIS CHEKE AD MARTINUM BUCERUM,

In qUA GRATULATUR EI QUOD VALETUDINEM RECUPERASSET.
Audio té firmiorẽ esse factũ, \& oẽm ægritud ${ }^{\text {is }}$ tuæ languorẽ quæ te adflixerat, repulsũ esse. de éo vehemẽter sicuti *deõ ago grãs deo tprĩ oĩm consolom̃, quí ex tanta magnitud ${ }^{e}$ morbi eripuit, \& ad munus tuum suscipiẽdũ in Ecclĩa \& obeundũ confirmauit. Sed vide nè te nimis acrẽ initio prębeas, \& plus suscipias $q 6 \ddagger+$ imbecillitas valetud ${ }^{\text {is }}$ tuæ ferre possit. Jta est laborandũ vt nõ q6 cíto, sed q6 díu hoc efficere possis cogitetur. Jllud Paulí $\dot{o} \iota \nu \tilde{\psi} \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota \gamma \tilde{\psi} \chi \rho \tilde{\omega} q 6$ latè pateat scis, \& $q^{m} \S$ in oẽs actiones vitæ diffundi possit. Hoc apud te facio, quod vt aliis facerẽ nũq6 impetrare à meipõ poteram, vt remissior \& temperatior in nimia hac tua ac penè intollerabílí contẽtione mentis sis. Magnitudo enim illíus supra vires intenta frangít corpus, \& minus habile ad mediocría conanda facit.

De Sleidaní caussa \& cȩterorũ sic hẽto.|| D. Cantuariensis benevolus sed tardus est caussarũ Patronus, \& in hac re opus est consiliario Regio id $_{3}$ ea aĩす magnitude qua par est, ad honestas caussas cũ moderoẽ \& iudicio suscipiendas. Si quando żvkaıpía pretervolat,

| * debeo. | † patri omnium consolationum. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\ddagger$ quam. | § quomodo. |
| \|| habeto. | it auimi. |

facílíus quęritur q6 invenitur. Ego hortari Cant. ${ }^{\text {em }}$ non cesso, \& quod preterea possũ efficio. Benè vale.

## grenuici. 1550. 11. Maij. 4. Ed. 6.

Tuæ dignitatis
Studiosissimus
JOAN゙ CHECUS.
Amíco suo Chariss. ${ }^{\circ}$
D. Martino Bucero

Professori The ${ }^{\circ}$ logico
Cantabrigie.

* There is written on the back of this letter in Bucer's handwriting,

Recepi 14. maii
non te respond.
IV.

LETTER FROM SIR JOHN CHEKE TO DR. PARKER,

```
CONDOLING WITH Him ON THE dEATH OF buCER, AND IN-
    forming him that he had spoken in favour of his
    WIDOW TO THE LORDS OF THE COUNCIL.
```

J have deliuerd th'uníversítee lres to y $\mathbf{~ K}$. M. and spoken with ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$. of y councel, and with mi L . of Canturburie, for $\mathrm{M}^{\text {res }}$ Bucere, J dout not but sche schal be wel and worthili considerd. Th'universitee hath not doon so great honor to $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ Bucer, as honestee and worschip to themselves, the which if thei wold continue in as thei cease not to cõplain, thei might be a great deale better povided for, then thei think thei be. But now complainíng outright of al other men, and mending litil in themselves, make theer frendes, rather for duti toward
lerning then for ani desert of the studentes, schew thear good willes to th'universítee. Houbeit if thei wold have sought other to recover or to encrease the good opinion of men, theí could not have devísed whearin bi moor dutí, thei might worthili be cõmended then in folowing so noble a man with such testimoníe of honor as the child ought to his father, and the lower to his superior. And although $\mathbf{J}$ dout not but the K. M. wil pivide sũme grave lerned man, to maintein goddes true learning in his universítee, yet J think not of al lerned men in al poíntes ye schal receiue M. Bucers like, whither ye consider his deapnes of knowlege, his earnestnes in religion, his fatherlines in lífe, his authoritee in knowlege. But what do J cõmend to iow M. Bucer, who know him better, and can praís whome ye know trulier. J wold wisch $\stackrel{t}{y}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathrm{t}}$ is wanting now bi $\mathrm{Mr}^{r}$ Bucers death, $\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{y}}$ men wold bí diligens and wisdome fulfil in themselves, and $\dot{\mathbf{y}}$ theí hear praised in others labor to obteín themselves, whearof $J$ think ye be a good stai to süme vnbridled yong men, who have more knowlege in the tonges, then experiens what is comeli or fit for their life to cõme.

J prai yow let $\mathrm{M}^{r}$ Bucers bookes and scrolles vnwritin be sent vp and saved for the K. M. ${ }^{\frac{1}{t}}$ he chusing sich as schal like him best, mai retorn the other without delaí, except Mres Bucer think sũm other better thing to be doon with them, or sche schold think sche schold have losse bi them, if thej schold not be in her ordríng.
$J$ do not $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{r}}$ Parkar forget yor frendschip schewd to me aforetime, and am sorí no occasion serveth me to schew mi good wil, but assure yorself ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ as it lieth long and taketh root depe in me, so schal the time cõme $\mathbf{J}$ trust wherin ye schal vnderstonde the frute theerof the better t'endure, and surelíer to take place: which mai as wel schortli be, as be differd, but good occasion is al. The Lord kepe yow, and grant th'universitee so much encrease of lerning and godlines, as thees causes mai
cõpel ye vnwilling men to be aschamed not to do for them. From Westmester. 1551. 5 Ed. 6. the 9 of Marche.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yo }^{\text {rs }} \text { assured } \\
& \text { JOAN゙ CHEEK. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Tó his lovíng frend $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ D. Parkar, $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ of Benet College in Cambríge.-

## V.

LETTER FROM SIR JOHN CHEKE TO DR. PARKER,

```
DEAN OF LINCOLN, BEING THEN RESIDENT IN CAMBRIDGE, ON THE MISCONDUCT OF SOME YOUNG SCHOLARS of THE UNIVERSITY.
```

J am sorí to see $\mathfrak{y}$ lightnes of yong heedes, who bícause $\mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ have attained to sum lerning, be bold t'abuse theer wittes, and passe y boundes of honestee. Jf lerning tech not sobernes to yong men, obediens in subiectes, honestee in al degrees, what schold we do with lerning, seing we have knowlege inough of owr self, without studí and school, to do vngraciousli. But as yow schal not be ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ last, $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{t}}$ schal find such vnthankfulnes of vnexperíenced scholars, so have ye not been ýe first, and ỳeerfoor do wiseli in bering soberlí such hedlong raschnes as overthroweth y vser. J am glad again to see him called hoom if he be trulí called, and do not dissemble with necessitee t'over-cõm ye tijm. But yow schal easli perceiv ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$ bí his demenor and compani, according wheerunto yow schal do wel to order him.

But yow must let al toward wittes vnderstond, $\stackrel{t}{\mathbf{y}}$
when $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{i}}$ go beiond lerning to diffame learning, $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{y}^{\text {ei }}$ must not be favored for yeer own learning, but ponisched iustli for other mens lerning. Wheerfoor J am glad to see not oonlí y successe of ${ }^{\text {y }}$, but also trust it wil be an example for oyer heerafter how y ${ }^{\text {el }}$ pręsume to much on $\dot{y}$ emselves, and venture furder ẏen y yeer learning and wittes can honestlí lead yem.

Th' ancor of mi suit resteth much in yow, wheerof $\mathbf{J}$ trust at london to cõmun with yow. Thus with mi wifes and min hartí cõmendacõns to yow and yo ${ }^{r}$ wife, J bid yow fare wel in y Lord. From Cheekstook. y 6 . of Februari. 1552. 7. Ed. 6.

$$
\mathbf{Y o}^{\text {rs }} \text { assured }
$$

JOAN゙ CHEEK.
To ý right worschipful
$\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$. D. Parkar
Dean of lincoln
at Cambrige.

## VI.

## EPISTOLA JOANNIS CHEKE AD CARDINALEM POLUM,

ORANS UT SIBI IN GREMIUM ECCLESIE JAM REDUCI SIT BENIGNUS.
 Ego, ex C. X. consilio et authoritate, a uarietate doctorum ad ecclesiæ unitatem accedo. Jn quo est C. x. de consilio grãs ago, et de successu deo. precor A. C. x. ut hac mea sententia, quia uir doctus et pius ecclesiæ Pauline decanus C. x. tradet, quemadmodũ non est a me ad tempus ficta, Sic sit C. x. accepta et oĩs reliquę de me
questionis finis. Magnũ hẽo* de uirtutibus tuis, de pietatis et clementię laude, de doctrina humilitatis fiduciam. Vellem te mei et pietatis et literarum etiã aliqua ex parte studiosi non nullam rationem hr̃e. $\dagger$ Reliquum spero uitę meæ cursum talem futurũ, ut gr̃a tua et fauore non indignus uidear. quȩ necessariȩ sunt meæ hoc tempore peticiones, eas D. decanus Celsitudini tuȩ exponet. In quibus etiã atque etiam supplex peto ut me inves. Dñs. C. x. seruet. Londini, e turri. 15. Julij. (1556). C• T. $\ddagger$ Addictissimus

JO. CHECUS.

## VII.

## LETTER FROM SIR JOHN CHEKE TO QUEEN MARY,

PETITIONING FOR HIS LIBERTY.
Pleaseth yt yor ma ${ }^{\text {tie }}$ to vnderstande, that in matters of religion, J haue declared my full mynde vnto yo ${ }^{r}$ $\mathrm{ma}^{\text {tie }}$, by yo ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ virtuous and learned Chaplen, $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ Deane of Paulle: Trusting that as it is truly mynded of me, So yo ${ }^{r}$ highnes will agreablye receaue it. J beseche yo ${ }^{r}$ ma $^{\text {tie }}$ therfore, as $\mathbf{J}$ haue been $\&$ am yor faythfull subiecte, whom $\mathbf{J}$ do as gods mynister faithfully hono ${ }^{\text {r }}$ \& serue, that yor highnes will haue that opinion psent of me, that my faithfulnes, J truste, \& dutie hereafter shall sheue vnto you. And J truste, amonge many obedient \& quyet subiects, $w^{\text {ch }}$ god storeth yo highnes with, $\mathbf{J}$ shalbe found, though not in habilitie of other qualities, yet in will \& readynes, \& obedience of yor

[^52]Lawes, \& other orders of religion, as gladd to serue and obeye as any other: Desyering yor ma ${ }^{\text {tie }}$ most humblie to fauor suche poore suyte for my Libertie, as Mr Deane shall make to $\mathrm{yo}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{ma}^{\text {tie }}$ in my behalf. Almightie god psper \& encrease yo ${ }^{r}$ ma $^{\text {tie }}$ in all hono ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ \& godlynes. ffrom yor $\mathrm{ma}^{\text {ties }}$ Touer of London the 15 of Julie. 1556.

$\mathrm{Yo}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{ma}^{\text {ties }}$ moste humble and<br>obedient subiecte. JO. CHEKE.


#### Abstract

*. The foregoing letters of Sir John Cheke are all contained in the MSS. volumes, Nos. CII. CVI. CXIV. and CXIX. belonging to the Library of Corpus Cbristi College, Cambridge. The first of them is found in the volume, No. CXIV. entitled, Epistole Principum. It is most decidedly an autograph, and is briefly referred to by Strype in his Life of Cheke, ch. i. § 2. The second, third, and fourth are likewise decided autographs, with the seals and directions in like manner attached. They are part of the contents of No. CXIX. a volume, which bears for its title, Epistole Virorum Illustrium. Of these three, Strype has printed at full length the Letter to Dr. Parker concerning his pension; (Life of Cheke, ch. ii. § 5 ;) but has given extracts only, in English, of the other two. (Life of Cheke, ch. iii. $\S \$ 6$ and 8.) The fift letter is contained in the volume, No. CVI. which bears the following inscription at the beginning: "Hic liber sic consarcinatus est in gratiam eorum, qui post hoc procancellarii vel procuratores vel taxatores futuri sunt in Collegio Corporis Christi Cantabr. ut ex rebus gestis ipsi aliquid judicent." The sixth is preserved among other valuable documents in No. CII. It follows immediately after the written declaration by Cheke, propria manu, " De veritate corporis et sanguinis Domini in eucharistia, ex patribus;" and seems to form a part of one and the same document, sent by him to Cardinal Pole through the Dean of St. Paul's. Strype briefly notices this letter, (Life of Cheke, ch. v. §4,) but does not give any extract from it. The last letter, namely, that of Cheke to Queen Mary, in which he petitions for his liberty, is likewise found in No. CII. but it does not appear to be an autograph. It is written on the back of the letter addressed to Cardinal Pole, and is plainly in a handwriting different to that of Cheke. It is however the identical copy referred to and printed by Strype, (Life of Cheke, ch. v. $\oint 4$,) and is likewise remarkable for the significant annotation, Homines sumus, written on the margin of it by Archbishop Parker, with reference to the " woful fall," which, says Strype, " this good man made to save a poor life." The letter, stated to be the original one, has lately been printed, verbatim et literatim, by Sir Henry Ellis, principal librarian of the British Museum, in a volume of "Original Letters of Eminent Literary Men of the Sixteenth, Seventeenth, and Eighteenth Centuries," printed for the Camden Society. "It stands," says Sir Henry,


FAC-SIMILES of the AUTOGRAPMS
of distinguished characters whose names are mentioned in this Volume
R. Cone?
(3) Cardimat Pole.
(1) Bp: Gardiner.
(3)

" appended in the Lansdowne volume to the autograph of his declaration, De veritate corporis, \&c." in a similar manner to that in which Cheke's letter to Cardinal Pole is appended to the autograph of the same declaration in No. CII. of the MSS. volume belonging to Corpus Christi College.
It will be readily seen from a collation of the two printed copies, by Sir Henry Ellis, and in the present volume, that the differences between them are merely literal and orthographical, except in two cases. For instance, with respect to the date of the letter, the Lansdowne MS. gives it as the 25th of July : but in the Parker MS. it is evidently written, 15 of Julie. The latter date, however, corresponds exactly with the date of Cheke's Latin letter to Cardinal Pole, written from the same place, and upon the same subject, namely, the recantation of his religious opinions. This recantation was made in a most public manner before the Queen on the fourth day of October in the same year, being ushered in by an oration of Dr. Feckenham; and it certainly affords us matter of much discussion as to the magnanimity of Cheke, when we contemplate this dark and glaring blot upon his character. We wish the deed had not been done. We know that it ought not. We feel that it was sinful to have done it. But we must not, while we justly condemn and lament it, be forgetful of Archbishop Parker's truly Christian and charitable remark, Homines sumus: "We are men." Cheke died of shame and regret, in consequence of his recantation, Sept. 13, 1557, carrying, says Fuller, " all good men's pity with him."

In this volume are three Fac-similes :-
I. Fac-simile of the Original MS. of St. Matthew's and St. Mark's Gospel - . . . . To face page 27.
II. Fac-simile of King Edward the Sixth's Letter to Queen Catherine Parr . . . . . To face page 109. III. . . . . To be placed at the end of the volume.

## GLOSSARY

Of uncommon words, and words which are used in a particular sense by Sir John Cheke in the foregoing Translation: compared with the corresponding words in the Authorized Version of the New Testament.



| Cheke. <br> nigheth $\qquad$ <br> noughti $\qquad$ <br> noughtili $\qquad$ | ch. ver. Authorized Version. <br> xv. 8 draweth nigh. <br> $x x i .41$ wicked ...................... good for nothing. <br> xxi. 41 miserably $\qquad$ so as to annihilate them. |
| :---: | :---: |
| onwriting | xxii. 20 superscription. |
| orders ........... | xv. 2 tradition $\qquad$ mandates ; precepts; rules of the church. |
| outborn, note... | x. 18 ............................. foreign; not native. |
| outcalled, note. | xvi. 18 .............................. men of the church. |
| outpeopling .... overslip | i. 17 carrying away $\qquad$ removing the people of the country. xxiii. 23 leave undone $\qquad$ neglect. |
| perfight | v. 48 perfect. |
| plaied withal.. | ii. 16 mocked ................... trifled with. |
| prese, or prees . | xx. 29 multitude ............... .. press or crowd of people. |
| resort | v. 1 multitudes ............... concourse of people. |
| rid awai.......... | xv. 39 sent away. |
| robri ............. | xxiii. 25 extortion. |
| schires | xxiv. 30 tribes ... ................... divisions of the earth. |
| scoorned him ... | xxvii. 29 mocked him ............... treated with scorn. |
| silverlinges .... | xxvi. 15 pieces of silver $\qquad$ shekels. Isai: vii. 23. Jer: xxxii. 9, Auth. Ver. |
| slaughter, note . |  |
| slee ............. | v. 21 kill ......................... slay. |
| soulisch, note... | xvi. 17 . ........................ the natural man. |
| sower | xxv. 24 hard ........................ harsh and crabbed. |
| speed .... ....... | x. 13 peace ....................... success. |
| tablers ........... | xxv. 27 exchangers .............. money dealers or exchangers. |
| ten-citee ........ | iv. 25 Decapolis. |
| tolbooth. | ix. 9 receipt of custom ......... place where toll is taken. |
| tollers | v. 46 publicans .................. persons who receive toll. |
| traitor ............ trutorn, note .. | xxvii. 3 which had betrayed ...... betrayer. |
| trutorn, note ... | x. 5 ............................. true turn; true rendering. |
| vnraieng ........ | xxvii. 28 stripped. |
| vnstaid, note ... | xxiii. 25 ........................... unstayed; unsteady. |
| vnstaidnes ...... | xxiii. 25 excess. |
| vprising ......... | xxii. 23 resurrection. |
| waar | xxii. 4 fatlings . . ................. here used in the sense of victuals. |
| waites, note .... | xx. 3 ............................... weights; the sign of Libra. |
| week . | xii. 20 flax ........................... wick of a lamp. |
| weltes | xxiii. 5 borders .................... ornamental hems. |
| welschmen, note | x. 18 $\qquad$ foreign, not native; extraneus, Lat. |
| whelpes ......... | xv. 26 dogs ....................... the young of a dog. |
| while. | i. 25 till. |
| wiseards......... | ii. 16 wise men................... here used in a good sense. |

THE END.

[^53]
[^0]:    * Preface to the Reader by King James's translators of the Bible.

[^1]:    * See Translator's Preface. Authorized Version of the Bible.

[^2]:    * June 16, 1514. See Strype, Life of Cheke, ch. i. § 1.
    $\dagger$ "Colet and Fisher, men as distinguished as almost any of that age, were unacquainted with the Greek tongue, and both made some efforts to attain it at an advanced age."-See Hallam's Introduction to the Literature of Europe, vol. i. ch. iv.
    $\ddagger$ In the year 1510 the learned Erasmus was brought over to England to teach the Greek tongue in Cambridge. He began by " expounding the Greek Grammar of Chrysoloras in the public schools without an audience, and having translated a dialogue of Lucian, could find no student in the University capable of transcribing the Greek with the Latin."-See Warton, History of English Poetry, vol. ii. § xviii. p. 438. Also, Erasmi Epist. cxxiii. Cantab. Oct. 16, 1511, and Epist. cxxxix. Cantab. Nov. 27, 1512.

[^3]:    * At hodie probro est scire litteras, . . . . . . his persuadént egregii sycophantæ hæresim esse, scire Græcas litteras, hæresim esse, loqui quo more loquutus est Cicero. Erasmi Antibarbarorum, Lib. i. Tom. ix. p. 1699. + Strype, Life of Cheke, ch. i. § 3.

[^4]:    * Strype, Life of Cheke, ch. i. § 5.

[^5]:    * " He brought in fair and graceful writing by the pen, as he wrote an excellent, accurate hand himself. And all the best scholars in those times practised to write well. So did Smith and Cecil, and especially Ascham; who, for his exquisite hand was the person appointed to teach the Lady Elizabeth to write." Strype, Life of Cheke, ch. vii. § 3.

[^6]:    * The other remains of Cheke preserved in the MSS. Li brary of Corp. Chr. Coll. are the following :-

    1. Statuta collegii de Stoke juxta Clare, scripta Anglicè a Mattheo Parker, et Latinè versa per Ioannem Cheke.
    2. Summa collocutionis habitæ 25 Novembris, 1551, in ædibus D. Cicelli Secretarii regii de re sacramentariâ. Collocutores, D. Cicellus, D. Checus, Mr. Horne Decanus Dunelmensis, Mr. Whitehead et Mr. Gryndall, Mr. Fecknam et Mr. Yonge.
    3. De veritate corporis et sanguinis Domini in eucharistiâ ex patribus, a domino Ioanne Cheke, manu proprid.
    and seven original letters in English and Latin. See Appendix.
[^7]:    * It is difficult, however, to speak of the English at any period without a reference to the language of other countries. At the conquest Norman-French was introduced, which made its way so fast, and mixed itself up with the language of the common people, that in the reign of Henry II. about the year 1160, the Anglo-Saxon had almost ceased to be a distinct language. In the age of Wiclif, or soon after, "gentlemen," it is said, " had much left off to teach their children French :" but then, the Anglo-Saxon having become obsolete, and the Latin, which the people did not understand, being generally used in the church-services, a mixed language still remained as the ordinary language of the English people.
    $\dagger$ Wiclif's Bible is a remarkable instance of this. " He chose," says Lewis, " to translate word for word, as had been done before in the Anglo-Saxonic translation, without always observing the idioms or proprieties of the several languages; by which means this translation in such places is not very intelligible to those who do not understand Latin." His probable reason for so doing " was that which is given in a Prologue to the Psalter of his translation, viz. that they who knew not the Latin by the English, might come to many Latin words."See Lewis's History of the English Translations of the Bible, p. 5 .

[^8]:    * The solemn public edict sent by Gardiner to the University, which led the way to his controversy with Cheke, is dated " Londini. 18 Calend. Junias. Anno Domini 1542."
    + In the Convocation, which met February 16, 1542, the archbishop, in the king's name, required the bishops and the clergy to revise the translation of the New Testament. But the real object of the Popish bishops was to get rid of the translation already made. Gardiner therefore, in the sixth session, read a catalogue of ninety-nine Latin words, many of which were called sacred, collected by him out of the New Testament, which he proposed should be left untranslated, or englished with as little alteration as possible. This proposal would have been carried into effect, and so the translation would have been rendered such as to be but of little real benefit to the mass of English readers, if Cranmer had not obtained the king's concurrence in transferring the revision of the New Testament then proposed from the Convocation to the Universities. Against this resolution of the king's all the bishops protested, except Goodrich, Bishop of Ely, and Barlow, then Bishop of St. David's. The Popish bishops spoke of the Universities as having " gone to decay of late;" " that all things in them were carried on by young men, whose judgments were not to be relied on ;" that " the learning of the land was chiefly in the Convocation."-See Lewis's History of the English Translations of the Bible, pp. 35, 36.

[^9]:    * Tyndale here uses a little circumlocution, and thus translates, "to bringe one in to youre belefe." Wiclif also explains the word "prosilite" thus, "conuertid to youre ordre."

[^10]:    * " If the language of theology were extracted from Hooker and the translation of the Bible; the terms of natural knowledge from Bacon; the phrases of policy, war, and navigation from Raleigh; the dialect of poetry and fiction from Spenser and Sidney ; and the diction of common life from Shakespeare, few ideas would be lost to mankind, for want of English words, in which they might be expressed."-Preface to Johnson's Dictionary.

[^11]:    * Probably the pronunciation of the word fault, in the time of Cheke, was faut, the letter 1 being silent. The word is still so pronounced by the common people in many counties.

[^12]:    * An original and very interesting letter from King Edward the Sixth to Queen Catherine Parr is preserved in No. CXIX. MSS. Corpus Christi College, Cambridge. It is given in the Appendix, and is remarkably illustrative of the ardent piety and affection of that youthful sovereign, as well as of the scholarship he had acquired under the careful tuition of Cheke.

[^13]:    $\dagger$ The following brief but comprehensive notice of Cheke; of the state of learning in his time; of his having been Professor of Greek at Cambridge, as well as tutor to King Edward the Sixth, is found in one of Milton's sonnets :
    > "Thy age, like ours, O soul of Sir John Cheek,
    > Hated not learning worse than toad or asp,
    > When thou taught'st Cambridge and King Edward Greek." Milton's Sonnets, No. XI.

[^14]:    * Art. xx.

[^15]:    * The word assure is used in a like sense by Shakespeare. Comedy of Errors. Act iii, scene 2.
    + sche schal.

[^16]:    * schal cal.
    t while, i. e. vntil. see ch. ii. 15. v. 26. xxii. 26. xxiv. 39.

[^17]:    * then, them.
    $\dagger$ cã, came.

[^18]:    ＊＂But I sai vnto iow，whosoever is angri with his broother，＂\＆c．is here omitted by Cheke．

[^19]:    * with yin enmí.

[^20]:    * Cheke has here omitted "and behold $y^{e}$ beem in $y^{\text {in }}$ own eie."

[^21]:    - holigaf, i. e. holy gift, holy tribute.

[^22]:    $\ddagger$ The poet referred to is Plautus; and the passage is found in the Mostellaria. Act v. Sc. 1, 1. 53, 54.
    "Theuropides. Surge dum huc: est consulere igitur quiddam quod tecum volo.
    Tranio. Sic tamen hinc consilium dedero: nimiò plus sapio sedens."
    See also Cicero, Orat. pro A. Cluentio, c. xxxviii. "- a quibus si qui quæreret, sedissent ne judices in C. Fabricium, sedisse se dicerent."

[^23]:    * See Cheke's note, ch. xxii. $\quad$ " $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ kingdoom of heevn," \&c.

[^24]:    * " and, lo ie, ẏei sai, y ${ }^{\text {be, " \&c. }}$
    + Cheike appears, from his note, to understand the word $\delta$ oxatón to be used in its forensic sense, both here and in ch. xii. ver. 37. He has, accordingly, given a somewhat singular interpretation to this difficult passage. The word roфia he evidently refers to the counsel of God or the doctrine preached by John the Baptist and our Saviour. By her own childern he implies that the Jews were appointed by God to be the inheritors of such wisdom, and to have it communicated unto them, first of all, from God. The Jews however, like rebellious children, sat in judgment, as it were, upon

[^25]:    the counsel or wisdom of God, as manifested to them by John and in Christ. They pronounced it not to be of God. They acquitted it of all claims upon their attention. In fact, they disregarded both John, the forerunner of our Lord, and our Lord himself also. The wisdom of God therefore, says our Lord, according to Cheke's interpretation of this passage, is quite and vtterli rid, cleen separated from, and rejected by the Jews, for whom, as children of the promise, it was primarily designed.

    * ie, yea.

[^26]:    " "ye smooking week." Cheke had originally written flax, but subsequently altered it to week. By week is meant wick, the wick of a lamp or candle. These wicks were usually made of the coarser fibres of flax ; and accordingly we find, in the Greek, the material $\lambda i \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \nu$ here used by metonymy for the thing made, the wick. The sense of the smoking wiek is obvious; for every one knows how much smoke the expiring wick of a lamp or candle gives out when the flame is extinguished. The other expression, the smoking flax, is more strictly literal; but its meaning is not so apparent and obvious to the minds of common readers, for whom, more particularly, Cheke made this translation.

[^27]:    - See Plate iii.

[^28]:    - cãm and folowed

[^29]:    * And Jesus said, Be, \&cc.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ The passage referred to is 1 Cor. ii. 14 ; where the natural man, as opposed to the spiritual man, $\pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a r c i \delta \delta$, is named by his better part, his soul, $\psi v \chi \iota \kappa \delta{ }^{\prime}$.

[^31]:    ${ }^{2}$ Eurip. Orestes, 1. 6.
    
    
     Homilia LV.

    4 The observations of the learned Mede on one of the meanings of the word 'Exringoia, harmonize so well with those of Cheke, that it may not be

[^32]:    amiss to place them in juxta-position. Speaking with reference to the use of the word $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ in 1 Cor. xi. 22, he says, "Because the Gentiles appropriated the name of Temple to the notion of encloistering a deity by an id, $l$, therefore the Christians of the first ages, for the most part, abstained therefrom, especially when they had to deal with Gentiles, calling their
     the Dutch and our English Kurk and Church, ) in Latin Dominica; Eúrrín-
     rípoos, or the like: seldom Naoi, or Templa: that name being grown, by the use of both sides, into a name of distinction of the houses of Gentile superstition from those of Christian worship."-Mede's Works, Book II.

[^33]:    * $y^{e}$ L. bad he his wijf, \&c. i. e. $y^{e}$ L. he bad his wijf, \&c.

[^34]:    $\dagger$ Gen: iii. 16. iv. 7.

[^35]:    $\dagger$ aloon, i. e. all one.

[^36]:    - Ovid. + and $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{ec}}$ schal, \&c.

[^37]:    - and yel folowed him.

[^38]:    * waar, ware is commonly something to be sold. The word, however, does not appear to be applied to articles of food, as in the present case. In Neh. x. 31, Auth. ver. it is used in the sense of other goods not victuals.

[^39]:    * 1 Cor, xiii. 13.

[^40]:    * garde, or, guard-is an ornamental hem or border, and the word is used in this sense by Shakespeare. Much Ado about Nothing. Act i. Sc. 1.
    " The body of your discourse is sometime guarded with fragments, and the guards are but slightly basted on neither."

    Also. Merchant of Venice. Act ii. Sc. 2.

[^41]:    * näl, natural, or national.
    + vel, Lat. or.

[^42]:    - $y^{\mathbf{e}} \mathrm{n}$ weer assembled togiỳer.

[^43]:    *To appoint, is to settle any thing by compact. See Gen. xxx. 28, and Judges, $\mathbf{x x}$. 38. Auth. ver.
    † " said grace." Gr. $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{j} \lambda o \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a c$. Auth. ver. " blessed it." The margin of the authorized version has, "Many Greek copies have, gave thanks," Cheke had originally rendered $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda o \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a_{\varsigma}$ as well as $\dot{\varepsilon} u \chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma, ~ v e r . ~$ 27, blessed it : but subsequently altered the former to said grace, and the latter to given thankes.

[^44]:    * Cheke had originally written, " al men $y^{t}$ drau yeer swerdes."

[^45]:     rized version has "Or, whom they bought of the children of Israel." This rendering is completely identical with that of Cheke.

[^46]:    * The fragment of this first chapter of the Gospel by St. Mark, which is bound up together with the preceding translation of St. Matthew's Gospel, is written on paper of a smaller size, and evidently left by Cheke in an unfinished state. No part of it has been lost. The abruptness of its termination is sufficient to shew the full extent of Cheke's proposed translation of the New Testament, which was actually executed by him.

[^47]:    - The word depart is similarly used in the Liturgies of $1549,1552,1559$, and Scotch Liturgy. See Keeling's Liturgiæ Britannicæ, Form of Solemnization of Matrimony.

[^48]:    *** Hæc Epistola, ut videtur, scripta cst A.d. 1547.

[^49]:    * $v^{0}$, vero.

[^50]:    ** Scripta est hæc epistola, ut videtur, A. D. 1535 : quo anno vocatus est Parkerus in aulam Annæ Reginæ, $30^{\circ}$ Martii, et à sacris constitutus.

[^51]:    *.* This letter was probably written in the course of the year 1547; Parker having resigned the Deanery of Stoke on the lst of A pril in that year.

[^52]:    * habeo.
    t habere.
    $\ddagger$ Celsitudini Tuæ.

[^53]:    C. WH.TTINGHAM, TOOKS COURT, CHANCERY LANE.

